

# ON SEMISIMPLE CLASSES AND SEMISIMPLE CHARACTERS IN FINITE REDUCTIVE GROUPS

OLIVIER BRUNAT

**ABSTRACT.** In this article, we study the elements with disconnected centralizer in the Brauer complex associated to a simple algebraic group  $\mathbf{G}$  defined over a finite field with corresponding Frobenius map  $F$  and derive the number of  $F$ -stable semisimple classes of  $\mathbf{G}$  with disconnected centralizer when the order of the fundamental group has prime order. We also discuss extendibility of semisimple characters to their inertia group in the full automorphism group. As a consequence, we prove that “twisted” and “untwisted” simple groups of type  $E_6$  are “good” in defining characteristic, which is a contribution to the general program initialized by Isaacs, Malle and Navarro to prove the McKay Conjecture in representation theory of finite groups.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

This article is concerned with the semisimple characters of finite reductive groups. A finite reductive group is the fixed-point subgroup  $\mathbf{G}^F$  of a connected reductive group  $\mathbf{G}$  defined over the finite field  $\mathbb{F}_q$  of characteristic  $p > 0$ , where  $F : \mathbf{G} \rightarrow \mathbf{G}$  is the Frobenius map corresponding to this  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -structure. The semisimple characters of  $\mathbf{G}^F$  are the constituents of the duals of Gelfand-Graev characters (for the Alvis-Curtis duality) and play an important role in the ordinary representation theory of  $\mathbf{G}^F$ , because, apart from a few exceptions, they are the  $p'$ -characters of  $\mathbf{G}^F$  (that is the irreducible characters of  $\mathbf{G}^F$  whose degree is prime to  $p$ ). In the following, we will write  $\text{Irr}_s(\mathbf{G}^F)$  for the set of semisimple characters of  $\mathbf{G}^F$ . One of the aims of this work is to study these characters, compute their number, understand the action of the automorphism group of  $\mathbf{G}^F$  on  $\text{Irr}_s(\mathbf{G}^F)$ , and determine the extendibility of  $\chi \in \text{Irr}_s(\mathbf{G}^F)$  to its inertia group in the full automorphism group. These questions are crucial, for example in order to prove that  $\mathbf{G}^F$  satisfies the inductive McKay condition at the prime  $p$ .

Using Deligne-Lusztig theory [10], Lusztig has shown that the irreducible characters of  $\mathbf{G}^F$  can be partitioned into series (the so-called rational Lusztig series) labelled by the semisimple classes of  $\mathbf{G}^{*F*}$ , where  $(\mathbf{G}^*, F^*)$  denotes a pair dual to  $(\mathbf{G}, F)$ . If such a series is labelled by a semisimple class of  $\mathbf{G}^{*F*}$  with representative  $s$ , then it contains  $|A_{\mathbf{G}^*}(s)^{F^*}|$  semisimple characters, where  $A_{\mathbf{G}^*}(s) = C_{\mathbf{G}^*}(s)/C_{\mathbf{G}^*}^\circ(s)$  is the component group of  $s$ . In fact,  $\text{Irr}_s(\mathbf{G}^F)$  can be parametrized in a natural way by pairs  $(s, \xi)$  where  $s$  runs over a set of representatives of the semisimple classes of  $\mathbf{G}^{*F*}$  and  $\xi \in \text{Irr}(A_{\mathbf{G}^*}(s)^{F^*})$ . So, in order to understand  $\text{Irr}_s(\mathbf{G}^F)$ , we have to particularly consider the semisimple classes of  $\mathbf{G}^{*F*}$  and their component groups.

---

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 20C15, 20C33.

In [5], we explicitly computed the number of semisimple classes of  $\mathbf{G}^{*F^*}$  when  $\mathbf{G}^*$  is simple and  $p$  is a good prime for  $\mathbf{G}^*$ . For that, we used the theory of Gelfand-Graev characters for connected reductive groups with disconnected center, developed by Digne-Lehrer-Michel in [12] and [13]. This method gives a lot of information on the  $F^*$ -stable semisimple  $\mathbf{G}^*$ -classes of  $\mathbf{G}^*$  with disconnected centralizer (by the centralizer of an  $F^*$ -stable class, we mean the centralizer of a fixed  $F^*$ -stable representative), which allows us to prove the inductive McKay condition in defining characteristic for simple groups coming from simple algebraic groups with fundamental group of order 2; see [7, Theorem 1.1]. However, we cannot derive from [5] all information that we need, especially phenomena appearing only in the algebraic group, as for example the description of the  $F^*$ -stable semisimple classes with disconnected centralizer such that the fixed-point subgroup  $A_{\mathbf{G}^*}(s)^{F^*}$  is trivial ( $s$  is any  $F^*$ -stable representative); see Remark 2.14.

In this work, we will consider the Brauer complex, initially introduced by J. Humphreys in [18] for describing  $p$ -modular representation theory of  $\mathbf{G}^F$ . When  $\mathbf{G}$  is a simple simply-connected group, Deriziotis proved in [11] that the  $F$ -stable semisimple classes of  $\mathbf{G}$  (and thus, the semisimple classes of  $\mathbf{G}^F$ ) are parametrized by the faces of the Brauer complex of maximal dimension. We generalize here some results to any simple algebraic groups using an approach of Bonnafé [2].

Moreover, we are interested in the problem of the extendibility of semisimple characters of  $\mathbf{G}^F$  to their inertia groups in  $\text{Aut}(\mathbf{G}^F)$ . Digne-Michel [15] and Malle [21] developed a theory of Deligne-Lusztig characters for finite disconnected reductive groups. Using this theory, Sorlin [22] constructed extensions of Gelfand-Graev characters of  $\mathbf{G}^F$  to  $\mathbf{G}^F \rtimes \langle \sigma \rangle$ , where  $\sigma$  is a quasi-central semisimple or unipotent automorphism of  $\mathbf{G}$ . We will use results of [22] in order to prove that, under certain assumptions, the semisimple characters of  $\mathbf{G}^F$  are extendible to their inertia groups in the full automorphism group.

Finally, recall that the McKay Conjecture asserts that for any finite group  $G$ , if  $\text{Irr}_{p'}(G)$  denotes the set of  $p'$ -characters of  $G$ , then  $|\text{Irr}_{p'}(G)| = |\text{Irr}_{p'}(\text{N}_G(P))|$ , where  $P$  is a fixed  $p$ -Sylow subgroup of  $G$ . In [20], Isaacs-Malle-Navarro proved a reduction theorem of this conjecture to finite simple groups. They showed that if every simple group satisfies a refined property, the so-called inductive McKay condition (see [20, §10] for more details), then the McKay Conjecture holds for all finite groups. As an application of our results, we will prove that “twisted” and “untwisted” finite simple groups of type  $E_6$  satisfy the inductive McKay condition in defining characteristic.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we introduce the Brauer complex of  $\mathbf{G}$  and describe the faces containing points with disconnected centralizer; see Theorem 2.5. Then we compute the number of  $F$ -stable semisimple classes of  $\mathbf{G}$  with disconnected centralizer when  $\mathbf{G}$  is not of type  $D_{2n}$  and has fundamental group of prime order; see Proposition 2.11. Note that this result requires no condition on  $q$ . Furthermore, if  $\mathbf{G}$  is not of type  $D_{2n}$ , we describe the  $F$ -stable points of the Brauer complex in the case that  $F$  acts trivially on the center of  $\mathbf{G}$ ; see Propositions 2.16 and 2.17. As first consequences we prove that if  $p$  is odd, then the McKay Conjecture holds for  $\mathbf{G}^F$  at the prime  $p$ , where  $\mathbf{G}$  is a simple and simply-connected group of type  $D_{2n+1}$ ; see Remark 2.18. It also holds for  $p = 2$  (resp.  $p = 3$ ) when  $\mathbf{G}$  is a simple and simply-connected group of type  $E_6$  (resp.  $E_7$ ); see Remark 2.15. In Section 3, we recall the construction of semisimple characters and give the action of

automorphisms of  $\mathbf{G}^F$  on  $\text{Irr}_s(\mathbf{G}^F)$ . Then we discuss extendibility in a special case of these characters to their inertia groups; see Proposition 3.13. Finally, in Section 4 we prove the inductive McKay condition in defining characteristic (for  $p > 3$ ) for “untwisted” simple groups of type  $E_6$  in Theorem 4.9, and for the “twisted” version in Theorem 4.10. Note that these methods also prove that the inductive McKay condition is satisfied at the prime  $p$  by simple groups of Lie type of type  $A_{2n}$  such that  $2n + 1$  is a prime number distinct from  $p$ ; see Proposition 4.12.

## 2. SEMISIMPLE CLASSES WITH DISCONNECTED CENTRALIZERS

**2.1. Notation.** Throughout this paper,  $\mathbf{G}$  denotes a simple algebraic group over  $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$ . We fix a maximal torus  $\mathbf{T}$  contained in a Borel subgroup  $\mathbf{B}$  of  $\mathbf{G}$ . Let  $\Phi$  be the root system of  $\mathbf{G}$  relative to  $\mathbf{T}$ . We write  $\Phi^+$  and  $\Delta$  for the set of positive roots and the set of simple roots of  $\Phi$  corresponding to  $\mathbf{B}$ . We denote by  $X(\mathbf{T})$  and  $Y(\mathbf{T})$  the groups of characters and cocharacters of  $\mathbf{T}$  and write  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : X(\mathbf{T}) \times Y(\mathbf{T}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  for the duality pairing between  $X(\mathbf{T})$  and  $Y(\mathbf{T})$ . For  $\alpha \in \Phi$ , we denote by  $\alpha^\vee$  the coroot of  $\alpha$  and write  $\Phi^\vee = \{\alpha^\vee \mid \alpha \in \Phi\}$ . We define  $V = \mathbb{Q} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} Y(\mathbf{T})$  and  $V^* = \mathbb{Q} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} X(\mathbf{T})$ , and extend  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  to a nondegenerate bilinear form

$$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : V^* \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}.$$

Let  $\mathbf{G}_{\text{sc}}$  (resp.  $\mathbf{G}_{\text{ad}}$ ) be the simply-connected version (resp. adjoint version) of  $\mathbf{G}$ . We denote by  $\pi_{\text{sc}} : \mathbf{G}_{\text{sc}} \rightarrow \mathbf{G}$  and  $\pi_{\text{ad}} : \mathbf{G} \rightarrow \mathbf{G}_{\text{ad}}$  corresponding isogenies. Write  $\mathbf{T}_{\text{sc}} = \pi_{\text{sc}}^{-1}(\mathbf{T})$  and  $\mathbf{T}_{\text{ad}} = \pi_{\text{ad}}(\mathbf{T})$ . Then  $\mathbf{T}_{\text{sc}}$  and  $\mathbf{T}_{\text{ad}}$  are maximal tori of  $\mathbf{G}_{\text{sc}}$  and  $\mathbf{G}_{\text{ad}}$ , and the surjective homomorphisms  $\pi_{\text{sc}} : \mathbf{T}_{\text{sc}} \rightarrow \mathbf{T}$  and  $\pi_{\text{ad}} : \mathbf{T} \rightarrow \mathbf{T}_{\text{ad}}$  induce injective homomorphisms  $\pi_{\text{sc},X} : X(\mathbf{T}) \rightarrow X(\mathbf{T}_{\text{sc}})$ ,  $\chi \mapsto \chi \circ \pi_{\text{sc}}$  and  $\pi_{\text{ad},X} : X(\mathbf{T}_{\text{ad}}) \rightarrow X(\mathbf{T})$ ,  $\chi \mapsto \chi \circ \pi_{\text{ad}}$ . Using  $\pi_{\text{sc},X}$  and  $\pi_{\text{ad},X}$ , we identify  $X(\mathbf{T})$  with a subgroup of  $X(\mathbf{T}_{\text{sc}})$  containing  $X(\mathbf{T}_{\text{ad}})$ , such that the root systems  $\pi_{\text{sc}}(\Phi)$  and  $\pi_{\text{ad}}^{-1}(\Phi)$  of  $\mathbf{G}_{\text{sc}}$  and  $\mathbf{G}_{\text{ad}}$  are identified with  $\Phi$ . Similarly, using the injective morphisms  $\pi_{\text{sc},Y} : Y(\mathbf{T}_{\text{sc}}) \rightarrow Y(\mathbf{T})$ ,  $\gamma \mapsto \pi_{\text{sc}} \circ \gamma$  and  $\pi_{\text{ad},Y} : Y(\mathbf{T}) \rightarrow Y(\mathbf{T}_{\text{ad}})$ ,  $\gamma \mapsto \pi_{\text{ad}} \circ \gamma$  induced by  $\pi_{\text{sc}}$  and  $\pi_{\text{ad}}$ , the group  $Y(\mathbf{T})$  is viewed as a subgroup of  $Y(\mathbf{T}_{\text{ad}})$  containing  $Y(\mathbf{T}_{\text{sc}})$  such that  $\pi_{\text{sc},Y}^{-1}(\Phi^\vee)$  and  $\pi_{\text{ad},Y}(\Phi^\vee)$  are identified with  $\Phi^\vee$ . Note that  $V = \mathbb{Q} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} Y(\mathbf{T}_{\text{sc}}) = \mathbb{Q} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} Y(\mathbf{T}_{\text{ad}})$ ,  $V^* = \mathbb{Q} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} X(\mathbf{T}_{\text{sc}}) = \mathbb{Q} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} X(\mathbf{T}_{\text{ad}})$ , and the linear maps  $\pi_{\text{sc},X}$  and  $\pi_{\text{sc},Y}$  (resp.  $\pi_{\text{ad},X}$  and  $\pi_{\text{ad},Y}$ ) are adjoint maps with respect to  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ . We define the group of weights by

$$(1) \quad \Lambda = \{\lambda \in V^* \mid \langle \lambda, \alpha^\vee \rangle \in \mathbb{Z} \quad \forall \alpha \in \Phi\}.$$

Recall that  $X(\mathbf{T}_{\text{ad}}) = \mathbb{Z}\Phi$  and  $X(\mathbf{T}_{\text{sc}}) = \Lambda$ , and the fundamental group of  $\Phi$  is the finite group  $\Lambda/\mathbb{Z}\Phi = X(\mathbf{T}_{\text{sc}})/X(\mathbf{T}_{\text{ad}})$ . Now, we denote by  $(\varpi_\alpha^\vee)_{\alpha \in \Delta}$  and  $(\varpi_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Delta}$  the dual bases with respect to  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  of  $\Delta$  and  $\Delta^\vee = \{\alpha^\vee \mid \alpha \in \Delta\}$ , respectively. Since  $X(\mathbf{T}_{\text{ad}}) = \mathbb{Z}\Phi$  and  $X(\mathbf{T}_{\text{sc}}) = \langle \varpi_\alpha, \alpha \in \Delta \rangle_{\mathbb{Z}}$ , we deduce that

$$(2) \quad Y(\mathbf{T}_{\text{sc}}) = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Delta} \mathbb{Z}\alpha^\vee \quad \text{and} \quad Y(\mathbf{T}_{\text{ad}}) = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Delta} \mathbb{Z}\varpi_\alpha^\vee.$$

We denote by  $W = N_{\mathbf{G}}(\mathbf{T})/\mathbf{T}$  the Weyl group of  $\mathbf{G}$ . Then  $W$  acts on  $X(\mathbf{T})$  and on  $Y(\mathbf{T})$  by

$$(3) \quad {}^w\chi(t) = \chi(t^w) \quad \text{and} \quad \gamma^w(t) = \gamma(t)^w,$$

for  $\gamma \in Y(\mathbf{T})$ ,  $\chi \in X(\mathbf{T})$  and  $t \in \mathbf{T}$ . In particular, we have  $\langle {}^w\chi, \gamma \rangle = \langle \chi, \gamma^w \rangle$  and  $W(\Phi) = \Phi$  and  $W(\Phi^\vee) = \Phi^\vee$ . Recall from [9, §1.9] that  $W = \langle s_\alpha \mid \alpha \in \Phi \rangle$ , and for  $\chi \in X(\mathbf{T})$  and  $\gamma \in Y(\mathbf{T})$ , we have  $s_\alpha(\chi) = \chi - \langle \chi, \alpha^\vee \rangle \alpha$  and  $s_\alpha(\gamma) = \gamma - \langle \alpha, \gamma \rangle \alpha^\vee$ .

**2.2. Semisimple classes with disconnected centralizers.** We denote by  $\mathbb{Q}_{p'}$  the additive subgroup of  $\mathbb{Q}$  of rational numbers of the form  $a/b$  with  $b$  not divisible by  $p$ . We choose an isomorphism of groups  $\tilde{\iota} : \mathbb{Q}_{p'}/\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p^\times$  as in [9, 3.1.3]. Moreover, following [9, 3.1.2], we identify the group  $\mathbb{Q}_{p'}/\mathbb{Z} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} Y(\mathbf{T})$  with  $\mathbf{T}$  using the isomorphism of groups  $\tilde{\iota} : \mathbb{Q}_{p'}/\mathbb{Z} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} Y(\mathbf{T}) \rightarrow \mathbf{T}$ ,  $r \otimes \gamma \mapsto \gamma(\tilde{\iota}(r))$ . Furthermore, there is a surjective homomorphism from  $\mathbb{Q}_{p'} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} Y(\mathbf{T})$  onto  $\mathbb{Q}_{p'}/\mathbb{Z} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} Y(\mathbf{T})$  with kernel  $Y(\mathbf{T})$ , which induces the isomorphism of groups

$$(4) \quad \mathbf{T} \simeq \mathbb{Q}_{p'}/\mathbb{Z} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} Y(\mathbf{T}) \simeq \mathbb{Q}_{p'} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} Y(\mathbf{T})/Y(\mathbf{T}).$$

Note that the action on  $W$  on  $Y(\mathbf{T})$  defined in Equation (3) can be naturally extended to  $\mathbb{Q}_{p'}/\mathbb{Z} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} Y(\mathbf{T})$  and to  $(\mathbb{Q}_{p'} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} Y(\mathbf{T}))/Y(\mathbf{T})$  and is compatible with the isomorphisms of Equation (4). We define  $\overline{W}_a = Y(\mathbf{T}) \rtimes W$ . Note that  $\overline{W}_a$  acts on  $V$  as a group of affine transformations by

$$(\gamma \cdot w)(\lambda \otimes \gamma') = \lambda \otimes \gamma'^w + \gamma,$$

for  $\gamma, \gamma' \in Y(\mathbf{T})$ ,  $\lambda \in \mathbb{Q}$  and  $w \in W$ . So, we have

$$(\mathbb{Q}_{p'} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} Y(\mathbf{T})/Y(\mathbf{T}))/W = \mathbb{Q}_{p'} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} Y(\mathbf{T})/\overline{W}_a.$$

Since the set of semisimple classes  $s(\mathbf{G})$  of  $\mathbf{G}$  is in bijection with the set  $\mathbf{T}/W$  of  $W$ -orbits on  $\mathbf{T}$  (see [9, 3.7.1]), we deduce that  $s(\mathbf{G})$  is in bijection with

$$(5) \quad (\mathbb{Q}_{p'} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} Y(\mathbf{T}))/\overline{W}_a,$$

Now, we write  $\alpha_0$  for the highest root of  $\Phi$  (with respect to the height defined by  $\Delta$ ) and put

$$(6) \quad \alpha_0 = \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta} n_{\alpha} \alpha,$$

with  $n_{\alpha} \in \mathbb{N}^*$ . We define the affine Weyl group  $W_a$  of  $V$  as the subgroup of affine transformations of  $V$  generated by  $s_{\alpha}$  (for  $\alpha \in \Delta$ ) and  $s_{\alpha_0,1} = s_{\alpha_0} + \alpha_0^{\vee}$ . Then by [4, p.174], the alcove

$$\mathcal{C} = \{\lambda \in V \mid \langle \alpha, \lambda \rangle \geq 0 \ \forall \alpha \in \Delta, \langle \alpha_0, \lambda \rangle \leq 1\}$$

is a fundamental domain for the action of  $W_a$  on  $V$ . Recall that  $W_a = Y(\mathbf{T}_{\text{sc}}) \rtimes W$  (see [4, VI§2, Prop 1]). In particular,  $W_a \leq \overline{W}_a$ , which implies that every  $\overline{W}_a$ -orbit of  $V$  contains at least one element of  $\mathcal{C}$ . We write

$$\tilde{\Delta} = \Delta \cup \{-\alpha_0\}$$

for the affine Dynkin diagram of  $\mathbf{G}$  and  $\tilde{\Delta}_{\min} = \{\alpha \in \tilde{\Delta} \mid n_{\alpha} = 1\}$ , with the convention that  $n_{-\alpha_0} = 1$ . For  $\alpha \in \tilde{\Delta}_{\min}$ , we set  $z_{\alpha} = w_{\alpha} w_0$ , where  $w_0$  and  $w_{\alpha}$  are the longest elements of  $W$  and  $W_{\Delta \setminus \{\alpha\}}$ , respectively (note that  $w_{-\alpha_0} = w_0$  and  $z_{-\alpha_0} = 1$ ). Then  $z_{\alpha}$  induces an automorphism of the extended Dynkin diagram  $\tilde{\Delta}$ . We define

$$\mathcal{A} = \{z_{\alpha} \mid \alpha \in \tilde{\Delta}_{\min}\}.$$

Recall that  $\mathcal{A}$  is isomorphic to the center  $Z(\mathbf{G}_{\text{sc}})$  as follows. By [4, Corollaire p.177], we have  $Z(\mathbf{G}_{\text{sc}}) = \{\tilde{\iota}(\varpi_{\alpha}^{\vee}) \mid \alpha \in \tilde{\Delta}_{\min}\}$ . Moreover, for  $z \in Z(\mathbf{G}_{\text{sc}})$ , there is a unique element  $w_z \in W$  (obtained as the projection on  $W$  of any element  $\omega$  of  $W_a$  satisfying  $\omega(\mathcal{C}) = y + \mathcal{C}$  for  $y \in \mathbb{Q}_{p'} \otimes Y(\mathbf{T})$  such that  $\tilde{\iota}(y) = z$ ; note that

$w_z$  is well-defined because it does not depend on  $y$ ). Then [4, Proposition 6 p.176] implies that  $z_\alpha = w_{\tilde{\iota}(\varpi_\alpha^\vee)}$  for  $\alpha \in \tilde{\Delta}_{\min}$ . Furthermore, the map

$$(7) \quad Z(\mathbf{G}_{\text{sc}}) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}, \quad \tilde{\iota}(\varpi_\alpha^\vee) \mapsto z_\alpha,$$

is an isomorphism of groups; see [4, VI.§2.3].

Now, we write  $\widehat{W}_a = \langle Y(\mathbf{T}_{\text{ad}}), W \rangle$  and  $\Gamma_{\mathcal{C}}$  for the subgroup of  $\widehat{W}_a$  which stabilizes  $\mathcal{C}$ . Then in [4, VI,§2 Prop 6], the following result is proven.

**Proposition 2.1.** *The group of automorphisms of  $\tilde{\Delta}$  induced by elements of  $W$  is  $\mathcal{A}$ . For  $\alpha \in \tilde{\Delta}_{\min}$ , we set  $f_\alpha = z_\alpha + \varpi_\alpha^\vee$ . Then we have*

$$\Gamma_{\mathcal{C}} = \{f_\alpha \mid \alpha \in \tilde{\Delta}_{\min}\}.$$

Moreover, the map

$$\varpi^\vee : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow Y(\mathbf{T}_{\text{ad}})/Y(\mathbf{T}_{\text{sc}}), \quad z_\alpha \mapsto \varpi_\alpha^\vee + Y(\mathbf{T}_{\text{sc}}),$$

is an isomorphism of groups.

Note that, by composition of  $\varpi^\vee$  with the isomorphism defined in Equation (7), we can identify the quotient  $Y(\mathbf{T}_{\text{ad}})/Y(\mathbf{T}_{\text{sc}})$  with  $Z(\mathbf{G}_{\text{sc}})$ .

For  $\lambda \in V$ , we will denote by  $(\lambda_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \tilde{\Delta}}$  its affine coordinates, that is, the unique family of rational numbers such that  $\sum_{\alpha \in \tilde{\Delta}} \lambda_\alpha = 1$  and  $\lambda = \sum_{\alpha \in \tilde{\Delta}} \frac{\lambda_\alpha}{n_\alpha} \varpi_\alpha^\vee$ , where  $n_\alpha$  are the integers defined in Equation (6) and  $\varpi_{-\alpha_0}^\vee = 0$ . Note that  $\lambda \in \mathcal{C}$  if and only if  $\lambda_\alpha \geq 0$  for every  $\alpha \in \tilde{\Delta}$ ; see [4, Corollaire p.175].

Now, following [2], we define the subgroup  $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{G}}$  of  $\mathcal{A}$  to be the inverse image of  $Y(\mathbf{T})/Y(\mathbf{T}_{\text{sc}})$  under  $\varpi^\vee$ . We also define

$$(8) \quad \tilde{\Delta}_{\min, \mathbf{G}} = \{\alpha \in \tilde{\Delta}_{\min} \mid z_\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{G}}\} \quad \text{and} \quad \Gamma_{\mathbf{G}} = \{f_\alpha \mid \alpha \in \tilde{\Delta}_{\min, \mathbf{G}}\}.$$

Then Bonnafé proves in [2, (3.10), Cor. 3.12, Prop. 3.13]

**Theorem 2.2.**

- (1) For  $\alpha \in \tilde{\Delta}_{\min}$  and  $\lambda = (\lambda_\beta)_{\beta \in \tilde{\Delta}} \in \mathcal{C}$ , we have  $f_\alpha(\lambda)_\beta = \lambda_{z_\alpha^{-1}(\beta)}$  for  $\beta \in \tilde{\Delta}$ .
- (2) The points  $\lambda, \mu \in \mathcal{C}$  are in the same  $\overline{W}_a$ -orbit if and only if there is  $z \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{G}}$  such that  $z(\lambda) - \mu \in Y(\mathbf{T})$ .
- (3) Let  $[s]_{\mathbf{G}} \in s(\mathbf{G})$  be a semisimple class with representative  $s \in \mathbf{G}$  corresponding to a  $\overline{W}_a$ -orbit  $[\lambda]$  (here,  $\lambda$  denotes a representative in  $\mathcal{C}$ ) on  $\mathcal{C}$ . Then  $I_\lambda = \{\alpha \in \tilde{\Delta} \mid \lambda_\alpha = 0\}$  is a basis of the root system of  $\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{G}}(s)^\circ$  and the component group  $A_{\mathbf{G}}(s) = \mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{G}}(s)/\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{G}}(s)^\circ$  is isomorphic to

$$A_{\mathbf{G}}(\lambda) = \{z \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{G}} \mid \forall \alpha \in \tilde{\Delta}, \lambda_{z(\alpha)} = \lambda_\alpha\}.$$

For  $\alpha \in \tilde{\Delta}_{\min}$ , we write

$$(9) \quad V_\alpha = \{v \in V \mid f_\alpha(v) = v\}$$

for the affine subspace of  $V$  of the invariants under  $f_\alpha$ .

**Lemma 2.3.** *Let  $\alpha, \alpha' \in \tilde{\Delta}_{\min}$ . If  $\langle z_\alpha \rangle = \langle z_{\alpha'} \rangle$ , then  $V_\alpha = V_{\alpha'}$ . Moreover, if  $\Omega_\alpha$  denotes the set of  $\langle z_\alpha \rangle$ -orbits on  $\tilde{\Delta}$ , then*

$$\dim(V_\alpha) = |\Omega_\alpha| - 1.$$

In Table 1, we explicitly give the dimension of  $V_\alpha$  for every irreducible extended affine Dynkin diagram. For the notation, we use the labelling of Bourbaki [4, Planche I-IX]. In particular, note that  $z_{\alpha_i}(-\alpha_0) = \alpha_i$ .

| Type       | $ \mathcal{A} $ | $\mathcal{A}$                                                          | $z_\alpha$                                                                              | $\dim(V_\alpha)$              |
|------------|-----------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| $A_n$      | $n+1$           | $\langle z_{\alpha_1} \rangle$                                         | $d n+1, \quad z_{\alpha_1}^d$                                                           | $d-1$                         |
| $B_n$      | 2               | $\langle z_{\alpha_1} \rangle$                                         | $z_{\alpha_1}$                                                                          | $n-1$                         |
| $C_n$      | 2               | $\langle z_{\alpha_n} \rangle$                                         | $z_{\alpha_n}$                                                                          | $\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$ |
| $D_{2n+1}$ | 4               | $\langle z_{\alpha_n} \rangle$                                         | $z_{\alpha_n}, z_{\alpha_{n-1}} = z_{\alpha_n}^{-1}$<br>$z_{\alpha_1} = z_{\alpha_n}^2$ | $n-1$<br>$2n-1$               |
| $D_{2n}$   | 4               | $\langle z_{\alpha_{n-1}} \rangle \times \langle z_{\alpha_n} \rangle$ | $z_{\alpha_n}, z_{\alpha_{n-1}}$<br>$z_{\alpha_1} = z_{\alpha_n} z_{\alpha_{n-1}}$      | $n$<br>$2n-2$                 |
| $E_6$      | 3               | $\langle z_{\alpha_1} \rangle$                                         | $z_{\alpha_1}, z_{\alpha_1}^{-1}$                                                       | 2                             |
| $E_7$      | 2               | $\langle z_{\alpha_1} \rangle$                                         | $z_{\alpha_1}$                                                                          | 4                             |
| $E_8$      | 1               | $\{1\}$                                                                | 1                                                                                       | 8                             |
| $G_2$      | 1               | $\{1\}$                                                                | 1                                                                                       | 2                             |
| $F_4$      | 1               | $\{1\}$                                                                | 1                                                                                       | 4                             |

TABLE 1. The dimension of the invariant subspace

**2.3. Fixed-points under a Frobenius map.** Now, we suppose that  $\mathbf{G}$  is defined over the finite field  $\mathbb{F}_q$  (for  $q$  a  $p$ -power) and we denote by  $F : \mathbf{G} \rightarrow \mathbf{G}$  the corresponding Frobenius map. We assume that  $F$  is the composition of a split Frobenius with a graph automorphism coming from a symmetry  $\rho$  of  $\Delta$  (which could be trivial). We suppose that the maximal torus  $\mathbf{T}$  of  $\mathbf{G}$  is chosen to be  $F$ -stable and contained in an  $F$ -stable Borel subgroup  $\mathbf{B}$ . Moreover,  $F$  induces Frobenius maps on  $\mathbf{G}_{\text{sc}}$  and  $\mathbf{G}_{\text{ad}}$  (also denoted by  $F$ ) such that  $F$  and the isogenies  $\pi_{\text{sc}}$  and  $\pi_{\text{ad}}$  commute. We can define an  $F$ -action on  $X(\mathbf{T})$  and  $Y(\mathbf{T})$  by setting

$$F(\chi)(t) = \chi(F(t)) \quad \text{and} \quad F(\gamma)(x) = F(\gamma(x)),$$

for  $\chi \in X(\mathbf{T})$ ,  $\gamma \in Y(\mathbf{T})$ ,  $t \in \mathbf{T}$  and  $x \in \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p^\times$ . The  $F$ -action on  $Y(\mathbf{T})$  extends naturally to  $\mathbb{Q}_{p'}/\mathbb{Z} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} Y(\mathbf{T})$  and to  $(\mathbb{Q}_{p'} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} Y(\mathbf{T}))/Y(\mathbf{T})$  and is compatible with the isomorphisms of Equation (4). Since the set of  $F$ -stable semisimple classes of  $\mathbf{G}$  is in bijection with the set  $(\mathbf{T}/W)^F$ ; see [9, 3.7.2], it follows that it is in bijection with the set  $(\mathbb{Q}_{p'} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} Y(\mathbf{T})/\overline{W}_a)^F$ .

We put  $\overline{W}_{a,q} = F^{-1}(Y(\mathbf{T})) \rtimes W$ . Then the map

$$F : \overline{W}_{a,q} \rightarrow \overline{W}_a, yw \mapsto F(y)F(w),$$

is an isomorphism of groups. Note that  $\overline{W}_a$  is a subgroup of  $\overline{W}_{a,q}$ . Furthermore, for  $v \in V$  and  $g \in \overline{W}_{a,q}$ , we have

$$(10) \quad F(g)(F(v)) = F(g(v)).$$

We define  $W_{a,q} = F^{-1}(Y(\mathbf{T}_{\text{sc}})) \rtimes W$  and  $\widehat{W}_{a,q} = F^{-1}(Y(\mathbf{T}_{\text{ad}})) \rtimes W$ . In particular, we have  $W_{a,q} \leq \overline{W}_{a,q} \leq \widehat{W}_{a,q}$ . Moreover, Equation (10) implies that the set

$$\mathcal{C}_q = \{v \in V \mid F(v) \in \mathcal{C}\}$$

is a fundamental region for the  $W_{a,q}$ -action on  $V$ . We denote by  $h_q : V \rightarrow V$  the homothety with origin 0 and ratio  $\frac{1}{q}$ . Recall that  $F$  acts on  $V$  by  $h_q^{-1} \cdot F_0$ , where  $F_0 : V \rightarrow V$  is a linear transformation defined by  $F_0(\alpha) = \rho^{-1}(\alpha)$  for all  $\alpha \in \Delta$ . Note that  $V$  can be regarded as a euclidean space on which the elements of  $\widehat{W}_a$  and  $F_0$  act as isometries. In the following, we will denote by  $d_0$  the associated metric. Since  $\langle F_0(\chi), \gamma \rangle = \langle \chi, F_0(\gamma) \rangle$ , we deduce that  $F_0(\varpi_\alpha^\vee) = \varpi_{\rho^{-1}(\alpha)}^\vee$  for every  $\alpha \in \Delta$ . For  $\alpha \in \widetilde{\Delta}$ , we set

$$\varpi_{\alpha,q}^\vee = F^{-1}(\varpi_\alpha^\vee) = \frac{1}{q} \cdot \varpi_{\rho(\alpha)}^\vee.$$

Note that  $n_{\rho^{-1}(\alpha)} = n_\alpha$ . Hence,  $\widetilde{\Delta}_{\min}$  is  $\rho^{-1}$ -stable. For  $\alpha \in \widetilde{\Delta}_{\min}$ , we define

$$f_{\alpha,q} = z_{\rho(\alpha)} + \varpi_{\alpha,q}^\vee.$$

**Lemma 2.4.** *Let  $\alpha \in \widetilde{\Delta}_{\min}$ . Then the following diagram is commutative.*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{C} & \xrightarrow{f_\alpha} & \mathcal{C} \\ \downarrow F^{-1} & & \downarrow F^{-1} \\ \mathcal{C}_q & \xrightarrow{f_{\alpha,q}} & \mathcal{C}_q \end{array}$$

*Proof.* For every  $\alpha \in \widetilde{\Delta}_{\min}$ , we set  $I_\alpha = \Delta \setminus \{\alpha\}$  and  $\Phi_\alpha = W_{I_\alpha}(I_\alpha)$  the corresponding root subsystem with basis  $I_\alpha$ . Since  $f_{-\alpha_0} = f_{-\alpha_0,q} = 1$ , the lemma is trivially true for  $-\alpha_0$ . Fix now  $\alpha \in \Delta$  with  $n_\alpha = 1$ . For every  $x \in V$ , we have

$$F^{-1}f_\alpha F(x) = \frac{1}{q} \cdot w_{\rho(\alpha)}^\vee + F_0^{-1}z_\alpha F_0(x).$$

Moreover, we have  $\rho(I_\alpha) = I_{\rho(\alpha)}$  and  $\Phi_{\rho(\alpha)} = \rho(\Phi_\alpha)$ . If  $w_\alpha$  and  $w_{\rho(\alpha)}$  are the longest elements of  $W_{I_\alpha}$  and  $W_{I_{\rho(\alpha)}}$ , then  $w_{\rho(\alpha)} = \rho(w_\alpha)$ . Indeed, for every  $\beta \in \Delta$ , we have  $s_{\rho(\beta)} = F_0^{-1}s_\beta F_0$  which implies that  $\rho(w_\alpha) = F_0^{-1}w_\alpha F_0$ . Now, if  $\beta \in \Phi_{\rho(\alpha)}^+$ , then  $\rho(w_\alpha)(\beta) = F_0^{-1}(-F_0(\beta)) = -\beta$ , as required. So, we have  $F_0^{-1}z_\alpha F_0 = z_{\rho(\alpha)}$ , and the result follows.  $\square$

Note that  $W_{a,q}$  is the affine Weyl group generated by  $s_\alpha$  for  $\alpha \in \Delta$  and by the affine reflection  $s_{\alpha_0,1/q} = s_{\alpha_0} + \frac{1}{q}\alpha_0^\vee$ . We denote by  $\mathcal{H}_q$  the collection of all hyperplanes defined by the affine reflections of  $W_{a,q}$ . Moreover,  $W_a$  is a subgroup of  $W_{a,q}$ . It follows that  $\mathcal{C}$  is a union of certain transforms of  $\mathcal{C}_q$  under  $W_{a,q}$ . We write  $E_q$  for the set of elements  $\omega \in W_{a,q}$  such that  $\omega(\mathcal{C}_q) \subseteq \mathcal{C}$  and define

$$(11) \quad \Omega_q = \{\omega(\mathcal{C}_q) \mid \omega \in E_q\}.$$

We now can prove

**Theorem 2.5.** *Let  $\alpha \in \widetilde{\Delta}_{\min}$ . We define*

$$M_{\alpha,q} = \{\omega \in E_q \mid f_\alpha(\omega(\mathcal{C}_q)) = \omega(\mathcal{C}_q)\}.$$

*Let  $V_\alpha$  be the subspace of invariants as in Equation (9). If  $V_\alpha$  is contained in some hyperplanes of  $\mathcal{H}_q$ , then  $|M_{\alpha,q}| = 0$ . Otherwise, we have  $|M_{\alpha,q}| = q^{\dim V_\alpha}$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $\widehat{W}_a$  is a subgroup of  $\widehat{W}_{a,q}$ , it follows that  $f_\alpha \in \widehat{W}_{a,q}$ . In particular,  $f_\alpha$  permutes the elements of  $\mathcal{H}_q$  and also the set of alcoves  $\omega(\mathcal{C}_q)$  for  $\omega \in W_{a,q}$ . Hence,  $f_\alpha$  permutes the elements of  $\Omega_q$  (because for  $\omega \in E_q$ , we have  $f_\alpha(\omega(\mathcal{C}_q)) \subseteq \mathcal{C}$ ). We denote by  $r_\alpha \in W_{a,q}$  the element such that

$$(12) \quad r_\alpha(\mathcal{C}_q) = f_\alpha(\mathcal{C}_q).$$

Let  $\omega \in E_q$ . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \omega(\mathcal{C}_q) &= f_\alpha(\omega(\mathcal{C}_q)) \\ &= f_\alpha \omega f_\alpha^{-1}(f_\alpha(\mathcal{C}_q)) \\ &= f_\alpha \omega f_\alpha^{-1} r_\alpha(\mathcal{C}_q), \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, the group  $\widehat{W}_{a,q}$  normalizes  $W_{a,q}$ , which implies that  $f_\alpha \omega f_\alpha^{-1} \in W_{a,q}$ . In particular, we have  $f_\alpha \omega f_\alpha^{-1} r_\alpha \in W_{a,q}$ . However, by [4, VI.§2.1], the group  $W_{a,q}$  acts simply-transitively on the set of alcoves  $\{\omega(\mathcal{C}_q) \mid \omega \in W_{a,q}\}$ . It follows that  $f_\alpha \omega f_\alpha^{-1} r_\alpha = \omega$ , which implies

$$(13) \quad \omega^{-1} f_\alpha \omega = r_\alpha^{-1} f_\alpha$$

Note that  $r_\alpha^{-1} f_\alpha(\mathcal{C}_q) = \mathcal{C}_q$  and  $r_\alpha^{-1} f_\alpha \in \widehat{W}_{a,q}$ . Proposition 2.1 implies that there is  $\tilde{\alpha} \in \tilde{\Delta}_{\min}$  such that  $f_{\tilde{\alpha},q} = r_\alpha^{-1} f_\alpha$ . We define

$$(14) \quad m : \tilde{\Delta}_{\min} \rightarrow \tilde{\Delta}_{\min}, \alpha \mapsto \tilde{\alpha}.$$

Hence, the following diagram is commutative

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{C}_q & \xrightarrow{\omega} & \omega(\mathcal{C}_q) \\ \downarrow f_{\tilde{\alpha},q} & & \downarrow f_\alpha \\ \mathcal{C}_q & \xrightarrow{\omega} & \omega(\mathcal{C}_q) \end{array}$$

For  $A \subseteq V$  and  $f : V \rightarrow V$ , we denote by  $A^f$  the subset of elements of  $A$  invariant under  $f$ . So, Equation (13) implies that  $\omega : \mathcal{C}_q^{f_{\tilde{\alpha},q}} \rightarrow \omega(\mathcal{C}_q)^{f_\alpha}$  is bijective. Thus the sets  $\mathcal{C}_q^{f_{\tilde{\alpha},q}}$  and  $\omega(\mathcal{C}_q)^{f_\alpha}$  are isometric. Let  $x \in V_\alpha$ . Suppose that  $x$  lies in the interior of some  $\omega(\mathcal{C}_q)$  for some  $\omega \in E_q$ . Then  $x = f_\alpha(x)$  lies in the interior of  $f(\omega(\mathcal{C}_q))$ , which implies that  $f_\alpha(\omega(\mathcal{C}_q)) = \omega(\mathcal{C}_q)$ . Conversely, if  $\omega(\mathcal{C}_q)$  is  $f_\alpha$ -invariant, then the interior of  $\omega(\mathcal{C}_q)$  contains elements of  $V_\alpha$  (for example, the isobarycentre of the simplex  $\omega(\mathcal{C}_q)$ , because  $f_\alpha$  is an affine map). It follows that  $M_{\alpha,q} = \emptyset$  if and only if  $V_\alpha$  is contained in some hyperplane of  $\mathcal{H}_q$ .

Suppose that  $V_\alpha$  is not contained in some hyperplane of  $\mathcal{H}_q$ . For  $H \in \mathcal{H}_q$ , consider the affine space  $V_\alpha \cap H$ . Then  $\dim(V_\alpha \cap H) < \dim V_\alpha$  (otherwise,  $V_\alpha$  would be contained in  $H$ ). This implies that

$$(15) \quad \text{Vol}(\mathcal{C}^{f_\alpha}) = \text{Vol} \left( \mathcal{C}^{f_\alpha} \setminus \bigcup_{H \in \mathcal{H}_q, H \cap \mathcal{C} \neq \emptyset} H \right).$$

Furthermore, we have

$$(16) \quad \mathcal{C}^{f_\alpha} \setminus \bigcup_{H \in \mathcal{H}_q, H \cap \mathcal{C} \neq \emptyset} H = \bigsqcup_{\omega \in M_{\alpha,q}} \omega(\mathcal{C}_q)^{f_\alpha}.$$



Since  $\text{Vol}(\omega(\mathcal{C}_q)^{f_\alpha}) = \text{Vol}(\omega(\mathcal{C}_q^{\circ})^{f_\alpha})$  for every  $\omega \in M_{\alpha,q}$ , Equations (15) and (16) imply that

$$(17) \quad \text{Vol}(\mathcal{C}^{f_\alpha}) = \sum_{\omega \in M_{\alpha,q}} \text{Vol}(\omega(\mathcal{C}_q)^{f_\alpha}).$$

Moreover, the sets  $\mathcal{C}_q^{f_{\tilde{\alpha}},q}$  and  $\omega(\mathcal{C}_q)^{f_\alpha}$  are isometric. Thus they have the same volume, and Equation (17) implies that

$$(18) \quad |M_{\alpha,q}| = \frac{\text{Vol}(\mathcal{C}^{f_\alpha})}{\text{Vol}(\mathcal{C}_q^{f_{\tilde{\alpha}},q})}.$$

Thanks to Lemma 2.4, we have  $F(\mathcal{C}_q^{f_{\tilde{\alpha}},q}) = \mathcal{C}^{f_{\tilde{\alpha}}}$ . By Equation (13) and Lemma 2.4,  $f_\alpha$  and  $f_{\tilde{\alpha}}$  are conjugate (in the group of affine transformations of  $V$ ). Hence,  $z_\alpha$  and  $z_{\tilde{\alpha}}$  have the same order. If  $\mathbf{G}$  is not of type  $D_{2n}$ , then  $\mathcal{A}$  is cyclic. This implies that  $\langle z_\alpha \rangle = \langle z_{\tilde{\alpha}} \rangle$  and thanks to Lemma 2.3, we have  $V_\alpha = V_{\tilde{\alpha}}$ . Hence, we have  $\mathcal{C}^{f_\alpha} = \mathcal{C}^{f_{\tilde{\alpha}}}$ . If  $\mathbf{G}$  is of type  $D_{2n}$ , then the invariant subspaces of  $f_\alpha$  and  $f_{\tilde{\alpha}}$  have the same dimension (because  $f_\alpha$  and  $f_{\tilde{\alpha}}$  are conjugate). Table 1 implies that there is  $i \geq 0$  such that  $\tilde{\alpha} = \rho^i(\alpha)$ . Then  $f_{\tilde{\alpha}} = F_0^{-i} f_\alpha F_0^i$ , and  $\mathcal{C}^{f_{\tilde{\alpha}}}$  and  $\mathcal{C}^{f_\alpha}$  are isometric. We have proven that

$$\text{Vol}(F(\mathcal{C}_q^{f_{\tilde{\alpha}},q})) = \text{Vol}(\mathcal{C}^{f_\alpha}).$$

Since  $F = h_q^{-1} F_0$ , we deduce that  $\text{Vol}(F(\mathcal{C}_q^{f_{\tilde{\alpha}},q})) = q^{\dim(V_\alpha)} \text{Vol}(\mathcal{C}_q^{f_{\tilde{\alpha}},q})$ , and it follows that  $\text{Vol}(\mathcal{C}_q^{f_{\tilde{\alpha}},q}) = \frac{1}{q^{\dim(V_\alpha)}} \text{Vol}(\mathcal{C}^{f_\alpha})$ . Now, the result follows from Equation (18).  $\square$

**Remark 2.6.** If  $V_\alpha$  is contained in some hyperplane  $H$  of  $\mathcal{H}_q$ , then  $H$  is not a wall of  $\mathcal{C}$ . Indeed, the walls of  $\mathcal{C}$  are the hyperplanes  $H_\beta = \{\lambda \in V \mid \langle \beta, \lambda \rangle = m_\beta\}$  for  $\beta \in \tilde{\Delta}$ , where  $m_\beta = 0$  for  $\beta \in \Delta$  and  $m_{-\alpha_0} = -1$ . Let  $\beta \in \tilde{\Delta}$ . We then define the element  $\lambda^\alpha$  by setting  $\lambda_{z_\alpha^i(\beta)}^\alpha = \frac{1}{|\langle z_\alpha \rangle \cdot \beta|}$  for all  $i \geq 0$  and  $\lambda_\gamma^\alpha = 0$  for  $\gamma \notin \langle z_\alpha \rangle \cdot \beta$ . Note that  $\lambda^\alpha \in \mathcal{C}$  and  $f_\alpha(\lambda^\alpha) = \lambda^\alpha$ . Moreover,  $\langle \beta, \lambda^\alpha \rangle = m_\beta + \frac{1}{n_\beta |\langle z_\alpha \rangle \cdot \beta|} \neq m_\beta$ , which implies that  $\lambda^\alpha \notin H_\beta$ .

**Lemma 2.7.** *Let  $\lambda \in \omega(\mathcal{C}_q)$  for some  $\omega \in E_q$ . We suppose that  $\lambda \notin \tilde{\Delta}_{\min}$ . Then,  $\lambda$  lies in an  $F$ -stable  $\overline{W}_a$ -orbit if and only if there is  $\alpha \in \tilde{\Delta}_{\min}$  with  $z_\alpha \in A_{\mathbf{G}}$  such that  $F(\lambda) = F(\omega)f_\alpha(\lambda)$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $F(\omega(\mathcal{C}_q))$  is an alcove for  $W_a$ , there is a unique  $v \in W_a$  such that  $vF(\omega(\mathcal{C}_q)) = \mathcal{C}$ . In particular,  $vF(\lambda) \in \mathcal{C}$ . If  $F(\lambda)$  and  $\lambda$  lie in the same  $\overline{W}_a$ -orbit, then  $vF(\lambda)$  and  $\lambda$  too. Then, by Theorem 2.2(2), there is  $z_\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{G}}$  (for  $\alpha \in \tilde{\Delta}_{\min}$ ) such that  $vF(\lambda) - z_\alpha(\lambda) \in Y(\mathbf{T})$ . Since  $\varpi_\alpha^\vee \in Y(\mathbf{T})$ , we deduce that  $vF(\lambda) - f_\alpha(\lambda) \in Y(\mathbf{T})$ . But  $vF(\lambda)$  and  $f_\alpha(\lambda)$  lie in  $\mathcal{C}$ . We denote by  $(\mu_\beta)_{\beta \in \tilde{\Delta}}$  and  $(\lambda'_\beta)_{\beta \in \tilde{\Delta}}$  the affine coordinate of  $vF(\lambda)$  and  $f_\alpha(\lambda)$ . We have

$$vF(\lambda) - f_\alpha(\lambda) = \sum_{\beta \in \tilde{\Delta}} \frac{\mu_\beta - \lambda'_\beta}{n_\beta} \varpi_\beta^\vee.$$

We have  $0 \leq \mu_\beta \leq 1$  and  $0 \leq \lambda'_\beta \leq 1$  for all  $\beta \in \tilde{\Delta}$  (because  $vF(\lambda)$  and  $f_\alpha(\lambda)$  lie in  $\mathcal{C}$ ). Thus, for every  $\beta \in \Delta$ , we have

$$-\frac{1}{n_\beta} \leq \frac{\mu_\beta - \lambda'_\beta}{n_\beta} \leq \frac{1}{n_\beta}$$

Since  $Y(\mathbf{T}) \leq Y(\mathbf{T}_{\text{ad}})$ , we deduce from Equation (2) that  $\mu_\beta = \lambda'_\beta$  if  $\beta \notin \tilde{\Delta}_{\min}$ . Suppose that  $\beta \in \tilde{\Delta}_{\min}$ . Then  $n_\beta = 1$  and  $\mu_\beta - \lambda'_\beta \in \{-1, 0, 1\}$ . If  $\mu_\beta - \lambda'_\beta \neq 0$ , then we can assume that  $\mu_\beta = 1$  and  $\lambda'_\beta = 0$ . Then  $\mu_{\beta'} = 0$  for all  $\beta' \neq \beta$ , and  $vF(\lambda) = \varpi_\beta^\vee$ . Similarly, we deduce that  $f_\alpha(\lambda) = \varpi_{\beta'}^\vee$  for some  $\beta' \in \tilde{\Delta}_{\min}$  (because  $f_\alpha(\lambda) \neq vF(\lambda)$ , which implies that there is  $\beta' \in \tilde{\Delta}_{\min}$  with  $\lambda'_{\beta'} = 1$ ). It follows that  $\lambda = \varpi_{z_\alpha(\beta')}^\vee \in \tilde{\Delta}_{\min}$ .

So, if we assume that  $\lambda \notin \tilde{\Delta}_{\min}$ , we deduce that  $vF(\lambda) = f_\alpha(\lambda)$ . Moreover,  $vF(\omega(\mathcal{C}_q)) = \mathcal{C}$  implies that  $F(\omega)(\mathcal{C}) = v^{-1}(\mathcal{C})$ . Since  $F(\omega) \in W_a$ , it follows from [4, VI.§2.1] that  $F(\omega) = v^{-1}$ . Conversely, if  $F(\lambda) = F(\omega)f_\alpha(\lambda)$ , then  $F(\omega^{-1})F(\lambda)$  and  $f_\alpha(\lambda)$  are in  $\mathcal{C}$ . So, we have

$$F(\omega^{-1})F(\lambda) - z_\alpha(\lambda) = -\varpi_\alpha^\vee \in Y(\mathbf{T}),$$

and the result comes from Theorem 2.2(2).  $\square$

**Lemma 2.8.** *Suppose that  $\mathcal{A}$  is cyclic. For  $\alpha \in \tilde{\Delta}_{\min}$ ,  $\alpha \neq -\alpha_0$  and  $\omega \in M_{\alpha,q}$ , if  $\lambda \in \omega(\mathcal{C}_q)$  lies in an  $F$ -stable  $\overline{W}_a$ -orbit, then  $\lambda \in V_\alpha$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose there is  $\varpi_\beta^\vee \in \omega(\mathcal{C}_q)$  with  $\beta \in \tilde{\Delta}_{\min}$ . Then  $f_\alpha(\varpi_\beta^\vee) = \varpi_\beta^\vee$ . Moreover,  $\varpi_\beta^\vee = f_\beta(0)$  and  $f_\alpha(0) = \varpi_\alpha^\vee$ . It follows that

$$f_\beta(\varpi_\alpha^\vee) = f_\beta f_\alpha(0) = f_\alpha f_\beta(0) = f_\alpha(\varpi_\beta^\vee) = \varpi_\beta^\vee = f_\beta(0),$$

which implies that  $\varpi_\alpha^\vee = 0$ , i.e.  $\alpha = -\alpha_0$ .

So, this proves that  $\lambda \notin \tilde{\Delta}_{\min}$  and by Lemma 2.7, there is  $\beta \in \tilde{\Delta}_{\min}$  such that  $\omega F^{-1} f_\beta(\lambda) = \lambda$ . Then  $\lambda$  is a fixed-point of the map  $\omega F^{-1} f_\beta : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \omega(\mathcal{C}_q)$ , which is a contraction map with rapport  $1/q$  with respect to the metric  $d_0$ . By the contraction mapping theorem,  $\lambda$  is the unique fixed-point of this map. We write  $\tilde{\alpha} = m(\alpha)$  where  $m$  is the map defined in Equation (14). Then, using Lemma 2.4 and Equation (13), we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} \omega F^{-1} f_\beta f_{\tilde{\alpha}} &= \omega F^{-1} f_{\tilde{\alpha}} f_\beta \\ &= \omega f_{\tilde{\alpha},q} F^{-1} f_\beta \\ &= f_\alpha \omega F^{-1} f_\beta. \end{aligned}$$

In particular, we have  $\omega F^{-1} f_\beta(\mathcal{C}^{f_{\tilde{\alpha}}}) = \omega(\mathcal{C}_q)^{f_\alpha}$ . Moreover, in the proof of Theorem 2.5 we have seen that  $\mathcal{A}$  cyclic implies that  $\mathcal{C}^{f_{\tilde{\alpha}}} = \mathcal{C}^{f_\alpha}$ . Hence, it follows that

$$\omega F^{-1} f_\beta(\mathcal{C}^{f_\alpha}) \subseteq \mathcal{C}^{f_\alpha}.$$

So,  $\lambda$  is the limit of the sequence defined by  $x_0 \in \mathcal{C}^{f_\alpha}$  and  $x_k = \omega F^{-1} f_\beta(x_{k-1})$  for  $k \geq 1$ . Since  $\mathcal{C}^{f_\alpha}$  is closed, we deduce that  $\lambda \in \mathcal{C}^{f_\alpha}$ , as required.  $\square$

The proof of Lemma 2.8 shows that the map  $\omega F^{-1} f_\alpha : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \omega(\mathcal{C}_q)$  for  $\omega \in E_q$  and  $\alpha \in \tilde{\Delta}_{\min}$  has a unique fixed-point, denoted by  $\lambda_{\omega,\alpha}$  in the following. Moreover, we define

$$(19) \quad S_{q,\alpha} = \{\lambda_{\omega,\alpha} \mid \omega \in E_q\}.$$

To simplify, we will write  $S_{q,-\alpha_0} = S_q$  and  $\lambda_{\omega,-\alpha_0} = \lambda_{\omega}$ .

**Lemma 2.9.** *Let  $\alpha \in \tilde{\Delta}_{\min}$ . If  $m : \tilde{\Delta}_{\min} \rightarrow \tilde{\Delta}_{\min}$  denotes the map defined in Equation (14), then  $\varpi_{\alpha}^{\vee} \in S_q$  if and only if  $m(\alpha) = \alpha$ . Moreover, if  $\varpi_{\alpha}^{\vee} \in S_q$ , then for  $\omega \in E_q$  and  $\beta \in \tilde{\Delta}_{\min}$  we have*

$$f_{\alpha}(\lambda_{\omega,\beta}) = \lambda_{\omega',\beta},$$

where  $\omega' = f_{\alpha}\omega f_{\alpha}^{-1}r_{\alpha}$  and  $r_{\alpha}$  is defined in Equation (13).

*Proof.* We have

$$\begin{aligned} r_{\alpha}F^{-1}(\varpi_{\alpha}^{\vee}) &= r_{\alpha}F^{-1}f_{\alpha}(0) \\ &= r_{\alpha}f_{m(\alpha),q}F^{-1}(0) \\ &= f_{m(\alpha)}(0) \\ &= \varpi_{m(\alpha)}^{\vee}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,  $m(\alpha) = \alpha$  if and only if  $\varpi_{\alpha}^{\vee} \in S_q$ . For  $\omega \in E_q$ , we denote by  $\omega' \in E_q$  the element such that  $f_{\alpha}(\omega(\mathcal{C}_q)) = \omega'(\mathcal{C}_q)$ . We have

$$f_{\alpha}\omega f_{\alpha}^{-1}r_{\alpha}(\mathcal{C}_q) = \omega'(\mathcal{C}_q).$$

Since  $f_{\alpha}\omega f_{\alpha}^{-1}r_{\alpha}$  and  $\omega'$  lie in  $W_{a,q}$ , we deduce from [4, VI.§2.1] that  $w' = f_{\alpha}\omega f_{\alpha}^{-1}r_{\alpha}$ . Now, if  $m(\alpha) = \alpha$ , for  $\beta \in \tilde{\Delta}_{\min}$ , we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} f_{\alpha}(\lambda_{\omega,\beta}) &= f_{\alpha}\omega F^{-1}f_{\beta}(\lambda_{\omega,\beta}) \\ &= f_{\alpha}\omega f_{\alpha}^{-1}r_{\alpha}f_{m(\alpha),q}F^{-1}f_{\beta}(\lambda_{\omega,\beta}) \\ &= w'F^{-1}f_{\beta}(f_{m(\alpha)}(\lambda_{\omega,\beta})) \\ &= w'F^{-1}f_{\beta}(f_{\alpha}(\lambda_{\omega,\beta})). \end{aligned}$$

By unicity, we deduce that  $f_{\alpha}(\lambda_{\omega,\beta}) = \lambda_{\omega',\beta}$ .  $\square$

**Remark 2.10.** In [9, 3.8], it is proved that  $S_q \subset \mathbb{Q}_{p'} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} Y(\mathbf{T}_{\text{sc}})$ . Since  $Y(\mathbf{T}_{\text{sc}}) \leq Y(\mathbf{T})$ , the  $\overline{W}_a$ -orbits on  $S_q$  parametrize some  $F$ -stable semisimple classes of  $\mathbf{G}$ .

**Proposition 2.11.** *Suppose that  $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{G}} = \langle z_{\alpha} \rangle$  (for some  $\alpha \in \tilde{\Delta}_{\min,\mathbf{G}}$ ) has prime order and assume that  $\mathbf{G}$  is not of type  $D_{2n}$ . If  $V_{\alpha}$  is not contained in some hyperplane of  $\mathcal{H}_q$ , then the number of  $F$ -stable semisimple classes of  $\mathbf{G}$  with disconnected centralizer is  $q^{\dim(V_{\alpha})}$ . Otherwise, there are no  $F$ -stable classes with disconnected centralizer.*

*Proof.* Since  $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{G}}$  has prime order, the  $\overline{W}_a$ -orbit of  $\lambda \in \mathcal{C}$  corresponding to (see Equation (5)) a semisimple class of  $\mathbf{G}$  with disconnected centralizer lies in  $V_{\alpha}$ , by Theorem 2.2(3). First suppose that  $V_{\alpha}$  is not contained in some hyperplane of  $\mathcal{H}_q$ . Then,  $M_{\alpha,q}$  is non-empty. In the proof of Lemma 2.8, we showed that if  $\lambda \in \omega(\mathcal{C}_q)$  with  $\omega \in M_{\alpha,q}$  is such that its  $\overline{W}_a$ -orbit is  $F$ -stable, then  $\lambda = \lambda_{\omega,\beta}$  for some  $\beta \in \tilde{\Delta}_{\min,\mathbf{G}}$ . Moreover, by Lemma 2.8, we have  $\lambda \in V_{\alpha}$ . Since  $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{G}}$  is generated by  $z_{\alpha}$ , we have  $V_{\alpha} \subseteq V_{\beta}$ . This implies that  $f_{\beta}(\lambda) = \lambda$ . Thus,  $\lambda = \lambda_{\omega,\beta}$  for all  $\beta \in \tilde{\Delta}_{\min,\mathbf{G}}$  and  $\lambda$  is the unique element of  $\omega(\mathcal{C}_q)$  lying in an  $F$ -stable  $\overline{W}_a$ -orbit. So, in particular,  $\lambda = \lambda_{\omega}$ . Moreover, Theorem 2.2(2) implies that for  $\omega' \in M_{\alpha,q}$  such that  $\omega \neq \omega'$ , the elements  $\lambda_{\omega}$  and  $\lambda_{\omega'}$  are not  $\overline{W}_a$ -conjugate. Then there are  $|M_{\alpha,q}|$  such elements. By Remark 2.10, these points lie in  $\mathbb{Q}_{p'} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} Y(\mathbf{T}) \cap \mathcal{C}$  and

correspond to the  $F$ -stable semisimple classes of  $\mathbf{G}$  with disconnected centralizer. The claim then follows from Theorem 2.5.

Suppose now that  $V_\alpha$  is contained in some hyperplane of  $\mathcal{H}_q$ , and assume there is  $\lambda \in V_\alpha$  with  $F$ -stable  $\overline{W}_a$ -orbit. Then  $\lambda \notin \tilde{\Delta}_{\min}$  (because  $\alpha \neq -\alpha_0$  and no element of  $\tilde{\Delta}_{\min}$  is fixed by  $f_\alpha$ ). Hence, Lemma 2.7 implies that  $F(\lambda) = F(\omega)(\lambda)$  with  $\omega \in E_q$  such that  $\lambda \in \omega(\mathcal{C}_q)$ , i.e.,  $\lambda = \omega F^{-1}(\lambda)$ . Then,  $\lambda \in S_q$ . However, by [9, 3.8.2],  $\lambda$  lies in a unique element of  $\Omega_q$ , which contradicts the fact that  $\lambda$  lies in a hyperplane which is not a wall of  $\mathcal{C}$  (see Remark 2.6).  $\square$

**Lemma 2.12.** *If  $p$  does not divide  $|\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{G}}|$ , then for every  $\alpha \in \tilde{\Delta}_{\min, q}$ , the invariant subspace  $V_\alpha$  is contained in no hyperplane of  $\mathcal{H}_q$ .*

*Proof.* As we remarked at the end of the proof of Proposition 2.11, if there is  $\lambda \in S_q$  such that  $f_\alpha(\lambda) = \lambda$  for  $\alpha \in \tilde{\Delta}_{\min, \mathbf{G}}$ , then  $V_\alpha$  is contained in no hyperplane of  $\mathcal{H}_q$ . Suppose there is  $\lambda \in \mathcal{C}$  such that:

- (1) We have  $\lambda \in \mathbb{Q}_{p'} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} Y(\mathbf{T}_{\text{sc}}) \cap \mathcal{C}$ .
- (2) The type  $I_\lambda$  is not equal to the type of  $I_\mu$  for every  $\mu \neq \lambda$ .
- (3) We have  $f_\alpha(\lambda) = \lambda$ .

Let  $\lambda$  be such an element. Property (1) implies that there is a semisimple class  $[s]_{\mathbf{G}_{\text{sc}}}$  in  $\mathbf{G}_{\text{sc}}$  corresponding to  $\lambda$ . Then  $F(s)$  is a semisimple element whose centralizer is of the same type as the one of  $s$  (because  $F$  is an isogeny). Let  $\mu$  be the point of  $\mathcal{C}$  which is  $W_a$ -conjugate to  $F(\lambda)$ , i.e.,  $\mu$  corresponds to the class of  $F(s)$  in the identification given in Equation (5). By Theorem 2.2(3), we have  $I_\mu = I_\lambda$  and it follows from Property (2) that  $\lambda = \mu$ . Hence, the  $W_a$ -orbit of  $\lambda$  is  $F$ -stable. We conclude using Property (3).

Suppose that  $p$  is a prime that satisfies the condition in Table 2. For types  $B_n$ ,  $C_{2n}$ ,  $D_{2n}$ ,  $E_6$  and  $E_7$ , the corresponding elements given in Table 2 satisfy Properties (1), (2), and (3). The result follows in these cases. Furthermore, for the type  $C_{2n+1}$ , if we denotes by  $\lambda_n$  the corresponding element of Table 2, we have

$$F(\lambda_n) = (q - \epsilon_0) \cdot \lambda_n + \epsilon_0 \cdot \lambda_n,$$

where  $\epsilon_0 \in \{-1, 1\}$  is such that  $q \equiv \epsilon_0 \pmod{4}$ . Put  $\delta = 0$  if  $\epsilon_0 = 1$  and  $\delta = 1$  otherwise, and define  $r_n = t_n w_0^\delta$ , with  $t_n$  the translation of vector  $(q - \epsilon_0) \cdot \lambda_n \in Y(\mathbf{T}_{\text{sc}})$  and  $w_0$  is the longest element of  $W$ . By [4, Ch. VI, §4.8],  $w_0$  acts on  $V$  as  $-1$ , which implies that  $w_0(\lambda_n) = -\lambda_n$ . Thus, we have  $r_n \in W_a$  and  $F(\lambda_n) = r_n(\lambda_n)$ . It follows that  $\lambda_n \in S_q$  and we conclude as above.

Note that if  $\mathbf{G}$  is of type  $A_n$ , there is no element  $\lambda \in \mathcal{C}$  which satisfies the above properties (1), (2), (3). So, in order to prove the result for the type  $A_n$ , we will more precisely describe the hyperplanes of  $\mathcal{H}_q$ . For this, we write  $\Delta = \{\alpha_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq n\}$  as in [4, Planche I] and recall that the positive roots are the elements  $\alpha_{ij} = \sum_{i \leq r < j} \alpha_r$  for  $1 \leq i < j \leq n+1$ . Hence, the hyperplanes of  $\mathcal{H}_q$  are given by

$$H_{ij, k} = \left\{ \lambda \in V \mid \langle \alpha_{ij}, \lambda \rangle = \frac{k}{q} \right\},$$

for  $1 \leq i < j \leq n+1$  and  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ . In particular, an element  $\lambda = (\lambda_i)_{1 \leq i \leq n}$  lies in  $H_{ij, k}$  if and only if

$$(20) \quad \sum_{i \leq r < j} \lambda_r = \frac{k}{q}.$$

| Type                  | $\lambda$                              | Type of $I_\lambda$             |
|-----------------------|----------------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| $B_n$ $p \neq 2$      | $\frac{1}{2} \cdot \varpi_2^\vee$      | $B_{n-2} \times A_1 \times A_1$ |
| $C_{2n}$ $p \neq 2$   | $\frac{1}{2} \cdot \varpi_n^\vee$      | $C_n \times C_n$                |
| $C_{2n+1}$ $p \neq 2$ | $\frac{1}{2} \cdot \varpi_{2n+1}^\vee$ | $A_{2n}$                        |
| $D_{2n}$ $p \neq 2$   | $\frac{1}{2} \cdot \varpi_n^\vee$      | $D_n \times D_n$                |
| $E_6$ $p \neq 3$      | $\frac{1}{3} \cdot \varpi_4^\vee$      | $A_2 \times A_2 \times A_2$     |
| $E_7$ $p \neq 2$      | $\frac{1}{2} \cdot \varpi_2^\vee$      | $A_7$                           |

TABLE 2. Some invariant elements

Let  $z_\alpha \in \mathcal{A}$ . Write  $d$  for the order of  $z_\alpha$  and define the element  $\lambda_d \in \mathcal{C}$  such that  $\lambda_{d, z_{\alpha_1}^i} = 1/d$  for all  $i \geq 0$  and  $\lambda_{d, \beta} = 0$  for  $\beta \in \tilde{\Delta}$  which is not in  $\langle z_\alpha \rangle \cdot \alpha_1$ . In particular, thanks to Equation (20),  $\lambda_d \in H_{ij,k}$  for some  $1 \leq i < j \leq n+1$  and  $1 \leq k \leq (q-1)$  (we indeed can suppose that  $H_{ij,k}$  is not a wall of  $\mathcal{C}$  by Remark 2.6) if and only if  $qn_d = kd$ , where  $n_d = |\{\alpha_r \mid i \leq r < j\} \cap \langle z_\alpha \rangle \cdot \alpha_1|$ . Since  $q > k \geq 0$ , we deduce that  $p$  divides  $d$ . It follows that if  $p$  does not divide  $|\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{G}}|$ , then  $V_\alpha$  is not contained in some hyperplane, as required.

Finally, if  $\mathbf{G}$  is of type  $D_{2n+1}$ , then we show using equations of hyperplanes derived from [4, Planche III] and an argument similar to type  $A_n$ , that the  $f_\alpha$ -stable element  $\frac{1}{4}(\varpi_1^\vee + \varpi_{2n}^\vee + \varpi_{2n+1}^\vee)$  lies in no hyperplane of  $\mathcal{H}_q$  which are not walls of  $\mathcal{C}$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 2.13.** *In table 3, we give the number  $n(q)$  of  $F$ -stable semisimple classes with disconnected centralizer for simple algebraic groups such that  $\mathcal{A}$  has prime order. If  $s$  is a representative of such a class, we write  $A_{\mathbf{G}}(s) = \mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{G}}(s)/\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{G}}(s)^\circ$  for the component group of  $\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{G}}(s)$ .*

*Proof.* This is a direct consequence of Proposition 2.11 and Table 1. The condition on  $p$  comes from Lemma 2.12.  $\square$

**Remark 2.14.** Recall that the Lang-Steinberg theorem implies that the number  $|s(\mathbf{G}^F)|$  of semisimple classes of the finite fixed-point subgroup  $\mathbf{G}^F$  is given by

$$(21) \quad |s(\mathbf{G}^F)| = \sum_{\substack{[s]_{\mathbf{G}} \in s(\mathbf{G})^F \\ F(s)=s}} |A_{\mathbf{G}}(s)^F|,$$

where the sum is over the set of  $F$ -stable semisimple classes of  $\mathbf{G}$  and the representative  $s$  is chosen to be  $F$ -stable, which is possible by the Lang-Steinberg theorem. Suppose that  $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{G}}$  has prime order. Then every semisimple element  $s$  with disconnected centralizer has a component group  $A_{\mathbf{G}}(s)$  isomorphic to  $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{G}}$  and so to a subgroup  $H$  of  $\mathbf{Z}(\mathbf{G}_{\text{sc}})$  of order  $|\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{G}}|$  (using the isomorphism of Equation (7)), such that the actions of  $F$  on  $A_{\mathbf{G}}(s)$  and on  $H$  are equivalent. In particular, we deduce that the actions of  $F$  on the groups  $A_{\mathbf{G}}(s)$  for all  $s$  such that  $A_{\mathbf{G}}(s)$  is not trivial, are equivalent. We denote by  $c_1$  (resp.  $c_2$ ) a set of representatives of the  $F$ -stable

| Type              |                          | $n(q)$                            | $ A_{\mathbf{G}}(s) $ |
|-------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------|
| $A_{n,\text{ad}}$ | $n+1$ prime $p \neq n+1$ | 1                                 | $n+1$                 |
| $B_{n,\text{ad}}$ | $p \neq 2$               | $q^{n-1}$                         | 2                     |
| $C_{n,\text{ad}}$ | $p \neq 2$               | $q^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor}$ | 2                     |
| $E_{6,\text{ad}}$ | $p \neq 3$               | $q^2$                             | 3                     |
| $E_{7,\text{ad}}$ | $p \neq 2$               | $q^4$                             | 2                     |

TABLE 3. Number of semisimple classes with disconnected centralizer

semisimple classes of  $\mathbf{G}$  with connected centralizer (resp. a disconnected centralizer) and we suppose that the elements of  $c_1$  and  $c_2$  are chosen to be  $F$ -stable. Then Equation (21) gives

$$(22) \quad |s(\mathbf{G}^F)| = |c_1| + |H^F| \cdot |c_2|.$$

In [5], we computed  $|s(\mathbf{G}^F)|$  for every simple algebraic group  $\mathbf{G}$  defined over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ ; see [5, Table 1]. Moreover, it is well-known [9, 3.7.6] that

$$(23) \quad q^{|\Delta|} = |c_1| + |c_2|.$$

In particular, if  $|H^F|$  is not trivial (this condition is for example related in [5, Table 1]), we can deduce  $n(q) = |c_2|$  from Equations (22), (23) and [5, Table 1]. We retrieve the results of Table 3. But, when  $H^F$  is trivial (for example, for  $q \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$  for  $\mathbf{G}$  of type  $E_6$ ), we get in Equation (22) no new information, and  $n(q)$  cannot be computed using [5].

**Remark 2.15.** In [5], the prime  $p$  is supposed to be a good prime for  $\mathbf{G}$ , i.e.  $p$  does not divide any of the numbers  $n_\alpha$  (for  $\alpha \in \Delta$ ) defined in Equation (6). Note that Proposition 2.11 applies for any prime  $p$  which not divide  $|A_{\mathbf{G}}|$ ; see Lemma 2.12. In particular, we deduce from Equation (21) and Table 3 that

$$|s({}^\epsilon E_{6,\text{ad}}(2^f))| = 2^{6f} + 2^{2f+1} \quad \text{and} \quad |s(E_{7,\text{ad}}(3^f))| = 3^{7f} + 3^{4f},$$

where  $\epsilon = 1$  if  $F$  is a split Frobenius map and  $\epsilon = -1$  otherwise. Thanks to [5, Proposition 5.9], the ordinary McKay Conjecture holds in defining characteristic for these groups.

Now, we define

$$\Theta_q = \bigsqcup_{\alpha \in \tilde{\Delta}_{\min, \mathbf{G}}} S_{q, \alpha}.$$

**Proposition 2.16.** *Suppose that  $F_0$  is trivial and that  $q \equiv 1 \pmod{|A_{\mathbf{G}}|}$ . Then the  $\Gamma_{\mathbf{G}}$ -orbits of  $\Theta_q$  correspond to the  $F$ -stable semisimple classes of  $\mathbf{G}$  in the bijection given in Equation (5). Moreover, if  $A_{\mathbf{G}}$  is cyclic and  $\mathbf{G}$  is not of type  $D_{2n}$ , and if for  $\alpha \in \tilde{\Delta}_{\min, \mathbf{G}}$ , the invariant space  $V_\alpha$  is not contained in some hyperplane of  $\mathcal{H}_q$ , then we have*

$$|\Theta_{q, \alpha}| = q^{\dim(V_\alpha)},$$

where  $\Theta_{q, \alpha}$  denotes the set of  $\Gamma_{\mathbf{G}}$ -orbits of  $\Theta_q$  whose representatives are contained in  $V_\alpha$ .

*Proof.* We have  $|\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{G}}| = |Y(\mathbf{T})/Y(\mathbf{T}_{\text{sc}})|$ . In particular,  $|\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{G}}|$  is the product of the elementary divisors of  $Y(\mathbf{T}_{\text{sc}}) \leq Y(\mathbf{T})$  (viewed as  $\mathbb{Z}$ -modules). Hence, it follows that  $|\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{G}}| \cdot Y(\mathbf{T}) \leq Y(\mathbf{T}_{\text{sc}})$  and  $|\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{G}}| \cdot F^{-1}(Y(\mathbf{T})) \leq F^{-1}(Y(\mathbf{T}_{\text{sc}}))$ . For  $\alpha \in \tilde{\Delta}_{\min}$ , we have  $\varpi_{\alpha,q}^{\vee} = \frac{1}{q} \cdot \varpi_{\alpha}^{\vee}$  (because  $F_0$  is trivial). and  $\varpi_{\alpha}^{\vee} = (q-1)\varpi_{\alpha,q}^{\vee} + \varpi_{\alpha,q}^{\vee}$ . Denote by  $r_{\alpha}$  the translation of vector  $(q-1)\varpi_{\alpha,q}^{\vee}$ . Since  $|\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{G}}|$  divides  $q-1$ , the translation  $r_{\alpha}$  lies in  $F^{-1}(Y(\mathbf{T}))$ , and we have  $r_{\alpha}(\mathcal{C}_q) \subset \mathcal{C}$  and  $\varpi_{\alpha}^{\vee} = q\varpi_{\alpha,q}^{\vee} = r_{\alpha}(\varpi_{\alpha,q}^{\vee})$ . Thus,  $f_{\alpha}(\mathcal{C}_q) = r_{\alpha}(\mathcal{C}_q)$ . Furthermore, we have

$$r_{\alpha}F^{-1}(\varpi_{\alpha}^{\vee}) = \varpi_{\alpha}^{\vee}.$$

This proves that  $\varpi_{\alpha}^{\vee} \in S_{\alpha}$ , and by Lemma 2.9, we deduce that  $m(\alpha) = \alpha$  and that  $\Gamma_{\mathbf{G}}$  acts on  $\Theta_q$ . Now, since  $\varpi_{\alpha}^{\vee} \in \Theta_q$ , Lemma 2.7 implies that the elements of  $\Theta_q$  are the elements of  $\mathcal{C}$  whose  $\overline{W}_q$ -orbit is  $F$ -stable. Moreover, by Theorem 2.2(2), two elements of  $\Theta_q$  are  $\overline{W}_a$ -conjugate if and only if they lie in the same  $\Gamma_{\mathbf{G}}$ -orbit. As we have seen in the proof of Theorem 2.5,  $\Gamma_{\mathbf{G}}$  acts on the set  $\Omega_q$ . We denote by  $S$  a system of representatives of  $\Omega_q/\Gamma_{\mathbf{G}}$ . Then we have

$$\Theta_q/\Gamma_{\mathbf{G}} = \bigsqcup_{\omega(\mathcal{C}_q) \in S} \{\Gamma_{\mathbf{G}} \cdot \lambda_{\omega,\beta} \mid \beta \in \tilde{\Delta}_{\min,\mathbf{G}}\}.$$

Let  $\omega(\mathcal{C}_q) \in S$ . Then we have  $|\{\Gamma_{\mathbf{G}} \cdot \lambda_{\omega,\beta} \mid \beta \in \tilde{\Delta}_{\min,\mathbf{G}}\}| = |S_{\omega,q}|$ , where  $S_{\omega,q} = \{\lambda_{\omega,\beta} \mid \beta \in \tilde{\Delta}_{\min,\mathbf{G}}\}$ . Furthermore, the proof of Lemma 2.9 implies that  $\lambda_{\omega,\beta} = \lambda_{\omega,\beta'}$  if and only if there is  $f \in \Gamma_{\mathbf{G}}$  with  $f(\omega(\mathcal{C}_q)) = \omega(\mathcal{C}_q)$  and  $\beta'$  is the element of  $\tilde{\Delta}_{\min,\mathbf{G}}$  such that  $f_{\beta'} = f f_{\alpha}$ . This proves that

$$|S_{\omega,q}| = |\Gamma_{\mathbf{G}}|/|\text{Stab}_{\Gamma_{\mathbf{G}}}(\omega(\mathcal{C}_q))| = |\Gamma_{\mathbf{G}} \cdot \omega(\mathcal{C}_q)|.$$

It follows that

$$|\Theta_q/\Gamma_{\mathbf{G}}| = \sum_{\omega(\mathcal{C}_q) \in S} |\Gamma_{\mathbf{G}} \cdot \omega(\mathcal{C}_q)| = |E_q| = q^{|\Delta|}.$$

The last equality comes from [11, §3]. However, the number of  $F$ -stable semisimple classes of  $\mathbf{G}$  is  $q^{|\Delta|}$ ; see [9, 3.6.7]. Hence, there are at most  $q^{|\Delta|}$  orbits under  $\overline{W}_a$  in  $\mathbb{Q}_{p'} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} Y(\mathbf{T})$  which are  $F$ -stable. It follows that the elements of  $\Theta_q/\Gamma_{\mathbf{G}}$  have to contain points in  $\mathbb{Q}_{p'} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} Y(\mathbf{T}) \cap \mathcal{C}$ , and correspond to the  $F$ -stable semisimple classes of  $\mathbf{G}$ .

Now, we remark that  $\Gamma_{\mathbf{G}}$  stabilizes  $\Theta_q \cap V_{\alpha}$ . Hence, we have

$$\Theta_{q,\alpha} = \Theta_q \cap V_{\alpha}/\Gamma_{\mathbf{G}}.$$

We set  $S_{\alpha} = \{\omega(\mathcal{C}_q) \in S \mid \omega \in M_{\alpha,q}\}$ . Note that the elements of  $S_{\alpha}$  do not depend on the choice of representatives  $S$  of  $\Omega_q/\Gamma_{\mathbf{G}}$ . Indeed, if  $\omega \in M_{\alpha,q}$  and  $\omega' \in E_q$  is such that there is  $f \in \Gamma_{\mathbf{G}}$  with  $\omega'(\mathcal{C}_q) = f(\omega(\mathcal{C}_q))$ , then  $\omega' \in M_{\alpha,q}$  because  $f$  and  $f_{\alpha}$  commute. Moreover, for  $\omega \in M_{\alpha,q}$ , every  $\lambda_{\omega,\beta}$  with  $\beta \in \tilde{\Delta}_{\min,\mathbf{G}}$  lies in  $V_{\alpha}$  (by Lemma 2.8, because  $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{G}}$  is cyclic). Therefore, we have

$$\Theta_{q,\alpha} = \bigsqcup_{\omega(\mathcal{C}_q) \in S_{\alpha}} \{\Gamma_{\mathbf{G}} \cdot \lambda_{\omega,\beta} \mid \beta \in \tilde{\Delta}_{\min,\mathbf{G}}\}.$$

As above, we conclude that  $|\Theta_{q,\alpha}| = |M_{\alpha,q}|$ , and the result comes from Theorem 2.5.  $\square$

**Proposition 2.17.** *Suppose that  $F_0$  is not trivial and that  $q \equiv -1 \pmod{|\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{G}}|}$ . Moreover, assume that  $\mathbf{G}$  is not of type  $D_{2n}$ . Then the conclusion of Proposition 2.16 holds.*

*Proof.* Let  $\alpha \in \tilde{\Delta}_{\min}$ . For every  $\beta \in \Delta$ , we define  $\tilde{s}_\beta = s_\beta - \frac{\delta_{\alpha\beta}}{q} \cdot \alpha^\vee$ . Write  $z_\alpha = \prod_{\beta \in I} s_\beta$  for some index subset  $I$  of  $\Delta$  and define

$$\tilde{z}_\alpha = \prod_{\beta \in I} \tilde{s}_\beta \in W_{a,q}.$$

Note that  $z_\alpha(\mathcal{C}_q) = \mathcal{C}_q - \frac{1}{q} \cdot \varpi_\alpha^\vee$  (by Proposition 2.1) and  $\tilde{z}_\alpha(\mathcal{C}_q - \frac{1}{q} \cdot \varpi_\alpha^\vee) = \mathcal{C}_q - \frac{2}{q} \cdot \varpi_\alpha^\vee$ . Furthermore, we have  $F_0 z_\alpha F_0^{-1} = z_{\rho^{-1}(\alpha)}$  (see the proof of Lemma 2.4). Moreover,  $\rho$  acts on  $\mathcal{A}$  by  $x \mapsto x^{-1}$  (because  $\mathcal{A}$  is cyclic; see [4, Planche I-IX]). This implies that  $f_{\rho^{-1}(\alpha)} f_\alpha = \text{Id}$ . Hence

$$F_0 z_\alpha F_0^{-1} \left( \frac{1}{q} \cdot \varpi_\alpha^\vee \right) = -\frac{1}{q} \cdot \varpi_{\rho(\alpha)}^\vee + f_{\rho^{-1}(\alpha)} f_\alpha(0),$$

and we deduce that  $z_\alpha(F_0^{-1}(\frac{1}{q} \cdot \varpi_\alpha^\vee)) = -\frac{1}{q} \cdot \varpi_\alpha^\vee$ . Note that  $\tilde{z}_\alpha(-\frac{1}{q} \cdot \varpi_\alpha^\vee) = -\frac{1}{q} \cdot \varpi_\alpha^\vee$ . Since  $|\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{G}}|$  divides  $(q+1)$  the translation  $t$  of vector  $(q+1) \cdot \frac{1}{q} \varpi_\alpha^\vee$  lies in  $W_a$ . We set  $r_\alpha = t \tilde{z}_\alpha z_\alpha \in W_a$ . Then  $r_\alpha(\mathcal{C}_q) \subset \mathcal{C}$  and  $\varpi_\alpha^\vee$  lies in  $r_\alpha(\mathcal{C}_q)$ . Thus  $f_\alpha(\mathcal{C}_q) = r_\alpha(\mathcal{C}_q)$ . Moreover, we have

$$r_\alpha F_0^{-1} \left( \frac{1}{q} \cdot \varpi_\alpha^\vee \right) = \varpi_\alpha^\vee.$$

This proves that  $\varpi_\alpha^\vee \in S_\alpha$  and we conclude as in the proof of Proposition 2.16.  $\square$

**Remark 2.18.** Suppose that  $\mathbf{G}$  is of type  $D_{2n+1}$  and that  $p$  is odd. Assume that  $\mathbf{G}$  is of adjoint type. Thanks to Propositions 2.16 and 2.17, we have

|                       |             |            |               |                 |
|-----------------------|-------------|------------|---------------|-----------------|
| $\alpha$              | $-\alpha_0$ | $\alpha_1$ | $\alpha_{2n}$ | $\alpha_{2n+1}$ |
| $ \Theta_{q,\alpha} $ | $q^{2n+1}$  | $q^{2n-1}$ | $q^n$         | $q^n$           |

Note that we have in fact  $\Theta_{q,\alpha_{2n}} = \Theta_{q,\alpha_{2n+1}}$ . We denote by  $c_d(q)$  a set of representatives (chosen to be  $F$ -stable) of  $F$ -stable semisimple classes of  $\mathbf{G}$  whose centralizer component group has order  $d$ . Since  $\mathbf{G}$  is of adjoint type, we have  $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{G}} = \mathcal{A} \simeq \mathbb{Z}_4$  and  $d|4$ . Furthermore, since  $V_{\alpha_{2n}} \subseteq V_{\alpha_1} \subseteq V_{-\alpha_0}$ , we have

$$(24) \quad |c_4(q)| = q^n, \quad |c_2(q)| = q^{2n-1} - q^n \quad \text{and} \quad |c_1(q)| = q^{2n+1} - q^{2n-1}.$$

Therefore, Equation (21) implies that

$$|s(\mathbf{G}^F)| = q^{2n+1} + q^{2n-1} + 2q^n,$$

and we retrieve the result of [5, Table 1]. Now, using [5, Prop. 4.1] (note that  $\mathbf{G}^*$  is simply-connected), if we denote by  $\text{Irr}_{p'}(\mathbf{G}^{*F^*})$  the set of irreducible  $p'$ -characters of  $\mathbf{G}^{*F^*}$ , then we deduce that

$$|\text{Irr}_{p'}(\mathbf{G}^{*F^*})| = q^{2n+1} + 3q^{2n-1} + 12q^n.$$

Comparing with [5, Prop. 5.10], this proves that the ordinary McKay Conjecture holds for  $\mathbf{G}^{*F^*}$  at the prime  $p$ .



### 3. SEMISIMPLE CHARACTERS

**3.1. Stable semisimple and regular characters.** In this section we keep the notation of Section 2.3 and suppose that the Frobenius map  $F : \mathbf{G} \rightarrow \mathbf{G}$  is split (i.e. the map  $F_0 : V \rightarrow V$  defined on p.7 is trivial). Moreover, we assume that  $p$  is a good prime for  $\mathbf{G}$ . For every  $\alpha \in \Phi$ , we write  $\mathbf{X}_\alpha$  for the corresponding one-dimensional subgroup of  $\mathbf{G}$  normalized by  $\mathbf{T}$  and choose an isomorphism  $x_\alpha : \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p \rightarrow \mathbf{X}_\alpha$  in such a way that  $F(x_\alpha(u)) = x_\alpha(u^q)$ . Let  $\rho$  be a symmetry of the Dynkin diagram. Then we write  $\sigma : \mathbf{G} \rightarrow \mathbf{G}$  for the graph automorphism on  $\mathbf{G}$  defined for all  $\alpha \in \Phi$  and  $u \in \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$  by  $\sigma(x_\alpha(u)) = x_{\rho(\alpha)}(\gamma_\alpha u)$  where  $\gamma_\alpha = \pm 1$  is chosen such that  $\gamma_{\pm\alpha} = 1$  for all  $\alpha \in \Delta$ ; see [8, 12.2.3]. Note that  $F$  and  $\sigma$  commute. We denote by  $\mathbf{U}$  the unipotent radical of  $\mathbf{B}$ . Recall that  $\mathbf{B} = \mathbf{U} \rtimes \mathbf{T}$  and that  $\mathbf{U} = \prod_{\alpha \in \Phi^+} \mathbf{X}_\alpha$ . Note that the product in the last equation is the inner product of  $\mathbf{G}$ . Now, we define the normal subgroup

$$\mathbf{U}_0 = \prod_{\alpha \in \Phi^+ \setminus \Delta} \mathbf{X}_\alpha \subseteq \mathbf{U}$$

and the quotient  $\mathbf{U}_1 = \mathbf{U}/\mathbf{U}_0$  (with canonical projection map  $\pi_{\mathbf{U}_0} : \mathbf{U} \rightarrow \mathbf{U}_1$ ). Then we have  $\mathbf{U}_1 \simeq \prod_{\alpha \in \Delta} \mathbf{X}_\alpha$  (as direct product), and  $\mathbf{U}_0$  is  $F$ -stable and connected, which implies

$$(25) \quad \mathbf{U}_1^F \simeq \prod_{\alpha \in \Delta} \mathbf{X}_\alpha^F,$$

(as direct product), because  $\mathbf{X}_\alpha$  is  $F$ -stable for every  $\alpha \in \Delta$ . Fix  $u_1 \in \mathbf{U}^F$  such that  $\pi_{\mathbf{U}_0}(u_1)_\alpha \neq 1$  for all  $\alpha \in \Delta$  (such an element is regular) and recall that  $A_{\mathbf{G}}(u_1) = Z(\mathbf{G})$ , because  $p$  is a good prime for  $\mathbf{G}$ ; see [14, 14.15, 14.18]. Then by the Lang-Steinberg theorem, we can parametrize the  $\mathbf{G}^F$ -classes of regular elements by  $H^1(F, Z(\mathbf{G}))$  [14, 14.24]. For  $z \in H^1(F, Z(\mathbf{G}))$ , we denote by  $\mathcal{U}_z$  the corresponding class of  $\mathbf{G}^F$ . Furthermore, a linear character  $\phi \in \text{Irr}(\mathbf{U}^F)$  is regular if it has  $\mathbf{U}_0^F$  in its kernel, and if the induced character on  $\mathbf{U}_1^F$  (also denoted by the same symbol) satisfies  $\text{Res}_{\mathbf{X}_\alpha^F}^{\mathbf{U}_1^F}(\phi) \neq 1_{\mathbf{X}_\alpha^F}$  for all  $\alpha \in \Delta$ . By [14, 14.28], we also can parametrize the  $\mathbf{T}^F$ -orbits of regular characters of  $\mathbf{U}^F$  by  $H^1(F, Z(\mathbf{G}))$ . For this, we fix a regular character  $\phi_1$  of  $\mathbf{U}^F$ . Then, for every  $z \in H^1(F, Z(\mathbf{G}))$ , the regular character  $\phi_z = {}^{t_z}\phi_1$ , where  $t_z$  is an element of  $\mathbf{T}$  such that  $t_z^{-1}F(t_z) \in z$ , is a representative of the  $\mathbf{T}^F$ -orbit corresponding to  $z$ .

Now, for  $z \in H^1(F, Z(\mathbf{G}))$ , we define the corresponding Gelfand-Graev character by setting

$$\Gamma_z = \text{Ind}_{\mathbf{U}^F}^{\mathbf{G}^F}(\phi_z).$$

We denote by  $D_{\mathbf{G}^F}$  the duality of Alvis-Curtis and define  $\text{Irr}_r(\mathbf{G}^F) = \{\chi \in \text{Irr}(\mathbf{G}^F) \mid \exists z \in H^1(F, Z(\mathbf{G})), \langle \chi, \Gamma_z \rangle \neq 0\}$  and

$$(26) \quad \text{Irr}_s(\mathbf{G}^F) = \{\epsilon_\chi D_{\mathbf{G}^F}(\chi) \mid \chi \in \text{Irr}_r(\mathbf{G}^F)\},$$

where  $\epsilon_\chi$  is a sign chosen to be such that  $\epsilon_\chi D_{\mathbf{G}^F}(\chi) \in \text{Irr}(\mathbf{G}^F)$ . The elements of  $\text{Irr}_r(\mathbf{G}^F)$  (resp. of  $\text{Irr}_s(\mathbf{G}^F)$ ) are the so-called regular characters (resp. semisimple characters) of  $\mathbf{G}^F$ . In order to describe more precisely the sets  $\text{Irr}_s(\mathbf{G}^F)$  and  $\text{Irr}_r(\mathbf{G}^F)$ , we first introduce further notation. We choose a  $\sigma$ - and  $F$ -stable torus  $\mathbf{T}_0$  containing  $Z(\mathbf{G})$  and we consider the connected reductive group

$$(27) \quad \tilde{\mathbf{G}} = \mathbf{T}_0 \times_{Z(\mathbf{G})} \mathbf{G},$$

where  $Z(\mathbf{G})$  acts on  $\mathbf{G}$  and on  $\mathbf{T}_0$  by translation. We extend  $\sigma$  and  $F$  to  $\tilde{\mathbf{G}}$ . Note that  $\tilde{\mathbf{G}}$  has connected center and the derived subgroup of  $\tilde{\mathbf{G}}$  contains  $\mathbf{G}$ . Furthermore,  $\tilde{\mathbf{T}} = \mathbf{T}_0\mathbf{T}$  is an  $F$ -stable maximal torus of  $\tilde{\mathbf{G}}$  contained in the  $F$ -stable Borel subgroup  $\tilde{\mathbf{B}} = \mathbf{U} \rtimes \tilde{\mathbf{T}}$  of  $\tilde{\mathbf{G}}$ . Moreover, we write  $(\mathbf{G}^*, F^*)$  and  $(\tilde{\mathbf{G}}^*, F^*)$  for pairs dual to  $(\mathbf{G}, F)$  and  $(\tilde{\mathbf{G}}, F)$ , respectively. Then the embedding  $i : \mathbf{G} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathbf{G}}$  induces a surjective homomorphism  $i^* : \tilde{\mathbf{G}}^* \rightarrow \mathbf{G}^*$ . Now, we write  $\mathcal{T}$  and  $\tilde{\mathcal{T}}$  for a set of  $F^*$ -stable representatives of  $s(\mathbf{G}^*)^{F^*}$  and  $s(\tilde{\mathbf{G}}^*)^{F^*}$ . Note that  $s(\tilde{\mathbf{G}})^{F^*} = s(\tilde{\mathbf{G}}^{F^*})$  because the center of  $\tilde{\mathbf{G}}$  is connected, and  $\tilde{\mathcal{T}}$  is then a system of representatives of the semisimple classes of  $\tilde{\mathbf{G}}^{F^*}$ . Furthermore, for  $s \in \mathcal{T}$ , the  $F^*$ -stable  $\mathbf{G}^*$ -classes of  $s$  are parametrized by the group  $H^1(F^*, A_{\mathbf{G}^*}(s))$ . For  $a \in H^1(F^*, A_{\mathbf{G}^*}(s))$ , we denote by  $s_a$  an  $F^*$ -stable representative of the  $F^*$ -stable class corresponding to  $a$ . Then the set

$$(28) \quad \mathcal{S} = \bigsqcup_{s \in \mathcal{T}} \{s_a \mid a \in H^1(F^*, A_{\mathbf{G}^*}(s))\}$$

is a set of representatives of  $s(\mathbf{G}^{*F^*})$ . Note that the elements of  $\tilde{\mathcal{T}}$  are chosen such that, if  $s \in \mathcal{S}$ , there is  $\tilde{s} \in \tilde{\mathcal{T}}$  with  $i^*(\tilde{s}) = s$ . Now, for any semisimple element  $s \in \mathbf{G}^{*F^*}$  and  $\tilde{s} \in \tilde{\mathbf{G}}^{*F^*}$ , we denote by  $\mathcal{E}(\mathbf{G}^F, s) \subseteq \text{Irr}(\mathbf{G}^F)$  and  $\mathcal{E}(\tilde{\mathbf{G}}^F, \tilde{s}) \subseteq \text{Irr}(\tilde{\mathbf{G}}^F)$  the corresponding rational Lusztig series. Recall that  $\mathcal{E}(\mathbf{G}^F, s)$  consists of the irreducible constituents of Deligne-Lusztig characters  $R_{\mathbf{T}_w^*}^{\mathbf{G}}(s)$  with  $s \in \mathbf{T}_w^*$ , where  $\mathbf{T}_w^*$  denotes a maximal torus of  $\mathbf{G}^*$  obtained by twisting  $\mathbf{T}^*$  by  $w \in W$ , and we have

$$\text{Irr}(\mathbf{G}^F) = \bigsqcup_{s \in \mathcal{S}} \mathcal{E}(\mathbf{G}^F, s) \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Irr}(\tilde{\mathbf{G}}^F) = \bigsqcup_{\tilde{s} \in \tilde{\mathcal{T}}} \mathcal{E}(\tilde{\mathbf{G}}^F, \tilde{s}).$$

For  $\tilde{s} \in \tilde{\mathcal{T}}$ , let  $W^\circ(\tilde{s}) \subseteq W$  be the Weyl group of  $C_{\mathbf{G}^*}^\circ(\tilde{s})$ . We define

$$(29) \quad \rho_{\tilde{s}} = \frac{1}{|W^\circ(\tilde{s})|} \sum_{w \in W^\circ(\tilde{s})} R_{\mathbf{T}_w^*}^{\mathbf{G}}(\tilde{s}),$$

$$(30) \quad \chi_{\tilde{s}} = \frac{\varepsilon_{\mathbf{G}} \varepsilon_{C_{\mathbf{G}^*}^\circ(\tilde{s})}}{|W^\circ(\tilde{s})|} \sum_{w \in W^\circ(\tilde{s})} \varepsilon(w) R_{\mathbf{T}_w^*}^{\mathbf{G}}(\tilde{s}),$$

where  $\varepsilon$  is the sign character of  $W$  and  $\varepsilon_{\mathbf{G}} = (-1)^{\text{rk}_{\mathbb{F}_q}(\mathbf{G})}$ . Here,  $\text{rk}_{\mathbb{F}_q}(\mathbf{G})$  denotes the  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -rank of  $\mathbf{G}$ ; see [14, 8.3]. Then we have  $\text{Irr}_s(\tilde{\mathbf{G}}^F) = \{\rho_{\tilde{s}} \mid \tilde{s} \in \tilde{\mathcal{T}}\}$  and  $\text{Irr}_r(\tilde{\mathbf{G}}^F) = \{\chi_{\tilde{s}} \mid \tilde{s} \in \tilde{\mathcal{T}}\}$ . Let  $s \in \mathcal{S}$ . Write  $\tilde{s} \in \tilde{\mathcal{T}}$  such that  $i^*(\tilde{s}) = s$  and define

$$(31) \quad \chi_s = \text{Res}_{\tilde{\mathbf{G}}^F}^{\tilde{\mathbf{G}}^F}(\chi_{\tilde{s}}) \quad \text{and} \quad \rho_s = \text{Res}_{\tilde{\mathbf{G}}^F}^{\tilde{\mathbf{G}}^F}(\rho_{\tilde{s}}).$$

Furthermore, for  $s \in \mathcal{S}$ , we recall that there is a surjective group homomorphism [3, (8.4)]

$$\hat{\omega}_s^0 : H^1(F, Z(\mathbf{G})) \rightarrow \text{Irr}(A_{\mathbf{G}^*}(s)^{F^*}).$$

We now can recall the following result [3, Proposition 15.3, Corollaire 15.14].

**Theorem 3.1.** *For every  $s \in \mathcal{S}$ , we have  $\langle \Gamma_1, \chi_s \rangle_{\mathbf{G}^F} = 1$ . We write  $\chi_{s,1}$  for the common constituent and put  $\rho_{s,1} = \varepsilon_{\mathbf{G}} \varepsilon_{C_{\mathbf{G}^*}^\circ(s)} D_{\mathbf{G}}(\chi_{s,1})$ . Moreover, for  $\xi \in \text{Irr}(A_{\mathbf{G}^*}(s)^{F^*})$ , we define*

$$\chi_{s,\xi} = {}^{tz}\chi_{s,1} \quad \text{and} \quad \rho_{s,\xi} = {}^{tz}\rho_{s,1},$$

where  $z$  is any elements of  $H^1(F, Z(\mathbf{G}))$  such that  $\hat{\omega}_s^0(z) = \xi$  and  $t_z \in \mathbf{T}$  with  $t_z^{-1}F(t_z) \in z$ . Then

- (1) For  $z \in H^1(F, Z(\mathbf{G}))$  and  $\xi \in \text{Irr}(A_{\mathbf{G}^*}(s)^{F^*})$ , the character  $\chi_{s,\xi}$  (resp.  $\rho_{s,\xi}$ ) is an irreducible constituent of  $\Gamma_z$  (resp. of  $D_{\mathbf{G}}(\Gamma_z)$ ), if and only if  $\xi = \hat{\omega}_s^0(z)$ .
- (2) We have

$$\chi_s = \sum_{\xi \in \text{Irr}(A_{\mathbf{G}^*}(s)^{F^*})} \chi_{s,\xi} \quad \text{and} \quad \rho_s = \sum_{\xi \in \text{Irr}(A_{\mathbf{G}^*}(s)^{F^*})} \rho_{s,\xi}.$$

- (3) We have  $\text{Irr}_s(\mathbf{G}^F) = \{\rho_{s,\xi} \mid s \in \mathcal{S}, \xi \in \text{Irr}(A_{\mathbf{G}^*}(s)^{F^*})\}$  and  $\text{Irr}_r(\mathbf{G}^F) = \{\chi_{s,\xi} \mid s \in \mathcal{S}, \xi \in \text{Irr}(A_{\mathbf{G}^*}(s)^{F^*})\}$ .

**Convention 3.2.** The regular character  $\phi_1 \in \text{Irr}(\mathbf{U}^F)$  will be chosen to be  $\sigma$ -stable. This choice is possible by [7, 3.1] (note that Lemma 3.1 of [7] is stated for a Frobenius map  $F'$  which commutes with  $F$ . But the argument is still valuable for a graph automorphism commuting with  $F$ ).

**Proposition 3.3.** Assume that  $\phi_1 \in \text{Irr}(\mathbf{U}^F)$  is chosen as in Convention 3.2. For every  $z \in H^1(F, Z(\mathbf{G}))$ , we have

$${}^\sigma\Gamma_z = \Gamma_{\sigma(z)} \quad \text{and} \quad {}^\sigma D_{\mathbf{G}^F}(\Gamma_z) = D_{\mathbf{G}^F}(\Gamma_{\sigma(z)}).$$

Moreover, the operation of  $\langle \sigma \rangle$  on the set of constituents of  $\Gamma_1$  and of  $\langle \sigma^{*-1} \rangle$  on  $s(\mathbf{G}^{*F^*})$  commute, and for  $s \in \mathcal{S}$ , if the  $\mathbf{G}^{*F^*}$ -class of  $s$  is  $\sigma^*$ -stable, where  $\sigma^* : \mathbf{G}^* \rightarrow \mathbf{G}^*$  denotes the automorphism of  $\mathbf{G}^*$  obtained in dualizing  $\sigma$ , then for every  $z \in H^1(F, Z(\mathbf{G}))$ , we have

$${}^\sigma\chi_{s,\hat{\omega}_s^0(z)} = \chi_{s,\hat{\omega}_s^0(\sigma(z))} \quad \text{and} \quad {}^\sigma\rho_{s,\hat{\omega}_s^0(z)} = \rho_{s,\hat{\omega}_s^0(\sigma(z))}.$$

*Proof.* For  $s \in \mathcal{S}$ , we have

$$(32) \quad {}^\sigma\mathcal{E}(\mathbf{G}^F, s) = \mathcal{E}(\mathbf{G}^F, \sigma^{*-1}(s)).$$

The proof is similar to [6, Proposition 1.1] (because  $F$  and  $\sigma$  commute). In particular, one has  ${}^\sigma\chi_s = \chi_{\sigma^{*-1}(s)}$  and  ${}^\sigma\rho_s = \rho_{\sigma^{*-1}(s)}$ . Since  $\phi_1$  is  $\sigma$ -stable, it follows that  ${}^\sigma\Gamma_1 = \Gamma_1$ . This implies that if  $s$  is  $\sigma^*$ -stable, then  $\chi_{s,1}$  and  $\rho_{s,1}$  are  $\sigma$ -stable. We conclude as in the proof of [7, Theorem 3.6].  $\square$

**Remark 3.4.** Note that Proposition 3.3 shows that  $H^1(F, Z(\mathbf{G}))^\sigma$  parametrizes the  $\sigma$ -stable Gelfand-Graev characters of  $\mathbf{G}^F$ .

**Lemma 3.5.** Suppose that  $H^1(F, Z(\mathbf{G}))$  has prime order. Then every  $\sigma$ -stable regular (resp. semisimple) character of  $\mathbf{G}^F$  is a constituent of some  $\sigma$ -stable Gelfand-Graev character (resp. dual of Gelfand-Graev character) of  $\mathbf{G}^F$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\chi$  be a  $\sigma$ -stable regular character of  $\mathbf{G}^F$ . Thanks to Theorem 3.1(3), there is  $s \in \mathcal{S}$  and  $\xi \in \text{Irr}(A_{\mathbf{G}^*}(s)^{F^*})$  such that  $\chi = \chi_{s,\xi}$  and Proposition 3.3 implies that the  $\mathbf{G}^{*F^*}$ -class of  $s$  is  $\sigma^*$ -stable. Let  $z \in H^1(F, Z(\mathbf{G}))$  be any element such that  $\hat{\omega}_s^0(z) = \xi$ . In particular,  $\chi \in \Gamma_z$  by Theorem 3.1(1). Since  $H^1(F, Z(\mathbf{G}))$  has prime order, we deduce that  $\ker(\hat{\omega}_s^0)$  is either trivial or equal to  $H^1(F, Z(\mathbf{G}))$ . If  $\ker(\hat{\omega}_s^0) = H^1(F, Z(\mathbf{G}))$ , then  $\xi = \hat{\omega}_s^0(1)$  and  $\chi \in \Gamma_1$ , which is  $\sigma$ -stable with our choice in Convention 3.2. Suppose now that  $\ker(\hat{\omega}_s^0)$  is trivial. By Proposition 3.3, we also have  ${}^\sigma\chi_{s,\xi} = \chi_{s,\hat{\omega}_s^0(\sigma(z))}$ . It follows from Theorem 3.1(1) that  $\chi$  is  $\sigma$ -stable,

if and only if  $\hat{\omega}_s^0(\sigma(z)) = \hat{\omega}_s^0(z)$ , which is equivalent to  $z^{-1}\sigma(z) \in \ker(\hat{\omega}_s^0)$ . Then  $\sigma(z) = z$  and Proposition 3.3 implies that  ${}^\sigma\Gamma_z = \Gamma_z$ , as required.  $\square$

**Remark 3.6.** Note that in general, if  $\chi$  is a  $\sigma$ -stable regular character of  $\mathbf{G}^F$ , then  $\chi$  is not necessarily a constituent of some  $\sigma$ -stable Gelfand-Graev character of  $\mathbf{G}^F$ . For example, consider a simple simply-connected group  $\mathbf{G}$  of type  $A_3$  defined over  $\mathbb{F}_q$  (with  $q \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ ) and suppose that the corresponding Frobenius map  $F$  is split. We denote by  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2$  and  $\alpha_3$  the simple roots of  $\mathbf{G}$  (relative to an  $F$  and  $\sigma$ -stable maximal torus  $\mathbf{T}$  of  $\mathbf{G}$ ) that we label as in [4, Planche I]. Write  $\sigma$  for the non-trivial graph automorphism of  $A_3$ . The condition on  $q$  implies that  $F$  acts trivially on  $Z(\mathbf{G})$ . Hence,  $H^1(F, Z(\mathbf{G})) = Z(\mathbf{G})$ . Write  $z_0$  for a generator of  $Z(\mathbf{G})$ . With the choice of Convention 3.2, we write  $\Gamma_i = \Gamma_{z_0^i}$  (with  $0 \leq i \leq 3$ ) for the 4 Gelfand-Graev characters of  $\mathbf{G}^F$ . Furthermore, we will denote by  $\varpi_i^\vee$  the fundamental weight corresponding to  $\alpha_i$ . Recall that  $\mathbf{G}^* = \mathbf{G}_{\text{ad}}$ . Define  $\lambda = \frac{1}{2}(\varpi_1^\vee + \varpi_3^\vee)$  and write  $s = \tilde{\iota}(\lambda) \in \mathbf{T}_{\text{ad}}$ . Then  $F(s) = s$  and  $\sigma(s) = s$ . Moreover,  $\lambda$  is stable under  $f_{z_0^2}$ , but not under  $f_{z_0}$ . Thus, by Theorem 2.2, we have  $A_{\mathbf{G}^*}(\lambda) = \langle z_0^2 \rangle$ , and  $A_{\mathbf{G}^*}(s)^{F^*} \simeq \mathbb{Z}_2$ . Denote by 1 and  $\eta$  the irreducible characters of  $A_{\mathbf{G}^*}(s)^{F^*}$ , and by  $\chi_{s,1}$  and  $\chi_{s,\eta}$  the corresponding regular characters of  $\mathbf{G}^F$  as in Theorem 3.1(1). Since  $\sigma$  acts as  $x \rightarrow x^{-1}$  on  $Z(\mathbf{G})$ , we have

$$\hat{\omega}_s^0(1) = \hat{\omega}_s^0(z_0^2) = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{\omega}_s^0(z_0) = \hat{\omega}_s^0(z_0^3) = \eta,$$

and Proposition 3.3 implies that  $\chi_{s,1}$  and  $\chi_{s,\eta}$  are  $\sigma$ -stable. Moreover, thanks to Theorem 3.1(1), the Gelfand-Graev characters which have  $\chi_{s,1}$  (resp.  $\chi_{s,\eta}$ ) as constituent are  $\Gamma_0$  and  $\Gamma_2$  (resp.  $\Gamma_1$  and  $\Gamma_3$ ). However, by Proposition 3.3, we have

$${}^\sigma\Gamma_0 = \Gamma_0, \quad {}^\sigma\Gamma_2 = \Gamma_2 \quad \text{and} \quad {}^\sigma\Gamma_1 = \Gamma_3,$$

and  $\chi_{s,\eta}$  is a  $\sigma$ -stable regular character of  $\mathbf{G}^F$  which is constituent of no  $\sigma$ -stable Gelfand-Graev characters of  $\mathbf{G}^F$ , as claimed.

**3.2. Disconnected reductive groups.** By Clifford theory, an irreducible character  $\chi$  of  $\mathbf{G}^F$  is  $\sigma$ -stable, if and only if it extends to the group  $\mathbf{G}^F \rtimes \langle \sigma \rangle$ . Moreover, if  $E(\chi)$  denotes an extension of  $\chi$ , then Gallagher's theorem [19, 6.17] implies that every extension of  $\chi$  is obtained by tensoring  $E(\chi)$  with a linear character of  $\mathbf{G}^F \rtimes \langle \sigma \rangle$  trivial on  $\mathbf{G}^F$ . So, in order to obtain information about the sets  $\text{Irr}_r(\mathbf{G}^F)^\sigma$  and  $\text{Irr}_s(\mathbf{G}^F)^\sigma$ , we aim to understand the extensions of these characters to  $\mathbf{G}^F \rtimes \langle \sigma \rangle$ . For this, we will consider the group

$$\mathbf{H} = \mathbf{G} \rtimes \langle \sigma \rangle.$$

We extend  $F$  to a Frobenius map on  $\mathbf{H}$  by setting  $F(\sigma) = \sigma$  (to simplify notation, the extended map will also be denoted by  $F$ ). Note that  $\mathbf{H}$  is a disconnected reductive group defined over  $\mathbb{F}_q$  (the rational structure is given by  $F$ ), and  $\mathbf{H}^\circ = \mathbf{G}$ . Moreover,  $\sigma$  is a rational quasi-central element in the sense of [15, 1.15]. Now, for  $i \geq 0$ , we define a scalar product on the space of class functions on the coset  $\mathbf{G}^F \cdot \sigma^i$ , by setting

$$\langle \chi, \chi' \rangle_{\mathbf{G}^F \cdot \sigma^i} = \frac{1}{|\mathbf{G}^F|} \sum_{g \in \mathbf{G}^F} \chi(g\sigma^i) \overline{\chi'(g\sigma^i)}.$$

Recall that in [15, 4.10], Digne and Michel define a duality involution  $D_{\mathbf{G}^F, \sigma^i}$  for  $i \geq 0$  on the set of class functions defined over the coset  $\mathbf{G}^F \cdot \sigma^i$ , and prove in [15,

4.13] that if  $\chi \in \text{Irr}(\mathbf{H}^F)$  restricts to an irreducible character on  $\mathbf{G}^F$ , then the class function  $D_{\mathbf{H}^F}(\chi)$  defined for all  $g \in \mathbf{G}^F$  and  $i \geq 0$  by

$$(33) \quad D_{\mathbf{H}^F}(\chi)(g\sigma^i) = D_{\mathbf{G}^F, \sigma^i}(\chi|_{\mathbf{G}^F \cdot \sigma^i})(g\sigma^i),$$

is (up to a sign) an irreducible character of  $\mathbf{H}^F$ .

We suppose that  $\phi_1 \in \text{Irr}(\mathbf{U}^F)$  is chosen as in Convention 3.2. In particular,  $\phi_1$  is  $\sigma$ -stable and linear. Thus,  $\phi_1$  extends to a linear character  $E(\phi_1)$  of  $\mathbf{U}^F \rtimes \langle \sigma \rangle$  by setting

$$(34) \quad E(\phi_1)(u\sigma) = \phi_1(u) \quad \forall u \in \mathbf{U}^F.$$

This extension is the so-called canonical extension of  $\phi_1$ . We define

$$(35) \quad E(\Gamma_1) = \text{Ind}_{\mathbf{U}^F \rtimes \langle \sigma \rangle}^{\mathbf{H}^F}(E(\phi_1)).$$

Note that, as direct consequence of Mackey's theorem [19, (5.6) p.74], we have

$$\text{Res}_{\mathbf{G}^F}^{\mathbf{H}^F}(E(\Gamma_1)) = \Gamma_1.$$

Hence,  $E(\Gamma_1)$  extends  $\Gamma_1$ . We write  $\Gamma_{1,\sigma} = \text{Res}_{\mathbf{G}^F \cdot \sigma}^{\mathbf{H}^F}(E(\Gamma_1))$ .

Write  $C_1$  for the set of irreducible constituents of  $\Gamma_1$  and for  $\chi \in C_1^\sigma$ , denote by  $E(\chi)$  the constituent of  $E(\Gamma_1)$  that extends  $\chi$ . Define

$$(36) \quad \Psi_1 = \sum_{\chi \in C_1^\sigma} D_{\mathbf{H}^F}(E(\chi)).$$

**Lemma 3.7.** *We suppose that Convention 3.2 holds. Then we have*

$$\text{Res}_{\mathbf{G}^F \cdot \sigma}^{\mathbf{H}^F}(\Psi_1) = D_{\mathbf{G}^F, \sigma}(\Gamma_{1,\sigma}),$$

and  $\langle \Psi_1, \Psi_1 \rangle_{\mathbf{H}^F} = \langle \Gamma_{1,\sigma}, \Gamma_{1,\sigma} \rangle_{\mathbf{G}^F \cdot \sigma}$ . In particular,

$$\langle \Gamma_{1,\sigma}, \Gamma_{1,\sigma} \rangle_{\mathbf{G}^F \cdot \sigma} = \left| s(\mathbf{G}^{*F^*})^{\sigma^*} \right|.$$

In [22], Sorlin develops a theory of Gelfand-Graev characters for disconnected groups when  $\sigma$  is semisimple or unipotent. These characters are extensions of some  $\sigma$ -stable Gelfand-Graev characters of  $\mathbf{G}^F$  to  $\mathbf{H}^F$ ; see [22, §5]. In particular, the following result is proven [22, 8.3].

**Theorem 3.8.** *Suppose that  $\sigma$  is a unipotent or a semisimple element of  $\mathbf{H}^F$  and that  $H^1(F, Z(\mathbf{G}^\sigma))$  is trivial. Then  $\mathbf{H}^F$  has a unique Gelfand-Graev character  $\Gamma$  and we have*

$$\langle \Gamma_\sigma, \Gamma_\sigma \rangle_{\mathbf{G}^F \cdot \sigma} = |Z(\mathbf{G}^\sigma)^{\circ F}|q^l,$$

where  $l$  is the semisimple rank of  $\mathbf{G}^\sigma$  and  $\Gamma_\sigma$  denotes the restriction of  $\Gamma$  to the coset  $\mathbf{G}^F \cdot \sigma$ .

**Remark 3.9.** The character  $E(\Gamma_1)$  defined in Equation (35) is a Gelfand-Graev character of  $\mathbf{H}^F$  in the sense of [22], because the linear character  $E(\phi_1)$  defined in Equation (34) is regular [22, Définition 4.1]. Note that by [8, 12.2.3] the graph automorphism  $\sigma$  that we consider here always satisfies the condition (RS) defined in [22, Notation 2.1].

**3.3. A result of extendibility.** Let  $n$  be a positive integer. The map  $F' = F^n$  is a Frobenius map of  $\mathbf{G}$ , which gives a rational structure over  $\mathbb{F}_{q^n}$ . Note that  $F$  and  $\sigma$  commute with  $F'$ . Then restrictions of these endomorphisms to  $\mathbf{G}^{F'}$  induce automorphisms of  $\mathbf{G}^{F'}$ , denoted by the same symbol in the following. Note that, viewed as an automorphism of  $\mathbf{G}^{F'}$ , the automorphism  $F$  has order  $n$ . We write  $A = \langle F, \sigma \rangle$  and

$$(37) \quad N_{F'/F} : \mathbf{U}_1^{F'} \rightarrow \mathbf{U}_1^F, u \mapsto uF(u) \dots F^{n-1}(u),$$

for the norm map of  $\mathbf{U}_1$ , where  $\mathbf{U}_1$  is the group defined before Equation (25), and we set  $N_{F'/F}^* : \text{Irr}(\mathbf{U}_1^F) \rightarrow \text{Irr}(\mathbf{U}_1^{F'})$ ,  $\phi \mapsto \phi \circ N_{F'/F}$ . Since  $F$  and  $\sigma$  commute, we have

$$(38) \quad \sigma \circ N_{F'/F} = N_{F'/F} \circ \sigma.$$

**Lemma 3.10.** *If  $\phi$  is a  $\sigma$ -stable character of  $\mathbf{U}_1^F$ , then the character  $N_{F'/F}^*(\phi)$  is stable under  $F$  and  $\sigma$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $\mathbf{U}_1$  is abelian and connected, the map  $N_{F'/F}$  is surjective [7, §2.4], and  $N_{F'/F}^*$  is a bijection between  $\text{Irr}(\mathbf{U}_1^F)$  and  $\text{Irr}(\mathbf{U}_1^{F'})^F$ . Moreover, for every  $\phi \in \text{Irr}(\mathbf{U}_1^F)^\sigma$ , Equation (38) implies that  $N_{F'/F}(\phi)$  is  $\sigma$ -stable, as required.  $\square$

**Remark 3.11.** If  $\phi \in \text{Irr}(\mathbf{U}^F)$  is regular and  $\sigma$ -stable, then the corresponding character of  $\mathbf{U}_1^F$  is  $\sigma$ -stable. Applying Lemma 3.10 to this character, we obtain a character of  $\text{Irr}(\mathbf{U}_1^{F'})$  stable under  $F$  and  $\sigma$ . Denote by  $\tilde{\phi}$  the corresponding character of  $\mathbf{U}^{F'}$  (with  $\mathbf{U}_0^{F'}$  in its kernel). Then  $\tilde{\phi}$  is a regular character of  $\mathbf{U}^{F'}$  stable under  $F$  and  $\sigma$ . Thus,  $\tilde{\phi}$  extends to  $\mathbf{U}^{F'} \rtimes \langle \sigma \rangle$ . Now, it follows from Equation (34) that  $E(\tilde{\phi})$  is  $F$ -stable.

**Convention 3.12.** *The character  $\phi_1$  of  $\mathbf{U}^{F'}$  used to parametrize the Gelfand-Graev characters of  $\mathbf{G}^{F'}$  is chosen to be  $\sigma$  and  $F$ -stable. This is possible by Remark 3.11.*

**Proposition 3.13.** *Assume that  $\phi_1 \in \text{Irr}(\mathbf{U}^{F'})$  is chosen as in Convention 3.12. Suppose that  $\sigma$  is semisimple and that the characteristic  $p$  is a good prime of  $(\mathbf{G}^\sigma)^\circ$ . If  $H^1(F', Z(\mathbf{G}^\sigma))$  is trivial, then the constituents of  $\Psi_1$  are  $F$ -stable.*

*Proof.* Denote by  $\mathcal{U}_\sigma$  the set of regular elements of  $\mathbf{H}$  which are  $\mathbf{G}$ -conjugate to an element of the coset  $\mathbf{U} \cdot \sigma$ . In [22, §8], Sorlin defines a family of subsets  $(\mathcal{U}_z)_{z \in H^1(F', Z(\mathbf{G}))}$  of  $\mathcal{U}_\sigma^F$  which form a partition of  $\mathcal{U}_\sigma^F$  (see [22, 8.1]). Furthermore, we define

$$\gamma_u(g) = \begin{cases} |\mathbf{G}^{F'}|/|\mathcal{U}_\sigma^F| & \text{if } g \in \mathcal{U}_\sigma^F \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}.$$

Remark 3.9 and the proof of [22, Théorème 8.4] imply that

$$(39) \quad D_{\mathbf{G}^{F'}, \sigma}(\Gamma_{1, \sigma}) = \gamma_u,$$

because  $H^1(F', Z(\mathbf{G}^\sigma))$  is trivial. Recall that the irreducible characters of  $\langle \sigma \rangle$  are described as follows. We fix a primitive  $|\langle \sigma \rangle|$ -complex root of unity  $\sigma_0$ , and recall that the linear characters of  $\langle \sigma \rangle$  are the morphisms  $\varepsilon_i : \langle \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$  such that  $\varepsilon_i(\sigma) = \sigma_0^i$ . Let  $\rho_{s,1}$  be a  $\sigma$ -stable constituent of  $D_{\mathbf{G}^{F'}}(\Gamma_1)$ . Then the set  $\text{Irr}(\mathbf{H}^{F'}, \rho_{s,1})$  of extensions of  $\rho_{s,1}$  to  $\mathbf{H}^{F'}$  consists of the characters

$$\rho_{s,1,i} = E(\rho_{s,1}) \otimes \varepsilon_i \in \text{Irr}(\mathbf{H}^{F'}),$$

for any  $i \geq 0$ , where  $E(\rho_{s,1})$  denotes an extension of  $\rho_{s,1}$  to  $\mathbf{H}^{F'}$  (such extensions exist by [19, 11.22]). Now, [22, Proposition 8.1] implies that  $\mathcal{U}_\sigma^{F'}$  is an  $\mathbf{H}^{F'}$ -class (because  $p$  is good for  $(\mathbf{G}^\sigma)^\circ$ , the group  $H^1(F', Z(\mathbf{G}^\sigma))$  is trivial and  $\sigma$  is semisimple). Hence, by Lemma 3.7 and Equation (39), for any  $h \in \mathcal{U}_\sigma^{F'}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_{s,1,i}(h) &= \sigma_0^i \rho_{s,1,i}(h) \\ &= \sigma_0^i \langle \gamma_u, \rho_{s,1} \rangle_{\mathbf{G}^{F'} \cdot \sigma} \\ &= \sigma_0^i \varepsilon_{\mathbf{G}} \varepsilon_{\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{G}^*}^\circ(s)}. \end{aligned}$$

In particular, since  $\sigma_0$  has order  $|\langle \sigma \rangle|$ , we deduce that

$$(40) \quad \rho_{s,1,i} = \rho_{s,1,j} \iff \rho_{s,1,i}(h) = \rho_{s,1,j}(h) \text{ for } h \in \mathcal{U}_\sigma^{F'}.$$

Suppose now that  $\rho_{s,1}$  is  $F$ -stable. Then  ${}^F \rho_{s,1,i} = \rho_{s,1,j}$  for some  $j \geq 0$ , because  $\text{Irr}(\mathbf{H}^{F'}, \rho_{s,1})$  is  $F$ -stable, and for  $h \in \mathcal{U}_\sigma^{F'}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_{s,1,j}(h) &= {}^F \rho_{s,1,i}(h) \\ &= \rho_{s,1,i}(F(h)) \\ &= \rho_{s,1,i}(h), \end{aligned}$$

because  $F(h) \in \mathcal{U}_\sigma^{F'}$ . Therefore, Equation (40) implies that  ${}^F \rho_{s,1,i} = \rho_{s,1,i}$ .  $\square$

**Remark 3.14.** In fact, in the proof of Proposition 3.13 we proved that every extension to  $\mathbf{H}^{F'}$  of an  $F$ - and  $\sigma$ -stable constituent of  $D_{\mathbf{G}^F}(\Gamma_1)$  is  $F$ -stable.

#### 4. APPLICATION TO FINITE GROUPS OF TYPE $E_6$

**4.1. Preliminaries.** In this section,  $\mathbf{G}$  denotes a simple simply-connected group of type  $E_6$  over  $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$ . We suppose that  $p$  is a good prime for  $\mathbf{G}$  (i.e.,  $p \neq 2, 3$ ). Let  $\mathbf{T}$  be a maximal torus of  $\mathbf{G}$  contained in a Borel subgroup  $\mathbf{B}$  of  $\mathbf{G}$ . We denote by  $\Phi$  the root system of  $\mathbf{G}$  relative to  $\mathbf{T}$ , and by  $\Phi^+$  and  $\Delta$  the sets of positive roots and simple roots corresponding to  $\mathbf{B}$ . For  $\alpha \in \Phi$ , we write  $\mathbf{X}_\alpha$  for the corresponding root subgroup and choose an isomorphism  $x_\alpha : \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p \rightarrow \mathbf{X}_\alpha$ . Since  $\mathbf{G}$  is simple, we have  $\mathbf{G} = \langle x_\alpha(u) \mid \alpha \in \Phi, u \in \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p \rangle$ . For  $\alpha \in \Phi$  and  $t \in \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$ , we set  $n_\alpha(t) = x_\alpha(t)x_{-\alpha}(-t^{-1})x_\alpha(t)$ . Recall that the Weyl group  $W$  of  $\mathbf{G}$  is generated by the coset  $n_\alpha(1) \cdot \mathbf{T}$  for all  $\alpha \in \Phi$ . Moreover, for  $\alpha \in \Phi$  one has  $\alpha^\vee(t) = n_\alpha(t)n_\alpha(1)^{-1}$  for all  $t \in \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$ . Then, we have  $\mathbf{T} = \langle \alpha^\vee(t) \mid \alpha \in \Phi, t \in \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p^\times \rangle$  and  $\mathbf{B} = \langle \mathbf{T}, x_\alpha(u), \alpha \in \Phi^+, u \in \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p \rangle$ ; see [17, 1.12.1].

We write  $\Delta = \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_6\}$  as in [4, Planche V] and denote by  $\rho$  the symmetry of  $\Delta$  of order 2. As in §3.1, we define the corresponding graph automorphism  $\sigma : \mathbf{G} \rightarrow \mathbf{G}$  and a split Frobenius map by setting  $F(x_\alpha(u)) = x_\alpha(u^p)$  for  $\alpha \in \Phi$  and  $u \in \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$ , which commute with  $\sigma$  and defines an  $\mathbb{F}_p$ -structure on  $\mathbf{G}$ . Note that  $\mathbf{T}$  and  $\mathbf{B}$  are stable under  $F$  and  $\sigma$ . Moreover, by [4, Planche V], we have

$$\tilde{\iota}(\omega_{\alpha_1}^\vee) = \alpha_1^\vee(\xi)\alpha_3^\vee(\xi^2)\alpha_5^\vee(\xi)\alpha_6^\vee(\xi^2),$$

where  $\xi \in \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$  has order 3 (such an element exists because  $p \neq 3$ ). Define

$$(41) \quad \mathbf{T}_0 = \{\alpha_1^\vee(t)\alpha_3^\vee(t^2)\alpha_5^\vee(t)\alpha_6^\vee(t^2) \mid t \in \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p^\times\}.$$

Then  $\mathbf{T}_0$  is a subtorus of  $\mathbf{T}$  which contains  $Z(\mathbf{G}) = \langle \tilde{\iota}(\omega_{\alpha_1}^\vee) \rangle$ , and is stable under  $\sigma$  and  $F$ . In the following, we will use this torus for the construction of  $\tilde{\mathbf{G}}$  as in Equation (27).

Recall that  $\mathbf{G}^\sigma$  is a simple group of type  $F_4$  (by [17, 1.15.2]) and  $\mathbf{T}^\sigma$  is a maximal  $F$ -stable torus of  $\mathbf{G}^\sigma$ , contained in the  $F$ -stable Borel subgroup  $\mathbf{B}^\sigma$  of  $\mathbf{G}^\sigma$  (see [17, 4.1.4(c)]). In particular,  $Z(\mathbf{G}^\sigma)$  is trivial (for example, by Proposition 2.1, because  $Y(\mathbf{T}_{\text{ad}}^\sigma)/Y(\mathbf{T}_{\text{sc}}^\sigma)$  is trivial).

**Lemma 4.1.** *With the above notation, the group  $(\tilde{\mathbf{G}}^\sigma)^\circ$  is a simple group of type  $F_4$ .*

*Proof.* The automorphism  $\sigma$  of  $\tilde{\mathbf{G}}$  stabilizes  $\tilde{\mathbf{T}}$  and  $\tilde{\mathbf{B}}$ . Then it is quasi-semisimple (see [15, 1.1]) and by [16, 0.1], the group  $\tilde{\mathbf{G}}^\sigma$  is reductive and the root system of  $(\tilde{\mathbf{G}}^\sigma)^\circ$  only depends on  $\Phi$  (the root system of  $\tilde{\mathbf{G}}$ ) and on  $\sigma$ . Hence,  $(\tilde{\mathbf{G}}^\sigma)^\circ$  and  $\mathbf{G}^\sigma$  have the same type, i.e., an irreducible root system of type  $F_4$ . Furthermore, by [15, 1.8],  $\mathbf{T}' = (\tilde{\mathbf{T}}^\sigma)^\circ$  is a maximal torus of  $(\tilde{\mathbf{G}}^\sigma)^\circ$ . Now the exact sequence

$$(42) \quad 0 \rightarrow (X(\mathbf{T}') \cap \mathbb{Q}\Phi)/\mathbb{Z}\Phi \rightarrow X(\mathbf{T}')/\mathbb{Z}\Phi \rightarrow X(\mathbf{T}')/(X(\mathbf{T}') \cap \mathbb{Q}\Phi)$$

induces an exact sequence for the  $p'$ -torsion subgroups of these groups. Since  $X(\mathbf{T}')/(X(\mathbf{T}') \cap \mathbb{Q}\Phi)$  has no torsion, we deduce that

$$(43) \quad ((X(\mathbf{T}') \cap \mathbb{Q}\Phi)/\mathbb{Z}\Phi)_{p'} \simeq (X(\mathbf{T}')/\mathbb{Z}\Phi)_{p'}$$

However, the group  $X(\mathbf{T}') \cap \mathbb{Q}\Phi$  is a subgroup of the weight lattice  $\Lambda$ . So, it follows that  $((X(\mathbf{T}') \cap \mathbb{Q}\Phi)/\mathbb{Z}\Phi)_{p'}$  is a subgroup of  $X(\mathbf{T}_{\text{sc}}^\sigma)/X(\mathbf{T}_{\text{ad}}^\sigma) = \{1\}$  (because  $\mathbf{G}^\sigma$  is a simple group of type  $F_4$ , which implies that its fundamental group is trivial). It follows from Equation (43) and [3, 4.1] that  $Z((\tilde{\mathbf{G}}^\sigma)^\circ)$  is connected. Denote by  $\chi_0 : \tilde{\mathbf{T}} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p^\times$  the character of  $\tilde{\mathbf{T}}$  induced by the character  $\mathbf{T}_0 \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p^\times$ ,  $t \mapsto t^3$  in  $X(\mathbf{T}_0)$  (the character  $\chi_0$  is well-defined because it is trivial on  $Z(\mathbf{G})$ ). Note that  $X(\tilde{\mathbf{T}}) = \langle \alpha, \alpha \in \Delta; \chi_0 \rangle$ . Moreover,  ${}^\sigma\chi_0 = -\chi_0$  implies that

$$\text{Rk}_{\mathbb{Z}} \left( (1 - \sigma)X(\tilde{\mathbf{T}}) \right) = \text{Rk}_{\mathbb{Z}} \left( (1 - \sigma)X(\mathbf{T}) \right) + 1.$$

Now, the proof of [15, 1.28] implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \dim(\mathbf{T}') &= \text{Rk}_{\mathbb{Z}} \left( X(\tilde{\mathbf{T}})/(1 - \sigma)X(\tilde{\mathbf{T}}) \right) \\ &= \text{Rk}_{\mathbb{Z}} \left( X(\tilde{\mathbf{T}}) \right) - \text{Rk}_{\mathbb{Z}} \left( (1 - \sigma)X(\tilde{\mathbf{T}}) \right) \\ &= \text{Rk}_{\mathbb{Z}}(X(\mathbf{T})) - \text{Rk}_{\mathbb{Z}} \left( (1 - \sigma)X(\mathbf{T}) \right) \\ &= \dim(\mathbf{T}^\sigma). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, if  $\Phi_\sigma$  denotes the root system of  $\mathbf{G}^\sigma$ , we deduce from [23, 8.1.3] that

$$\begin{aligned} \dim((\tilde{\mathbf{G}}^\sigma)^\circ) &= \dim(\mathbf{T}') + |\Phi_\sigma| \\ &= \dim(\mathbf{T}^\sigma) + |\Phi_\sigma| \\ &= \dim(\mathbf{G}^\sigma). \end{aligned}$$

The result follows.  $\square$

Let  $n$  be a positive integer,  $F' = F^n$  and  $A = \langle F, \sigma \rangle$  as in §3.3. We consider the finite group  $\mathbf{G}^{F'}$ .



**Lemma 4.2.** *The subgroups of  $A$  are  $\langle \sigma^i F^j \rangle$  (for  $i \in \{1, 3\}$  and a divisor  $j$  of  $n$ ) and  $\langle \sigma \rangle \times \langle F^j \rangle$  (for a divisor  $j$  of  $n$ ).*

*Proof.* Note that the elements of order 2 of  $A$  are  $\sigma$ ,  $F^{n/2}$  and  $\sigma F^{n/2}$  if  $n$  is even and  $\sigma$  otherwise. Let  $H$  be a subgroup of  $A$ . Then  $H = H_2 \times H_{2'}$  with  $H_2$  the 2-Sylow subgroup of  $H$  and  $H_{2'} \leq \langle F \rangle$ . Then  $H$  is cyclic if and only if  $H_2$  is cyclic if and only if  $H_2$  contains a unique element of order 2. The result comes from the fact that if  $H_2$  contains more than one element of order 2, then  $\sigma \in H_2$ .  $\square$

Denote by  $\text{Irr}_l(\mathbf{U}^{F'})$  the set of linear characters of  $\mathbf{U}^{F'}$  and by  $\text{Irr}_s(\mathbf{B}^F)$  the set of irreducible characters  $\chi$  of  $\mathbf{B}^{F'}$  such that  $\text{Res}_{\mathbf{U}^{F'}}^{\mathbf{B}^{F'}}(\chi)$  has constituents in  $\text{Irr}_l(\mathbf{U}^{F'})$ . The characters of  $\text{Irr}_s(\mathbf{B}^{F'})$  can be described as follows. The  $\tilde{\mathbf{T}}^{F'}$ -orbits of  $\text{Irr}_l(\mathbf{U}^{F'})$  are parametrized by the subsets of  $\Delta$ . For  $J \subset \Delta$ , we denote by  $\omega_J$  the corresponding  $\tilde{\mathbf{T}}^{F'}$ -orbit, and write  $\mathbf{L}_J$  for the standard Levi subgroup (which is  $F$ -stable) with set of simple roots  $J$ . Note that  $\omega_J$  corresponds to the regular characters of  $\text{Irr}(\mathbf{U}_J^{F'})$ .

**Convention 4.3.** *Write  $A_J = \text{Stab}_A(\omega_J)$ . Then by [7, Lemma 3.1] and Remark 3.11 there is  $\phi_J$  in  $\omega_J$  an  $A_J$ -stable character. Moreover,  $\text{Stab}_A(\phi_J) = A_J$  and if  $\tau \in A$ , then  $\text{Stab}_A(\tau \phi_J) = \text{Stab}_A(\phi_J)$ , because  $A$  is abelian. Let  $\Omega$  be an  $A$ -orbit of  $\text{Irr}_l(\mathbf{U}^{F'})/\tilde{\mathbf{T}}^{F'}$  and we fix  $\omega_J \in \Omega$ . In the following, we will fix a  $A_J$ -stable character  $\phi_J \in \omega_J$ , and if  $J' \subseteq \Delta$  is such that there is  $\tau \in A$  with  $\omega_{J'} = \tau \omega_J$ , then we choose  $\phi_{J'} = \tau \phi_J$  as representative for  $\omega_{J'}$ . Note that  $\phi_{J'}$  is well-defined (because it does not depend on the choice of  $\tau \in A$  with  $\tau \omega_J = \omega_{J'}$ ) and is  $A_{J'}$ -stable. This choice is compatible with Convention 3.12.*

Now, for  $z \in H^1(F', Z(\mathbf{L}_J))$ , we choose  $t_z \in \mathbf{T}$  such that  $t_z^{-1} F'(t_z) \in z$  and define

$$(44) \quad \phi_{J,z} = {}^{t_z} \phi_J.$$

Then the family  $(\phi_{J,z})_{J \subseteq \Delta, z \in H^1(F', Z(\mathbf{L}_J))}$  is a system of representatives of the  $\mathbf{T}^{F'}$ -orbits of  $\text{Irr}_l(\mathbf{U}^{F'})$ . Moreover, if we write  $Z_J = Z(\mathbf{L}_J)$ , then for every  $z \in H^1(F', Z(\mathbf{L}_J))$ , we have  $\text{Stab}_{\mathbf{T}^{F'}}(\phi_{J,z}) = Z_J^{F'}$ . Now, for  $J \subseteq \Delta$ ,  $z \in H^1(F', Z(\mathbf{L}_J))$  and  $\psi \in \text{Irr}(Z_J^{F'})$ , we define

$$(45) \quad \chi_{J,z,\psi} = \text{Ind}_{\mathbf{U}^{F'} \rtimes Z_J^{F'}}^{\mathbf{B}^{F'}}(\hat{\phi}_{J,z} \otimes \psi),$$

where  $\hat{\phi}_{J,z}$  is the extension of  $\phi_{J,z}$  to  $\mathbf{U}^{F'} \rtimes Z_J^{F'}$  defined by  $\hat{\phi}_{J,z}(ut) = \phi_{J,z}(u)$  for all  $u \in \mathbf{U}^{F'}$  and  $t \in Z_J^{F'}$ .

**Lemma 4.4.** *Assume that Convention 4.3 holds. For  $\tau \in A$ ,  $J \subseteq \Delta$ ,  $z \in H^1(F', Z(\mathbf{L}_J))$  and  $\psi \in \text{Irr}(Z_J^{F'})$ , we have*

$${}^\tau \chi_{J,z,\psi} = \chi_{\tau(J),\tau(z),{}^\tau \psi}.$$

*Proof.* Using the induction formula [19, 5.1], we have

$$(46) \quad {}^\tau \chi_{J,z,\psi} = \text{Ind}_{\mathbf{U}^{F'} \rtimes {}^\tau Z_J^{F'}}^{\mathbf{B}^{F'}}({}^\tau \hat{\phi}_{J,z} \otimes {}^\tau \psi).$$

Since  $\tau$  and  $F'$  commute, we have  $\tau(Z_J^{F'}) = \tau(Z_J)^{F'} = Z_{\tau(J)}^{F'}$ , because  $\tau(\mathbf{L}_J) = \mathbf{L}_{\tau(J)}$ . Moreover, the choices in Convention 4.3 imply that  ${}^\tau\phi_{J,z}$  is  $\mathbf{T}^{F'}$ -conjugate to  $\phi_{\tau(J),\tau(z)}$ , and the result follows.  $\square$

**4.2. Equivariant bijections.** We define  $B = D \rtimes A$ , where  $D$  is the group of outer diagonal automorphism of  $\mathbf{G}^{F'}$  induced by the inner automorphisms of  $\tilde{\mathbf{T}}^{F'}/\mathbf{T}^{F'}$ . We denote by  $\mathcal{O}_D$  and  $\mathcal{O}'_D$  the set of  $D$ -orbits of  $\text{Irr}_s(\mathbf{G}^{F'})$  and  $\text{Irr}_s(\mathbf{B}^{F'})$ . The group  $D$  has order 1 or 3. For  $i \in \{1, 3\}$ , we write  $\mathcal{O}_{D,i}$  and  $\mathcal{O}'_{D,i}$  for the subset of elements of  $\mathcal{O}_D$  and  $\mathcal{O}'_D$  of size  $i$ . For  $\nu \in \text{Irr}(\mathbf{Z}(\mathbf{G}^{F'}))$ , we denote by  $\text{Irr}(\mathbf{G}^{F'}|\nu)$  the set of irreducible characters of  $\mathbf{G}^{F'}$  lying over  $\nu$ , that is  $\chi \in \text{Irr}(\mathbf{G}^{F'}|\nu)$  if and only if  $\chi|_{\mathbf{Z}(\mathbf{G}^{F'})} = \chi(1) \cdot \nu$ . We recall that  $\mathbf{Z}(\mathbf{B}) = \mathbf{Z}(\mathbf{G})$ , and for  $\nu \in \text{Irr}(\mathbf{Z}(\mathbf{G}^{F'}))$  we set

$$\text{Irr}_s(\mathbf{G}^{F'}|\nu) = \text{Irr}(\mathbf{G}^{F'}|\nu) \cap \text{Irr}_s(\mathbf{G}^{F'}) \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Irr}_s(\mathbf{B}^{F'}|\nu) = \text{Irr}(\mathbf{B}^{F'}|\nu) \cap \text{Irr}_s(\mathbf{B}^{F'}),$$

and denote by  $\mathcal{O}_{D,\nu}$  and  $\mathcal{O}'_{D,\nu}$  the set of  $D$ -orbits of  $\text{Irr}(\mathbf{G}^{F'}|\nu)$  and  $\text{Irr}(\mathbf{B}^{F'}|\nu)$ , respectively. For  $i \in \{1, 3\}$ , we write  $\mathcal{O}_{D,\nu,i}$  (resp.  $\mathcal{O}'_{D,\nu,i}$ ) for the subset of elements of  $\mathcal{O}_{D,\nu}$  (resp. of  $\mathcal{O}'_{D,\nu}$ ) of size  $i$ .

**Remark 4.5.** By Theorem 3.1(2), every  $D$ -orbit of  $\text{Irr}_s(\mathbf{G}^{F'})$  is the set of constituents of some  $\rho_s$  with  $s \in \mathcal{S}$ . We denote by  $\delta_s$  the  $D$ -orbit corresponding to  $s \in \mathcal{S}$ . Note that  $|\delta_s| = |A_{\mathbf{G}^*}(s)^{F'}|$ . For  $J \subseteq \Delta$  and  $\psi \in \text{Irr}(\mathbf{Z}_J^{F'})$ , we define

$$(47) \quad \delta_{J,\psi} = \{\chi_{J,z,\psi} \mid z \in H^1(F', \mathbf{Z}(\mathbf{L}_J))\}.$$

Then the  $D$ -orbits of  $\text{Irr}_s(\mathbf{B}^{F'})$  are the sets  $\delta_{J,\psi}$  with  $J \subseteq \Delta$  and  $\psi \in \text{Irr}(\mathbf{Z}_J^{F'})$ . Moreover, we have  $|\delta_{J,\psi}| = |H^1(F', \mathbf{Z}(\mathbf{L}_J))|$ .

**Lemma 4.6.** *Let  $\nu \in \text{Irr}(\mathbf{Z}(\mathbf{G}^{F'}))$ . Write  $A_\nu = \text{Stab}_A(\nu)$  and suppose that  $\mathcal{O}_{D,\nu,k}$  and  $\mathcal{O}'_{D,\nu,k}$  for  $k \in \{1, 3\}$  are  $A_\nu$ -equivalent. Then  $\text{Irr}_s(\mathbf{G}^{F'}|\nu)$  and  $\text{Irr}_s(\mathbf{B}^{F'}|\nu)$  are  $D \rtimes A_\nu$ -equivalent.*

*Proof.* We choose  $A_\nu$ -equivariant bijections  $f_1 : \mathcal{O}_{D,\nu,1} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}'_{D,\nu,1}$  and  $f_3 : \mathcal{O}_{D,\nu,3} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}'_{D,\nu,3}$ . We define  $\Psi_\nu : \text{Irr}_s(\mathbf{G}^{F'}|\nu) \rightarrow \text{Irr}_s(\mathbf{B}^{F'}|\nu)$  as follows. Let  $\delta_s \in \mathcal{O}_{D,\nu}$ . If  $\delta_s \in \mathcal{O}_{D,\nu,k}$  for  $k \in \{1, 3\}$ , then by Remark 4.5 there is  $J \subseteq \Delta$  and  $\psi \in \text{Irr}(\mathbf{Z}_J^{F'})$  such that  $f_k(\delta_s) = \delta_{J,\psi}$ . Then we set

$$\Psi_\nu(\rho_{s,z}) = \chi_{J,z,\psi}.$$

Note that, if  $H^1(F', \mathbf{Z}(\mathbf{L}_J))$  is not trivial, then  $H^1(F', \mathbf{Z}(\mathbf{L}_J))$  and  $H^1(F', \mathbf{Z}(\mathbf{G}))$  are identified by the map  $h_J^1 : H^1(F', \mathbf{Z}(\mathbf{G})) \rightarrow H^1(F', \mathbf{Z}(\mathbf{L}_J))$  defined in [14, 14.31] (which is an isomorphism in this case). Hence, the map  $\Psi_\nu$  is well-defined and is an  $D \rtimes A_\nu$ -equivariant bijection by Theorem 3.1, Lemma 4.4, Proposition 3.3 and [7, 3.6].  $\square$

**Theorem 4.7.** *Suppose that  $\mathbf{G}$  is a simple simply-connected group of type  $E_6$  defined over  $\mathbb{F}_q$  with corresponding Frobenius  $F'$ . We suppose that  $F'$  is split. With the above notation, if  $\nu \in \text{Irr}(\mathbf{Z}(\mathbf{G})^{F'})$ , then the sets  $\text{Irr}_s(\mathbf{G}^{F'}|\nu)$  and  $\text{Irr}_s(\mathbf{B}^{F'}|\nu)$  are  $D \rtimes A_\nu$ -equivalent.*

*Proof.* Write  $q = p^n$ ,  $F$  the split Frobenius map of  $\mathbf{G}$  over  $\mathbb{F}_p$  which stabilizes  $\mathbf{T}$  and  $\mathbf{B}$ , and  $\sigma$  the graph automorphism of  $\mathbf{G}$  with respect to  $\mathbf{T}$  and  $\mathbf{B}$ , as above.

Recall that  $F' = F^n$ . We will prove that  $\text{Irr}_s(\mathbf{G}^{F'}|\nu)$  and  $\text{Irr}_s(\mathbf{B}^{F'}|\nu)$  are  $D \rtimes A_\nu$ -equivalent, using Lemma 4.6. In order to prove that  $\mathcal{O}_{D,\nu,k}$  and  $\mathcal{O}'_{D,\nu,k}$  for  $k \in \{1, 3\}$  are  $A_\nu$ -equivalent, we use [19, 13.23].

First, we suppose that  $Z(\mathbf{G})^{F'} = 1$  and  $Z(\mathbf{G})^{F'} = Z(\mathbf{G})$ , that is  $p \not\equiv 1 \pmod{3}$  and  $q \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ . We write  $\text{Irr}(Z(\mathbf{G})^{F'}) = \{1_Z, \varepsilon, \varepsilon^2\}$ . Note that  $n$  is even. Moreover, we have

$$A_{1_Z} = A \quad \text{and} \quad A_\varepsilon = A_{\varepsilon^2} = \langle \sigma F \rangle.$$

Suppose that  $k = 3$  and  $\nu = 1_Z$ . Let  $H \leq A$  (the subgroups of  $A$  are described in Lemma 4.2). By Lemma [7, 5.7] and Equation (32) (which is valid for any element of  $A$ ), we deduce that  $|\mathcal{O}_{D,1_Z,3}^H|$  is equal to the number of  $H^*$ -stable classes of  $s(\mathbf{G}^*)$  with disconnected centralizer, where  $H^*$  denotes the subgroup of automorphisms of  $\mathbf{G}^*$  induced by elements of  $H$ . If  $H = \langle \sigma \rangle \times \langle F^j \rangle$  or  $H = \langle \sigma^i F^j \rangle$ , then we write  $d = \text{Ord}(F^j)$ . We claim that  $|\mathcal{O}_{D,1_Z,3}^H| = p^{2n/d}$ . Indeed, Theorem 2.2(3) implies that every semisimple class of  $\mathbf{G}$  with disconnected centralizer is  $\sigma$ -stable, and we conclude with Table 3.

Now, in the proof of [7, 5.9], it is shown that  $|\delta'_{J,\psi}| = 3$ , if and only if  $Z_J^{F'} = \mathbf{T}_J^{F'} \times H_J^{F'}$ , where  $\mathbf{T}_J$  is a torus of rank  $|\Delta| - |J|$  and  $H_J^{F'}$  is isomorphic to  $H^1(F', Z(\mathbf{L}_J))$ . Moreover, the elements of  $\delta'_{J,\psi \otimes \varepsilon^m}$  lie over  $\varepsilon^m$ . So,  $\mathcal{O}'_{D,\varepsilon^m,3}$  consists of the orbits  $\delta'_{J,\psi \otimes \varepsilon^m}$  with  $|H^1(F', Z(\mathbf{L}_J))| = 3$ . By [1, Lemme 2.16, Table 2.17], a subset  $J \subseteq \Delta$  parametrizes such an orbit if and only if it contains  $\{\alpha_1, \alpha_3, \alpha_5, \alpha_6\}$ . Furthermore, by [17, Lemma 4.4.7], the group  $\mathbf{G}^\sigma$  is a simple group of type  $F_4$  with root system  $\Phi_\sigma = \{\tilde{\alpha} \mid \alpha \in \Phi\}$  where  $\tilde{\alpha} = \frac{1}{2}(\alpha + \rho(\alpha))$ , and the set of simple roots of  $\mathbf{G}^\sigma$  with respect to  $\mathbf{T}^\sigma$  and  $\mathbf{B}^\sigma$  is  $\Delta_\sigma = \{\tilde{\alpha}_1, \dots, \tilde{\alpha}_4\}$ . Note that the labelling is as in [4, Planche VIII]. In particular,  $\tilde{\alpha}_1 = \alpha_2$  and  $\tilde{\alpha}_2 = \alpha_4$  are the long roots of  $\Delta_\sigma$ . Moreover, the root subgroup corresponding to  $\tilde{\alpha} \in \Phi_\sigma$  is  $\tilde{\mathbf{X}}_\alpha^\sigma$ , where  $\tilde{\mathbf{X}}_\alpha = \mathbf{X}_\alpha$  if  $\alpha = \rho(\alpha)$  and  $\tilde{\mathbf{X}}_\alpha = \mathbf{X}_\alpha \cdot \mathbf{X}_{\rho(\alpha)}$  if  $\alpha \neq \rho(\alpha)$ ; see the proof of [17, Lemma 4.4.7]. We associate to  $J \subseteq \Delta$  the subset  $\tilde{J} \subseteq \Delta_\sigma$  such that, if a  $\sigma$ -orbit of  $\Delta$  lies in  $J$ , the corresponding root of  $\Delta_\sigma$  lies in  $\tilde{J}$ . Write  $\Phi_{\tilde{J}} = \Phi_\sigma \cap \mathbb{Z}\tilde{J}$ . Then we have

$$\mathbf{L}_J = \langle \mathbf{T}, \tilde{\mathbf{X}}_{\tilde{\alpha}}, \tilde{\alpha} \in \Phi_{\tilde{J}} \rangle \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{L}_{\tilde{J}} = \langle \mathbf{T}^\sigma, \tilde{\mathbf{X}}_{\tilde{\alpha}}^\sigma, \tilde{\alpha} \in \Phi_{\tilde{J}} \rangle,$$

where  $\mathbf{L}_{\tilde{J}}$  is the standard Levi subgroup of  $\mathbf{G}^\sigma$  with respect to  $\mathbf{T}^\sigma$  corresponding to  $\tilde{J}$ , because  $\mathbf{T}^\sigma$  is connected. Note that  $\mathbf{L}_J^\sigma = \mathbf{L}_{\tilde{J}}$  and  $D(\mathbf{L}_J^\sigma) = D(\mathbf{L}_J)^\sigma$ , where  $D(\mathbf{L}_J)$  denotes the derived subgroup of  $\mathbf{L}_J$ . Furthermore, we have  $\mathbf{T}_J = \text{Rad}(\mathbf{L}_J)$ , which implies that  $\mathbf{T}_J$  is  $\sigma$ -stable. Since  $\mathbf{L}_J^\sigma$  is connected (as a Levi subgroup), we deduce from [15, 1.31] and [23, 2.2.1] that  $\mathbf{L}_J^\sigma = \mathbf{T}_J^\sigma D(\mathbf{L}_J)^\sigma$ . This product is direct, because  $\sigma$  fixes no non-trivial element of the center of  $D(\mathbf{L}_J)$ . It follows that  $\mathbf{T}_J^\sigma$  is connected (as group isomorphic to the connected quotient  $\mathbf{L}_J^\sigma / D(\mathbf{L}_J)^\sigma$ ). In particular,  $\mathbf{T}_J^\sigma$  is a subgroup of  $\text{Rad}(\mathbf{L}_J^\sigma)$ . Moreover, since  $D(\mathbf{L}_J)^\sigma = D(\mathbf{L}_J^\sigma)$ , we deduce from [23, 2.3.3] that  $\dim(\mathbf{T}_J^\sigma) = \dim(\text{Rad}(\mathbf{L}_J^\sigma))$ , and [23, 1.8.2] implies that

$$(48) \quad \mathbf{T}_J^\sigma = \text{Rad}(\mathbf{L}_J^\sigma).$$

Let  $\delta'_{J,\psi \otimes 1_Z} \in \mathcal{O}'_{D,1_Z,3}(\langle \sigma \rangle \times \langle F^j \rangle)$ . Then  $J$  is  $\sigma$ -stable and contains  $\{\alpha_1, \alpha_3, \alpha_5, \alpha_6\}$ , and  $\sigma\psi = \psi$ . Moreover, we have  $(\mathbf{T}_J^{F'})^{\langle \sigma, F^j \rangle} = (\mathbf{T}_J^\sigma)^{F^j}$  and Equation (48) implies that the set  $\mathcal{O}'_{D,1_Z,3}(\langle \sigma \rangle \times \langle F^j \rangle)$  is in bijection with the set of characters  $\tilde{\chi}_{\tilde{J},\tilde{\psi}}$  of  $\text{Irr}_s((\mathbf{B}^\sigma)^{F^j})$

such that  $\tilde{J}$  contains  $\{\tilde{\alpha}_3, \tilde{\alpha}_4\}$ . Therefore, [5, Lemma 5.4] implies that

$$(49) \quad \left| \mathcal{O}'_{D,1Z,3} \langle \sigma \rangle \times \langle F^j \rangle \right| = p^{2n/d}.$$

Similarly, since  $(\sigma^i F^j)^n = F'$  (because  $n$  is even), for a  $\sigma^i$ -stable  $J \subseteq \Delta$ , we have  $(\mathbf{T}_J^{F'})^{\sigma^i F^j} = \mathbf{T}_J^{\sigma^i F^j}$ , and we deduce that  $\mathcal{O}'_{D,1Z,3} \langle \sigma^i F^j \rangle$  is in bijection with the  $D$ -orbits of size 3 of  $\text{Irr}_s(\mathbf{B}^{\sigma^i F^j}, 1_Z)$ . As above, [1, 2.17] and [5, Lemma 5.4] implies that  $|\mathcal{O}'_{D,1Z,3} \langle \sigma^i F^j \rangle| = p^{2n/d}$ . This discussion proves that, if  $\nu = 1_Z$ , then for every  $H \leq A$  we have

$$(50) \quad |\mathcal{O}_{D,1Z,3}^H| = |\mathcal{O}'_{D,1Z,3}^H|.$$

Now, if  $\nu = \varepsilon^m$  with  $m = \pm 1$ , then  $A_\nu = \langle \sigma F \rangle$  and for every  $H = \langle \sigma^i F^{n/d} \rangle \leq A_\nu$ , the same argument shows that

$$(51) \quad |\mathcal{O}_{D,\varepsilon^m,3}^H| = p^{2n/d} = |\mathcal{O}'_{D,\varepsilon^m,3}^H|.$$

So, this proves that for every  $\nu \in \text{Irr}(Z(\mathbf{G})^{F'})$ , the sets  $\mathcal{O}_{D,\nu,3}$  and  $\mathcal{O}'_{D,\nu,3}$  are  $A_\nu$ -equivalent.

Suppose now that  $k = 1$ . Note that  $s(\mathbf{G}^{*F'^*})$  and  $\mathcal{O}_D$  are  $A$ -equivalent. Let  $d$  be a divisor of  $n$ . Then, we have  $|s(\mathbf{G}^{*F'^*}) \langle \sigma^{*i} F^{*n/d} \rangle| = |s(\mathbf{G}^{*F^{*n/d}})|$ , because the set of representatives  $\mathcal{T}$  of  $F'$ -stable semisimple classes of  $\mathbf{G}^*$  can be chosen such that if the class of  $t \in \mathcal{T}$  is  $\sigma^{*i} F^{*n/d}$ -stable, then  $\sigma^{*i} F^{*n/d}(t) = t$ . We then conclude using the fact that a power of  $\sigma^{*i} F^{*n/d}$  equals  $F'$  and with Equation (28). So, by [5, 1.1], we deduce that

$$(52) \quad \left| s(\mathbf{G}^{*F'^*}) \langle \sigma^{*i} F^{*n/d} \rangle \right| = \begin{cases} p^{n|\Delta|/d} + 2p^{2n/d} & \text{if } Z(\mathbf{G})^{\sigma^i F^{n/d}} = Z(\mathbf{G}) \\ p^{n|\Delta|/d} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}.$$

Furthermore, if  $t \in \mathcal{T}$  is chosen  $F^{*n/d}$ -stable when the class of  $t$  in  $\mathbf{G}^*$  is  $F^{*n/d}$ -stable, then we have  $|s(\mathbf{G}^{*F'^*}) \langle \sigma^* \rangle \times \langle F^{*n/d} \rangle| = |s(\mathbf{G}^{*F^{n/d}}) \langle \sigma^* \rangle|$ . Thanks to Theorem 3.8, we deduce that

$$(53) \quad \left| s(\mathbf{G}^{*F'^*}) \langle \sigma^* \rangle \times \langle F^{*n/d} \rangle \right| = p^{n|\Delta_\sigma|/d}.$$

Now, using the case  $k = 3$ , the fact that  $\mathcal{O}_D = \mathcal{O}_{D,1} \sqcup \mathcal{O}_{D,3}$  and Equations (52) and (53), we deduce that

$$(54) \quad \left| \mathcal{O}_{D,1} \langle \sigma^{*i} F^{*n/d} \rangle \right| = p^{n|\Delta|/d} - p^{2n/d} \quad \text{and} \quad \left| \mathcal{O}'_{D,1} \langle \sigma^* \rangle \times \langle F^{*n/d} \rangle \right| = p^{n|\Delta_\sigma|/d} - p^{2n/d}.$$

Moreover, note that the argument at the beginning of the proof shows that the set  $\mathcal{O}'_D \langle \sigma \rangle \times \langle F^{n/d} \rangle$  is in bijection with  $\text{Irr}_s((\mathbf{B}^\sigma)^{F^{n/d}})$ , which has  $p^{d|\Delta_\sigma|}$  elements by [6, Proposition 3]. The set  $\mathcal{O}'_D \langle \sigma^i F^{n/d} \rangle$  is in bijection with  $\text{Irr}_s(\mathbf{B}^{\sigma^i F^{n/d}})$ , which has  $p^{n|\Delta|/d} + 2p^{2n/d}$  elements if  $\sigma^i F^{n/d}$  acts trivially on  $Z(\mathbf{G})$ , and  $p^{d|\Delta|}$  elements otherwise. Since  $\mathcal{O}'_D = \mathcal{O}'_{D,1} \sqcup \mathcal{O}'_{D,3}$ , we deduce from Equations (49), (50) and (51) that

$$(55) \quad \left| \mathcal{O}'_{D,1} \langle \sigma^i F^{n/d} \rangle \right| = p^{n|\Delta|/d} - p^{2n/d} \quad \text{and} \quad \left| \mathcal{O}'_{D,1} \langle \sigma \rangle \times \langle F^{n/d} \rangle \right| = p^{n|\Delta_\sigma|/d} - p^{2n/d}.$$

Equations (54) and (55) prove that the sets  $\mathcal{O}_{D,1}$  and  $\mathcal{O}'_{D,1}$  are  $A$ -equivalent. So, by [5, Theorem 1.1], the sets  $\text{Irr}_s(\mathbf{G}^{F'}, \nu)$  and  $\text{Irr}_s(\mathbf{B}^{F'}, \nu)$  are in bijection. Since  $\mathcal{O}_{D,\nu,3}$  and  $\mathcal{O}'_{D,\nu,3}$  are in bijection by Equations (50) and (51), we deduce that  $\mathcal{O}_{D,\nu,1}$  and  $\mathcal{O}'_{D,\nu,1}$  have the same cardinal. Moreover, if  $H$  is not a subgroup of

| $H$                                                        | $n$  | $\langle \sigma \rangle \times \langle F^{n/d} \rangle$ | $\langle F^{n/d} \rangle$ | $\langle \sigma F^{n/d} \rangle$    |
|------------------------------------------------------------|------|---------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| $ \mathcal{O}_{D,1_Z,3}^H $                                |      | $p^{2n/d}$                                              | $p^{2n/d}$                | $p^{2n/d}$                          |
| $ \mathcal{O}_{D,\varepsilon,3}^H , \varepsilon \neq 1_Z$  |      | 0                                                       | $p^{2n/d}$                | 0                                   |
| $ \mathcal{O}_{D,1_Z,1}^H $                                | odd  | $p^{n \Delta_\sigma /d} - p^{2n/d}$                     | $a_{F^{n/d}}$             | $p^{n \Delta_\sigma /d} - p^{2n/d}$ |
| $ \mathcal{O}_{D,1_Z,1}^H $                                | even | $p^{n \Delta_\sigma /d} - p^{2n/d}$                     | $a_{F^{n/d}}$             | $p^{n \Delta /d} - p^{2n/d}$        |
| $ \mathcal{O}_{D,\varepsilon,1}^H , \varepsilon \neq 1_Z$  |      | 0                                                       | $b_{F^{n/d}}$             | 0                                   |
| $ \mathcal{O}'_{D,1_Z,3}^H $                               |      | $p^{2n/d}$                                              | $p^{2n/d}$                | $p^{2n/d}$                          |
| $ \mathcal{O}'_{D,\varepsilon,3}^H , \varepsilon \neq 1_Z$ |      | 0                                                       | $p^{2n/d}$                | 0                                   |
| $ \mathcal{O}'_{D,1_Z,1}^H $                               | odd  | $p^{n \Delta_\sigma /d} - p^{2n/d}$                     | $a_{F^{n/d}}$             | $p^{n \Delta_\sigma /d} - p^{2n/d}$ |
| $ \mathcal{O}'_{D,1_Z,1}^H $                               | even | $p^{n \Delta_\sigma /d} - p^{2n/d}$                     | $a_{F^{n/d}}$             | $p^{n \Delta /d} - p^{2n/d}$        |
| $ \mathcal{O}'_{D,\varepsilon,1}^H , \varepsilon \neq 1_Z$ |      | 0                                                       | $b_{F^{n/d}}$             | 0                                   |

TABLE 4. Case when  $Z(\mathbf{G})^F = Z(\mathbf{G})$ 

$\langle \sigma F \rangle$ , then every  $H$ -stable character of  $\text{Irr}_s(\mathbf{G}^{F'})$  (resp.  $\text{Irr}_s(\mathbf{B}^{F'})$ ) lies over  $1_Z$ . Now, let  $H = \langle \sigma^i F^{n/d} \rangle$  be a subgroup of  $\langle \sigma F \rangle$ . We consider the norm map  $N_{F'/\sigma^i F^{n/d}} : Z(\mathbf{G}) \rightarrow Z(\mathbf{G})$ , which is well-defined because  $(\sigma^i F^{n/d})^n = F'^n$ . By [7, Lemma 5.8, Lemma 5.9], if  $N_{F'/\sigma^i F^{n/d}}$  is surjective, then every set  $\mathcal{O}'_{D,\nu,1}$  and  $\mathcal{O}'_{D,\nu,1}$  contains  $\frac{1}{3}(p^{n|\Delta|/d} - p^{2n/d})$  characters invariant under  $\sigma^i F^{n/d}$ . Otherwise (i.e., when  $N_{F'/\sigma^i F^{n/d}}$  is trivial), the  $\sigma^i F^{n/d}$ -stable characters of  $\text{Irr}_s(\mathbf{G}^{F'})$  and  $\text{Irr}_s(\mathbf{B}^{F'})$  lie over  $1_Z$ . So, this proves that, for every subgroup  $H$  of  $A_\nu$ , we have

$$|\mathcal{O}_{D,\nu,1}^H| = |\mathcal{O}'_{D,\nu,1}^H|.$$

Therefore, the sets  $\mathcal{O}_{D,\nu,1}$  and  $\mathcal{O}'_{D,\nu,1}$  are  $A_\nu$ -equivalent, as required.

Now, we suppose that  $Z(\mathbf{G})^F$  and  $Z(\mathbf{G})^{F'}$  are trivial, that is,  $p \not\equiv 1 \pmod{3}$  and  $q \not\equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ . Then  $D$  is trivial and we conclude using the argument of Equations (52) and (53), and the discussion following Equation (54).

Finally, suppose that  $Z(\mathbf{G})^F = Z(\mathbf{G})$ , i.e.  $p \equiv q \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ . Then  $Z(\mathbf{G})^{F'} = Z(\mathbf{G})$ . If  $n$  is odd, we remark that if  $X$  is a  $\langle \sigma F^{n/d} \rangle$ -set and an  $\langle F' \rangle$ -set, and if  $x$  is fixed by  $\sigma F^{n/d}(x)$  and  $F'$ , then  $F^{n/d}(x) = x$  and  $\sigma(x) = x$ . In particular, we can compare  $|\text{Irr}_s(\mathbf{G}^{F'})^{\sigma F^{n/d}}|$  with the number of semisimple classes of  $\mathbf{G}^{F^{n/d}}$  fixed by  $\sigma$  (there are  $p^{n|\Delta_\sigma|/d}$  such classes by Lemma 3.7 and Theorem 3.8), and  $|\text{Irr}_s(\mathbf{B}^{F'})^{\langle \sigma F^{n/d} \rangle}|$  with  $|\text{Irr}_s((\mathbf{B}^\sigma)^{F^d})| = p^{n|\Delta_\sigma|/d}$ . If  $n$  is even, then  $(\sigma^i F^j) = F'$  and we are in the same situation as the first case of the proof. Using the same argument as above we deduce the numbers of Table 4. We set  $a_{F^{n/d}} = b_{F^{n/d}} = p^{n|\Delta|/d} - p^{2n/d}$  when the norm map  $N_{F'/F^{n/d}}$  is surjective, and  $a_{F^{n/d}} = p^{n|\Delta|/d} - 3p^{2n/d}$  and  $b_{F^{n/d}} = 0$  otherwise. It follows that  $\mathcal{O}_{D,\nu,k}$  and  $\mathcal{O}'_{D,\nu,k}$  are  $A_\nu$ -equivalent. This proves the claim.  $\square$

#### 4.3. Inductive McKay condition.

**Lemma 4.8.** *Let  $H = GC$  be a finite central product with  $Z = G \cap C$ . Suppose that  $C$  is abelian and that  $\tau \in \text{Aut}(H)$  acts on  $G$  and  $C$ . For  $\chi \in \text{Irr}(G)^\tau$ , write  $\nu = \text{Res}_Z^G(\chi)$ . If  $\nu$  extends to a  $\tau$ -stable character of  $C$ , then we have*

$$|\text{Irr}(H|\chi)^\tau| = |(C/Z)^\tau|.$$

*Proof.* Recall that, for  $\theta \in \text{Irr}(H)$ , if we write  $\gamma = \text{Res}_Z^H(\theta)$ , then there are unique  $\theta_1 \in \text{Irr}(G|\gamma)$  and  $\theta_2 \in \text{Irr}(C|\gamma)$  such that  $\theta = \theta_1 \cdot \theta_2$ , where  $\cdot$  is the dot product, defined by  $\theta_1 \cdot \theta_2(g_1 g_2) = \theta_1(g_1) \theta_2(g_2)$  for all  $g_1 \in G$  and  $g_2 \in C$ . Let  $\chi \in \text{Irr}(G)^\tau$ . Write  $\nu = \text{Res}_Z^H(\chi)$ . Then we have

$$\text{Irr}(H|\chi) = \{\chi \cdot \theta \mid \theta \in \text{Irr}(C|\nu)\}.$$

Since  $\chi$  is  $\tau$ -stable, so is  $\nu$  and  $\text{Irr}(C|\nu)$  is  $\tau$ -stable. Furthermore,  $\chi \cdot \theta$  is  $\tau$ -stable if and only if  $\theta$  is  $\tau$ -stable. In particular, the set  $\text{Irr}(H|\chi)^\tau$  is parametrized by  $\text{Irr}(C|\nu)^\tau$ . Moreover,  $C$  is abelian, then  $\nu$  extends to a linear character of  $C$  (denoted by the same symbol) [19, 5.4] and by assumption,  $\nu$  can be supposed to be  $\tau$ -stable. It follows that the map  $g_\nu : \text{Irr}(C|1_Z) \rightarrow \text{Irr}(C|\nu)$ ,  $\theta \mapsto \theta\nu$  is a bijection such that  $\text{Irr}(C|\nu)^\tau = g_\nu(\text{Irr}(C|1_Z)^\tau)$  (because if  $\nu$  is  $\tau$ -stable, so is  $\nu^{-1}$ ). But we can identify  $\text{Irr}(C|1_Z)$  with  $\text{Irr}(C/Z)$  and the action of  $\tau$  on these sets is compatible. Hence, we have  $|\text{Irr}(C|1_Z)^\tau| = |\text{Irr}(C/Z)^\tau|$  and the result follows from [19, 6.32].  $\square$

We recall that if  $N$  is a normal subgroup of  $G$ , then we can associate to every  $G$ -invariant irreducible character of  $N$  an element  $[\chi]_{G/N}$  of the second cohomology group  $H^2(G/N, \mathbb{C}^\times)$  of  $G/N$ .

**Theorem 4.9.** *Let  $p > 3$  be a prime number and  $q$  a  $p$ -power. Then the finite simple group  $E_6(q)$  is “good” for the prime  $p$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $X$  be a simple group of type  $E_6$  with parameter  $q = p^n$ . In order to prove that  $X$  is “good” for  $p$ , we will show that  $X$  satisfies the properties (1)–(8) of [20, §10]. Let  $\mathbf{G}$  be a simple simply-connected group of type  $E_6$  defined over  $\mathbb{F}_q$  and with split Frobenius map  $F' : \mathbf{G} \rightarrow \mathbf{G}$ . Recall that  $X = \mathbf{G}^{F'} / \mathbf{Z}(\mathbf{G})^{F'}$  and  $\mathbf{G}^{F'}$  is the universal cover of  $X$ . Moreover, the subgroup  $\mathbf{U}^F$  is a  $p$ -Sylow subgroup of  $\mathbf{G}^{F'}$  with normalizer  $\mathbf{B}^{F'}$ . We set  $M = \mathbf{B}^{F'}$  and we will show that  $M$  has the required properties. By [6, Lemma 5], we have  $\text{Irr}_s(\mathbf{G}^{F'}) = \text{Irr}_{p'}(\mathbf{G}^{F'})$  and  $\text{Irr}_s(\mathbf{B}^{F'}) = \text{Irr}_{p'}(\mathbf{B}^{F'})$ . Let  $\nu \in \text{Irr}(\mathbf{Z}(\mathbf{G})^{F'})$  and  $\mathcal{A}_\nu = D \rtimes A_\nu$  as above. By Theorem 4.6, there is an  $\mathcal{A}_\nu$ -equivariant bijection  $\Phi_\nu : \text{Irr}_{p'}(\mathbf{G}^{F'}|\nu) \rightarrow \text{Irr}_{p'}(\mathbf{B}^{F'}|\nu)$ . Thus, Properties (1)–(4) of [20, §10] are satisfied. For  $\chi \in \text{Irr}_{p'}(\tilde{\mathbf{G}}^{F'}|\nu)$ , we define

$$G_\chi = G \rtimes \text{Stab}_{A_\nu}(\chi) \quad \text{and} \quad G'_\chi = G' \rtimes \text{Stab}_{A_\nu}(\Phi_\nu(\chi)),$$

where  $G = \mathbf{G}^{F'}$  (resp.  $G' = \mathbf{B}^{F'}$ ) if  $\chi$  is not  $D$ -stable, and  $G = \tilde{\mathbf{G}}^{F'}$  (resp.,  $G' = \tilde{\mathbf{B}}^{F'}$ ) otherwise, where  $\tilde{\mathbf{G}}$  is the connected group defined in Equation (27) with the convention of §4.1. We have  $\mathbf{Z}(\mathbf{G}^{F'}) \leq \mathbf{Z}(G_\chi)$  and  $\text{Stab}_{D \rtimes A}(\chi)$  is induced by the conjugation action of  $\mathbf{N}_{G_\chi}(\mathbf{U}^{F'})$ . So, the property (5) of [20, §10] holds. We set  $C = \mathbf{Z}(G)$ . Then  $C = C_{G_\chi}(\mathbf{G}^{F'})$  and the property (6) of [20, §10] is true.

In order to prove the properties (7) and (8) of [20, §10], we will first show that  $\chi$  and  $\Phi_\nu(\chi)$  extend to  $G_\chi$  and  $G'_\chi$ , respectively. If  $\chi$  is not  $D$ -stable, then  $\text{Stab}_A(\chi) \leq \langle F, \sigma \rangle$ . If  $\text{Stab}_A(\chi)$  is cyclic, then  $\chi$  extends to  $G_\chi$  by [19, 11.22]. Otherwise,  $\text{Stab}_A(\chi) = \langle \sigma, F^{n/d} \rangle$  for some divisor  $d$  of  $n$ , and by Proposition 3.13,

the extensions of  $\chi$  to  $\mathbf{G}^{F'} \rtimes \sigma$  are  $F^{n/d}$ -stable, and thus extend to  $G_\chi$  by [19, 11.22]. So,  $\chi$  is extendible to  $G_\chi$ .

Suppose now that  $D$  is not trivial and  $\chi$  is  $D$ -stable. In particular,  $\chi$  is extendible to  $\tilde{\mathbf{G}}^{F'}$ . Write  $A_\chi = \text{Stab}_A(\chi)$ . We will prove that  $\chi$  extends to an  $A_\chi$ -stable character of  $\tilde{\mathbf{G}}^{F'}$ . First, we suppose that  $\sigma \in A_\chi$  and we write  $H = GC$ . Recall that  $H$  is a central product and that  $C = Z(\tilde{\mathbf{G}}^{F'})$ . By [3, §6.B],  $H$  has index  $|D|$  in  $\tilde{\mathbf{G}}^{F'}$ . Note that  $\chi$  is over  $1_Z$ . Since  $1_C$  lies in  $\text{Irr}(C|1_Z)$ , we deduce from Lemma 4.8 that the character  $\chi \cdot 1_C$  is  $A_\chi$ -stable. Moreover, Gallagher's theorem implies that the elements of  $E = \text{Irr}(\tilde{\mathbf{G}}^{F'} | \chi \cdot 1_C)$  extend  $\chi$  and  $|E| = |D| = 3$ . Moreover,  $E$  is  $A_\chi$ -stable. Denote by  $\rho : A_\chi \rightarrow \mathfrak{S}_E$  the homomorphism of groups induced by this operation. Suppose that  $\sigma \in A_\chi$ . Note that  $\sigma$  does not act trivially on  $E$  (because if  $\sigma$  fixes a character of  $E$ , then the action of  $\sigma$  on  $E$  is equivalent to the action of  $\sigma$  on  $\tilde{\mathbf{G}}^{F'}/H$ , which is not trivial). So,  $\rho(\sigma) \neq 1$  and  $\rho(\sigma)$  has order 2. Thus,  $\sigma$  has to fix a character of  $E$ . Suppose now that there is  $F^i \in A_\chi$ . Since  $\sigma$  and  $F^i$  commute, we deduce that  $\rho(F^i)$  centralizes  $\rho(\sigma)$ . But  $\mathfrak{S}_E \simeq \mathfrak{S}_3$  and the centralizer of  $\rho(\sigma)$  in  $\mathfrak{S}_E$  has order 2. Thus, there is an  $A_\chi$ -stable character  $\tilde{\chi}$  in  $E$ . By [15, 1.31], we have  $\tilde{\mathbf{G}}^\sigma = \text{Rad}(\tilde{\mathbf{G}})^\sigma D(\mathbf{G})^\sigma$ , because  $\sigma$  has order 2 and  $|Z(D(\tilde{\mathbf{G}}))| = 3$ . Since  $\text{Rad}(\tilde{\mathbf{G}}) = \mathbf{T}_0$  (cf. Equation (41)) has dimension 1, we deduce that  $\sigma$  acts by inversion on  $\mathbf{T}_0$  and  $|\mathbf{T}_0^\sigma| = 2$ . Hence,  $\tilde{\mathbf{G}}^\sigma$  is disconnected with connected component a simple group of type  $F_4$  (by Lemma 4.1) of index 2. We deduce that  $|Z(\tilde{\mathbf{G}}^\sigma)| = 2$ . Denote by  $\tilde{\Psi}$  the character of  $\tilde{\mathbf{G}}$  constructed in Equation (36). The character  $\tilde{\chi}$  extends to a character  $E(\tilde{\chi})$  of  $\tilde{\mathbf{G}}^{F'} \rtimes \langle \sigma \rangle$ , and  $\langle \tilde{\Psi}, E(\tilde{\chi}) \rangle_{\tilde{\mathbf{G}}^{F'}, \sigma} = \pm 1$ . Moreover, by [22, Proposition 7.2]  $\tilde{\Psi}$  has non-zero values only on  $\tilde{\mathcal{U}}_\sigma^{F'}$  (see the proof of Proposition 3.13 for the definition), which is the union of the two classes  $\tilde{\mathcal{U}}_1$  and  $\tilde{\mathcal{U}}_{-1}$  of  $\tilde{\mathbf{G}}^{F'} \rtimes \langle \sigma \rangle$  (by [22, Proposition 8.1], because  $\sigma$  is semisimple). Then  $E(\tilde{\chi})$  has a non-zero value on at least  $\tilde{\mathcal{U}}_1$  or  $\tilde{\mathcal{U}}_{-1}$ . But  $F^i$  fixes these two classes (because there is an  $F^i$ -stable element in  $\tilde{\mathcal{U}}_\sigma^{F'}$ . So, its  $\tilde{\mathbf{G}}^{F'} \rtimes \langle \sigma \rangle$ -class is  $F^i$ -stable and the other class has to be also  $F^i$ -stable). Then the argument of Proposition 3.13 shows that  $E(\tilde{\chi})$  is  $F^i$ -stable. It follows that  $E(\tilde{\chi})$  extends to  $(\tilde{\mathbf{G}}^{F'} \rtimes \langle \sigma \rangle) \rtimes \langle F^i \rangle$  by [19, 11.22]. This proves that  $\chi$  extends to  $G_\chi$ .

Now, we suppose that  $\sigma \notin A_\chi$ . Then  $A_\chi = \langle \sigma^i F^{n/d} \rangle$  for some  $d \neq n$ . Moreover, as we have seen in the proof of Theorem 4.6, we have  $F' = (\sigma^i F^{n/d})^n$  (if not,  $\sigma$  has to fix  $\chi$ ). Then, there is a semisimple element  $s$  of  $\mathbf{G}^{*F'^*}$  such that  $\chi = \rho_{s,1}$ . Since  $A_{\mathbf{G}^*}(s)^{F'^*}$  is trivial, by the Lang-Steinberg theorem, we can suppose that  $s$  is chosen to be  $\sigma^{*i} F^{*n/d}$ -stable. Let  $\tilde{s}$  be an  $\sigma^{*i} F^{*n/d}$ -stable semisimple element of  $\tilde{\mathbf{G}}^*$  such that  $i^*(\tilde{s}) = s$  (such elements exist by the Lang-Steinberg theorem, because  $\ker(i^*)$  is connected and  $\sigma^{*i} F^{*n/d}$ -stable). Note that  $\tilde{s} \in \tilde{\mathbf{G}}^{*F'^*}$ , and since  $\tilde{s}$  is  $\sigma^{*i} F^{*n/d}$ -stable, the character  $\rho_{\tilde{s}}$  is  $\sigma^i F^{n/d}$ -stable. Moreover,  $\rho_{\tilde{s}}$  extends  $\chi$ . Since  $G_\chi$  is a cyclic extension of  $\tilde{\mathbf{G}}^{F'}$ , we deduce from [19, 11.22] that  $\chi$  extends to  $G_\chi$ , as required.

Write  $\chi' = \Phi_\nu(\chi)$ . Then there are  $J \subseteq \Delta$ ,  $z \in H^1(F', Z_J)$  and  $\psi \in \text{Irr}(\mathbf{T}_J)^{F'}$  with  $\text{Res}_{Z(\mathbf{G})^{F'}}^{\mathbf{T}_J^{F'}}(\psi) = \nu$ , such that  $\chi' = \chi_{J,z,\psi}$ . Suppose that  $\chi'$  is  $D$ -stable. Then  $z = 1$  and  $\mathbf{T}_J$  is connected. Write  $\tilde{\mathbf{T}}_J = C_{\tilde{\mathbf{T}}^{F'}}(\phi_J)$ , where  $\phi_J$  is chosen as in Convention 4.3. Note that  $\tilde{\mathbf{T}}_J$  is a torus because the center of  $\tilde{\mathbf{G}}$  is connected. Then  $\mathbf{T}_J$  is a subtorus of  $\tilde{\mathbf{T}}_J$  and by [14, 0.5], there is an  $F'$ -stable subtorus  $\mathbf{T}'$  of

$\tilde{\mathbf{T}}_J$  such that  $\tilde{\mathbf{T}}_J = \mathbf{T}_J \cdot \mathbf{T}'$  (as direct product). By [19, 6.17] and the construction of Equation (45) applied to  $\mathbf{B}$  and  $\tilde{\mathbf{B}}$ , we deduce that

$$\mathrm{Irr}(\mathbf{B}^{F'} | \chi_{J,1,\psi}) = \{\tilde{\chi}_{J,1,\psi \otimes \mu} \mid \mu \in \mathrm{Irr}(\mathbf{T}'^{F'})\},$$

where  $\tilde{\chi}_{J,1,\psi \otimes \mu}$  denotes the character of  $\tilde{\mathbf{B}}^{F'}$  defined in Equation (45). Note that if  $\mathbf{T}_J$  is  $\sigma^i F^j$ -stable (for any  $i, j$ ), then  $\mathbf{T}'$  is  $\sigma^i F^j$ -stable. Write  $A_{\chi'} = \mathrm{Stab}_A(\chi')$ . It follows that the character  $\tilde{\chi}_{J,1,\psi \otimes 1_{\mathbf{T}'^{F'}}} \in \mathrm{Irr}(\tilde{\mathbf{B}}^{F'})$  is an  $A_{\chi'}$ -stable extension of  $\chi'$ . For  $\tau \in A_{\chi'}$ ,  $\phi_J$  and  $\psi$  are  $\tau$ -stable. Write  $\tilde{\psi} = \psi \otimes 1_{\mathbf{T}'^{F'}}$ . Then  $\tilde{\mathbf{T}}_J^{F'}$  and  $\hat{\phi}_J \otimes \tilde{\psi}$  are  $A_{\chi'}$ -stable. Hence, as linear character,  $\hat{\phi}_J \otimes \tilde{\psi}$  extends to a linear character  $E(\hat{\phi}_J \otimes \tilde{\psi})$  of  $(\mathbf{U}^{F'} \rtimes \tilde{\mathbf{T}}_J^{F'}) \rtimes A_{\chi'}$ , and by [19, (5.6) p.74], we have

$$(56) \quad \tilde{\chi}_{J,1,\tilde{\psi}} = \mathrm{Res}_{\tilde{\mathbf{B}}^{F'}}^{\tilde{\mathbf{B}}^{F'} \rtimes A_{\chi'}} \left( \mathrm{Ind}_{(\mathbf{U}^{F'} \rtimes \tilde{\mathbf{T}}_J^{F'}) \rtimes A_{\chi'}}^{\tilde{\mathbf{B}}^{F'} \rtimes A_{\chi'}} E(\hat{\phi}_J \otimes \tilde{\psi}) \right).$$

Hence,  $\chi'$  extends to  $G'_{\chi}$ . Suppose that  $\chi'$  is not  $D$ -stable. If  $A_{\chi'}$  is cyclic, then  $\chi'$  extends to  $G'_{\chi}$  by [19, 11.22]. Otherwise,  $z = 1$  and we can show that  $\chi'$  extends to  $A_{\chi'}$ , because we can construct an extension of  $\chi_{J,1,\psi}$  to  $\mathbf{B}^{F'} \rtimes A_{\chi'}$  as in Equation (56).

We now will prove the properties (7) and (8) of [20, §10]. If  $\chi$  is not  $D$ -stable, then  $C = \mathbf{Z}(\mathbf{G})^{F'}$  and we choose  $\gamma = \nu$ . Then  $\chi \cdot \gamma = \chi$  and  $\Phi_{\nu}(\chi) \cdot \gamma = \Phi_{\nu}(\chi)$  and by the preceding discussion, these characters extend to  $G_{\chi}$  and  $G'_{\chi}$ . Then by [19, 11.7], we have

$$[\chi]_{G_{\chi}/\mathbf{G}^{F'}} = [\Phi_{\nu}(\chi)]_{G'_{\chi}/\mathbf{B}^{F'}},$$

and Properties (7) and (8) of [20, §10] are proven in this case.

Suppose now that  $D$  is not trivial and that  $\chi$  is  $D$ -stable. If  $\nu = 1_Z$  then we set  $\gamma = 1_C$ , which is  $G_{\chi}$  and  $G'_{\chi}$ -stable. Moreover, as we have seen, the characters  $\chi \cdot 1_C$  and  $\Phi_{\nu}(\chi) \cdot 1_C$  extend to  $G_{\chi}$  and  $G'_{\chi}$ . If  $\nu \neq 1_Z$ , then  $G_{\chi} = \langle F'' \rangle$  with  $F'' = \sigma^i F^{n/d}$  for some  $d \neq 1$  and  $F''^n = F'$ . Moreover, since  $\nu \neq 1$  is  $F''$ -stable, it follows that  $F''$  acts trivially on  $\mathbf{Z}(\mathbf{G})^{F'}$ . Note that  $\Phi_{\nu}(\chi)$  is  $D$ -stable and extends to  $G'_{\chi}$ . Denote by  $\tilde{\chi}'$  such an extension and write

$$\gamma = \mathrm{Res}_{\mathbf{Z}(\mathbf{G})^{F'}}^{G'_{\chi}}(\tilde{\chi}').$$

Then  $\gamma$  is  $G'_{\chi}$ -stable and  $G_{\chi}$ -stable and lies over  $\nu$ . Furthermore, by [19, 6.17], we have

$$(57) \quad \left| \mathrm{Irr}(\tilde{\mathbf{G}}^{F'} | \chi)^{F''} \right| = \sum_{\theta \in \mathrm{Irr}(\mathbf{G}^F C | \chi)^{F''}} \left| \mathrm{Irr}(\tilde{\mathbf{G}}^{F'} | \theta)^{F''} \right|.$$

As we have seen previously,  $\chi = \rho_{s,1}$  for some  $F''$ -stable semisimple element  $s \in \mathbf{G}^{*F'^*}$  and there is an  $F''$ -stable semisimple element  $\tilde{s} \in \tilde{\mathbf{G}}^{*F'^*}$  such that  $i^*(\tilde{s}) = s$ . Then by [3, (15.9)], we have  $\mathrm{Irr}(\tilde{\mathbf{G}}^{F'} | \chi) = \{\rho_{\tilde{s}z,1} \mid z \in C\}$  and [3, Lemma 8.3] implies that this set is in bijection with  $C$  because  $A_{\mathbf{G}^*}(s)^{F'}$  is trivial. Moreover, since  $\tilde{s}$  is  $F''$ -stable, these operations are  $F''$ -equivalent and  $|\mathrm{Irr}(\tilde{\mathbf{G}}^{F'} | \chi)^{F''}| = |C^{F''}|$ . Now, Lemma 4.8 implies that  $|\mathrm{Irr}(\mathbf{G}^F | \chi)^{F''}| = |C^{F''}|/3$ , because  $F''$  acts trivially on  $\mathbf{Z}(\mathbf{G}^F) = \mathbf{G}^F \cap C$ . Since  $|\mathrm{Irr}(\tilde{\mathbf{G}}^{F'} | \theta)^{F''}| \leq 3$  (because  $\mathbf{G}^F C$  has index 3 in  $\tilde{\mathbf{G}}^{F'}$  and by [19, 6.17]), we deduce from Equation (57) that  $|\mathrm{Irr}(\tilde{\mathbf{G}}^{F'} | \theta)^{F''}|$  has to be equal to 3. But  $\chi \cdot \gamma \in \mathrm{Irr}(\mathbf{G}^F C | \chi)^{F''}$ . Thus,  $\chi \cdot \gamma$  extends to an  $F''$ -stable character of



$\tilde{\mathbf{G}}^{F'}$  and [19, 11.22] implies that  $\chi \cdot \gamma$  extends to  $G_\chi$ . We conclude using [19, 11.7] that

$$[\chi \cdot \gamma]_{G_\chi/\mathbf{G}^{F'}C} = [\Phi_\nu(\chi) \cdot \gamma]_{\mathbf{G}'_\chi/\mathbf{G}^{F'}C}.$$

Hence, the properties (7) and (8) of [20, §10] hold, as required.  $\square$

**Theorem 4.10.** *Let  $p > 3$  be a prime number and  $q$  a  $p$ -power. Then the finite simple group  ${}^2E_6(q)$  is “good” for the prime  $p$ .*

*Proof.* We set  $F' = \sigma F^n$ . Then  $\mathbf{G}^{F'}$  is the universal cover of  ${}^2E_6(q)$ , and the outer automorphism group of  $\mathbf{G}^{F'}$  is  $D \rtimes \langle F \rangle$ , where  $F$  acts on  $\mathbf{G}^{F'}$  as an automorphism of order  $2n$ . For  $\omega \in \Delta/\rho$ , we set  $\mathbf{X}_\omega = \prod_{\alpha \in \omega} \mathbf{X}_\alpha$ . Note that  $\mathbf{X}_\omega$  is a subgroup of  $\mathbf{U}$ , because  $\mathbf{G}$  is of type  $E_6$  and if the roots  $\alpha$  and  $\rho(\alpha)$  are distinct, then they are orthogonal. Moreover, by [9, §8.1] we have  $\mathbf{U}_1^{F'} \simeq \prod_{\omega \in \Delta/\rho} \mathbf{X}_\omega^{F'}$  (as direct product) and can construct the Gelfand Graev characters, the regular characters and the semisimple characters of  $\mathbf{G}^{F'}$  as in §3.1. Note that the analogue of Theorem 3.1 is valid (see [3, Proposition 15.3, Corollaire 15.14]) and the regular character  $\phi_1$  of  $\text{Irr}_l(\mathbf{U}^{F'})$  can be chosen  $F$ -stable by [19, 6.32]. In particular, if  $s$  is an  $F^*$ -stable element of  $\mathbf{G}^{*F'^*}$ , then for every  $z \in H^1(F', Z(\mathbf{G}))$ , we have

$$F^i \rho_s, \hat{\omega}_s^0(z) = \rho_s, \hat{\omega}_s^0(F^i(z)).$$

For every  $J \subseteq \Delta/\rho$ , we write  $\omega_J$  for the corresponding  $\tilde{\mathbf{T}}^{F'}$ -orbit in  $\text{Irr}_l(\mathbf{U}^{F'})$  and we choose a representative  $\phi_J \in \omega_J$  as in Convention 4.3. Then we can define  $\chi_{J,z,\psi} \in \text{Irr}_s(\mathbf{B}^{F'})$  as in Equation (45), which satisfies Lemma 4.4.

Let  $E$  be an  $F$ - and  $F'$ -set, and let  $x \in E$  be such that  $F^k(x) = x$  and  $F'(x) = x$ . Denote by  $d$  the order of  $F^k$  (viewed as an automorphism of  $\mathbf{G}^{F'}$ ). If  $d$  is even (resp. odd), then this is equivalent to  $\sigma(x) = x$  and  $F^k(x) = x$  (resp.  $\sigma F^{n/d}(x) = x$ ). Moreover, note that if  $Z(\mathbf{G})^{F'} = Z(\mathbf{G})$ , then  $Z(\mathbf{G})^F = \{1\}$  and  $n$  is odd. Using these facts, we can prove in a similar way as in the proof of Theorem 4.7, that for every  $\nu \in \text{Irr}(Z(\mathbf{G})^{F'})$  and  $A_\nu = \text{Stab}_{\langle F \rangle}(\nu)$ , there is an  $D \rtimes A_\nu$ -equivariant bijection between  $\text{Irr}_s(\mathbf{G}^{F'}, \nu)$  and  $\text{Irr}_s(\mathbf{B}^{F'})$ . Finally, we prove that the properties (5)-(8) of [20, §10] are satisfied as in the proof of Theorem 4.9.  $\square$

**Remark 4.11.** This method is not sufficient to show the statement for  $p \in \{2, 3\}$ . Indeed, we need the assumption that  $p$  is a good prime for  $\mathbf{G}$  to apply the “relative” version of the McKay Conjecture proved in [5, Theorem 1.1], and [22, Proposition 8.1(ii)].

**Proposition 4.12.** *Suppose that  $X = \text{PSL}_\ell(p^n)$  or  $X = \text{PSU}_\ell(p^n)$  such that  $p$  is odd and  $\ell$  is an odd prime number that not divides  $p$ . Then  $X$  is “good” for  $p$ .*

*Proof.* We set  $\tilde{\mathbf{G}} = \text{GL}_\ell(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)$  and denote by  $F$  the standard Frobenius map of  $\tilde{\mathbf{G}}$  which acts by raising all entries of a matrix to the  $p$ -power. Write  $F' = F^n$  and  $\sigma$  for the non-trivial graph automorphism with respect to the  $F$ -stable Borel subgroup of lower triangular matrices and the  $F$ -stable maximal torus  $\tilde{\mathbf{T}}$  of diagonal matrices of  $\tilde{\mathbf{G}}$ . We set  $\mathbf{G} = \text{SL}_\ell(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)$ ,  $\mathbf{T} = \tilde{\mathbf{T}} \cap \mathbf{G}$  and  $\mathbf{B} = \tilde{\mathbf{B}} \cap \mathbf{G}$ . Note that  $\mathbf{G}^\sigma = \text{GO}_\ell(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)$  and  $\mathbf{G}^\sigma = \text{SO}_\ell(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)$ , which implies that  $Z(\mathbf{G}^\sigma) = \{1\}$  and  $Z(\tilde{\mathbf{G}}^\sigma)$  has order 2. Denote by  $\Delta = \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{\ell-1}\}$  the set of simple roots of  $\mathbf{G}$  with respect to  $\mathbf{T}$  and  $\mathbf{B}$ . We set  $\tilde{\alpha}_i = \frac{1}{2}(\alpha_i + \alpha_{\ell-i})$  and  $\Delta_\sigma = \{\tilde{\alpha}_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq (\ell-1)/2\}$ . Then it is proven in [17, Lemma 4.4.7] that  $\Delta_\sigma$  is the set of simple roots of  $\mathbf{G}^\sigma$  with

respect to  $\mathbf{T}^\sigma$  and  $\mathbf{B}^\sigma$ . Moreover, if we set  $\tilde{\mathbf{X}}_{\tilde{\alpha}_i} = \mathbf{X}_{\alpha_i} \cdot \mathbf{X}_{\alpha_{\ell-i}}$  for  $1 \leq i \leq (\ell-3)/2$  and  $\tilde{\mathbf{X}}_{\tilde{\alpha}_{(\ell-1)/2}} = \langle \mathbf{X}_{\alpha_{(\ell-1)/2}}, \mathbf{X}_{\alpha_{(\ell+1)/2}} \rangle$ , then the group  $\tilde{\mathbf{X}}_{\tilde{\alpha}_i}^\sigma$  is the root subgroup corresponding to  $\tilde{\alpha}_i$ ; see the proof of [17, Lemma 4.4.7]. Write  $\tilde{J}$  for the subset of  $\Delta_\sigma$  associated to a  $\sigma$ -stable subset  $J$  of  $\Delta$ . Since  $Z(\mathbf{G})^\tau = \{1\}$ , it follows from the argument of the proof of Theorem 4.7 that  $\mathbf{T}_J^\sigma$  (here,  $\mathbf{T}_J$  denotes the radical of  $\mathbf{L}_J$  as before) is the radical of the Levi subgroup  $\mathbf{L}_{\tilde{J}}$  of  $\mathbf{G}^\sigma$ .

Therefore, by a similar argument to Lemma 4.6 and Theorem 4.7, we show that for  $\nu \in \text{Irr}(Z(\mathbf{G})^{F'})$ , there is an  $A_\nu$ -equivariant bijection between  $\text{Irr}_s(\mathbf{G}^{F'}|\nu)$  and  $\text{Irr}_s(\mathbf{B}^{F'}|\nu)$ .

Now, we suppose that  $\chi \in \text{Irr}(\mathbf{G}^{F'})$  is  $\tilde{\mathbf{T}}^{F'}$ -stable and we denote by  $A_\chi$  its inertia subgroup in  $\text{Aut}(\tilde{\mathbf{G}}^{F'})$ . As above, we write  $E = \text{Irr}(\tilde{\mathbf{G}}^{F'}|\chi \cdot 1_C)$ , where  $C = Z(\tilde{\mathbf{G}}^{F'})$ . Then  $|E| = \ell$  and  $\chi \cdot 1_C$  is  $A_\chi$ -stable. So,  $A_\chi$  acts on  $E$ . Suppose that  $\sigma \in A_\chi$ . Since  $\sigma$  has order 2 and that  $\ell$  is odd,  $\sigma$  fixes a character  $\tilde{\chi}$  of  $E$ . Thus, by Clifford theory and by [19, 6.32], the actions of  $\sigma$  on  $E$  and on  $\tilde{\mathbf{G}}^{F'}/\mathbf{G}^F C$  are equivalent. Since  $\sigma$  acts by inversion on this group and has no non-trivial fixed point (because  $\ell$  is odd), we deduce that  $\tilde{\chi}$  is the unique  $\sigma$ -stable character of  $E$ . Let  $\tau \in A_\chi$ . Then  $\tau$  and  $\sigma$  commute and  $\tau(\tilde{\chi})$  is a  $\sigma$ -stable character of  $E$ . By unicity,  $\tau(\tilde{\chi}) = \tilde{\chi}$ , which proves that  $E$  has an  $A_\chi$ -stable element. Finally, we conclude with a similar argument to the proof of Theorem 4.9. The proof for a twisted Frobenius map is similar and the claim is proven.  $\square$

**Acknowledgements.** For valuable and helpful discussions on the paper [2], I wish to thank Cédric Bonnafé. For many fruitful conversations on the subject, his precise reading of the manuscript and useful comments on the paper, I sincerely thank Gunter Malle. I also thank Sebastian Herpel for interesting discussions on algebraic group theory.

## REFERENCES

- [1] C. Bonnafé. Éléments unipotents réguliers des sous-groupes de Levi. *Canad. J. Math.*, 56(2):246–276, 2004.
- [2] C. Bonnafé. Quasi-isolated elements in reductive groups. *Comm. Algebra*, 33(7):2315–2337, 2005.
- [3] C. Bonnafé. Sur les caractères des groupes réductifs finis à centre non connexe: applications aux groupes spéciaux linéaires et unitaires. *Astérisque*, (306):vi+165, 2006.
- [4] N. Bourbaki. *Éléments de mathématique. Fasc. XXXIV. Groupes et algèbres de Lie. Chapitre IV: Groupes de Coxeter et systèmes de Tits. Chapitre V: Groupes engendrés par des réflexions. Chapitre VI: systèmes de racines.* Actualités Scientifiques et Industrielles, No. 1337. Hermann, Paris, 1968.
- [5] O. Brunat. Counting  $p'$ -characters in finite reductive groups. *To appear in Journal of the London Mathematical Society*.
- [6] O. Brunat. On the inductive McKay condition in the defining characteristic. *Math. Z.*, 263(2):411–424, 2009.
- [7] O. Brunat and F. Himstedt. On equivariant bijections relative to the defining characteristic. *Submitted for publication*.
- [8] R. Carter. *Simple groups of Lie type*. John Wiley & Sons, London-New York-Sydney, 1972. Pure and Applied Mathematics, Vol. 28.
- [9] R. Carter. *Finite groups of Lie type*. Pure and Applied Mathematics (New York). John Wiley & Sons Inc., New York, 1985. Conjugacy classes and complex characters, A Wiley-Interscience Publication.
- [10] P. Deligne and G. Lusztig. Representations of reductive groups over finite fields. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 103(1):103–161, 1976.
- [11] D. I. Deriziotis. The Brauer complex of a Chevalley group. *J. Algebra*, 70(1):261–269, 1981.

- [12] F. Digne, G. I. Lehrer, and J. Michel. The characters of the group of rational points of a reductive group with non-connected centre. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 425:155–192, 1992.
- [13] F. Digne, G. I. Lehrer, and J. Michel. On Gel'fand-Graev characters of reductive groups with disconnected centre. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 491:131–147, 1997.
- [14] F. Digne and J. Michel. *Representations of finite groups of Lie type*, volume 21 of *London Mathematical Society Student Texts*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1991.
- [15] F. Digne and J. Michel. Groupes réductifs non connexes. *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4)*, 27(3):345–406, 1994.
- [16] F. Digne and J. Michel. Points fixes des automorphismes quasi-semi-simples. *C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris*, 334(12):1055–1060, 2002.
- [17] D. Gorenstein, R. Lyons, and R. Solomon. *The classification of the finite simple groups, Number 3*, volume 40 of *Mathematical Surveys and Monographs*. American Mathematical Society, Cambridge, 1991.
- [18] J. E. Humphreys. *Ordinary and modular representations of Chevalley groups*. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 528. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1976.
- [19] M. Isaacs. *Character theory of finite groups*. Academic Press [Harcourt Brace Jovanovich Publishers], New York, 1976. Pure and Applied Mathematics, No. 69.
- [20] M. Isaacs, G. Malle, and G. Navarro. A reduction theorem for the McKay conjecture. *Invent. Math.*, 170:33–101, 2007.
- [21] G. Malle. Generalized Deligne-Lusztig characters. *J. Algebra*, 159(1):64–97, 1993.
- [22] K. Sorlin. Éléments réguliers et représentations de Gelfand-Graev des groupes réductifs non connexes. *Bull. Soc. Math. France*, 132(2):157–199, 2004.
- [23] T. A. Springer. *Linear algebraic groups*. Modern Birkhäuser Classics. Birkhäuser Boston Inc., Boston, MA, second edition, 2009.

RUHR-UNIVERSITÄT BOCHUM, FAKULTÄT FÜR MATHEMATIK, D-44780 BOCHUM, GERMANY,  
*E-mail address:* Olivier.Brunat@ruhr-uni-bochum.de